Synergy between the parameter estimation and a design variable optimization for FRAP experiments

# Ctirad Matonoha<sup>1</sup>, Štěpán Papáček<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Optimization and Systems, Institute of Computer Science AS CR, Prague 8

<sup>2</sup>IXS, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, University of South Bohemia, Nové Hrady

> Seminář numerické analýzy a zimní škola Ostrava 19. - 23. ledna 2015

We deal with the inverse problem of model parameters estimation using the spatio-temporal images acquired by the so-called FRAP method.

Consider a diffusion process with one single parameter: a diffusion coefficient D. The governing equation for the spatio-temporal signal u(x, t) is the Fick diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = D\Delta u(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in [0,T].$$
(1)

Initial condition:

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

• Boundary conditions:

$$u(x,t) = 0$$
 or  $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}u(x,t) = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega \times [0,T].$ 

In FRAP experiments, IC (the first post-bleach profile) is often modeled as a Gaussian, which leads in the 1D case to IC of the form

$$u_0(x) = u_{0,0}e^{-\frac{2x^2}{r_0^2}},$$

•  $u_{0,0} \ge 0$  is the maximum depth at time  $t_0$  for x = 0,

•  $r_0 > 0$  is the half-width of the bleach at normalized height (depth)  $e^{-2}$ , i.e.  $\frac{u_0(r_0)}{u_{0,0}} = e^{-2}$ .

The explicit solution for u in the one-dimensional free space case is

$$u(x,t) = u_{0,0} \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{r_0^2 + 8Dt}} e^{-\frac{2x^2}{r_0^2 + 8Dt}}$$

We now discuss the parameter identification problem, where we try to infer about the parameter D by using direct measurements of u in some space-time domain. That is, we assume that the following discrete data are observed

$$u(x_i, t_i) \in \mathcal{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N_{\text{data}}.$$

We define the forward map (also called the parameter-to-data map)

$$F: \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}^{N_{\text{data}}}, \quad F(D) = (u(x_i, t_i))_{i=1}^{N_{\text{data}}}$$

Our regression model is now

$$F(D) = data \tag{2}$$

where the data are modeled as contaminated with additive white noise

$$data = F(D_T) + e = (u(x_i, t_i))_{i=1}^{N_{data}} + (e_i)_{i=1}^{N_{data}}$$

Here  $D_T \in \mathcal{R}$  denotes the true coefficient and  $e \in \mathcal{R}^{N_{\text{data}}}$  is a data error vector which we assume to be normally distributed with variance  $\sigma^2$ :

$$e_i = \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_{\text{data}}.$$

The aim of the parameter identification problem is to find D such that (2) is satisfied in some appropriate sense:

$$\|F(D_c) - \text{data}\|^2 = \min_{D} \|F(D) - \text{data}\|^2.$$
 (3)

This problem is usually ill-posed thus regularization has to be employed.

For the sensitivity analysis we require the Fréchet-derivative  $F'[D] \in \mathcal{R}^{N_{\text{data}}}$  of the forward map F, that is

$$F'[D] = \frac{\partial}{\partial D}F(D) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial D}u(x_1, t_1), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial D}u(x_{N_{\text{data}}}, t_{N_{\text{data}}})\right)'$$
(4)

A corresponding quantity is the Fisher information matrix

$$M = F'[D]^T F'[D]$$

which collapses into the scalar quantity

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{data}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial D} u(x_i, t_i) \right)^2$$

for the one single parameter case.

Now we can estimate confidence intervals. Suppose we have computed  $D_c$  as a least-squares solution to (3). Let us define the residual as

$$\operatorname{res}^{2}(D_{c}) = \|F(D_{c}) - \operatorname{data}\|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{data}}} \left[u_{D_{c}}(x_{i}, t_{i}) - \operatorname{data}_{i}\right]^{2},$$

where  $u_{D_c}$  is a solution to (1) for the computed parameter value  $D_c$ .

Then it is possible to quantify an error between  $D_c$  and  $D_T$ . In fact, we have an approximate  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval

$$(D_c - D_T)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{data}}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial D} u(x_i, t_i) \right]^2 \le \frac{\text{res}^2(D_c)}{N_{\text{data}} - 1} f_{1, N_{\text{data}} - 1}(\alpha), \quad (5)$$

where  $f_{1,N_{data}-1}(\alpha)$  corresponds to the upper  $\alpha$  quantile of the Fisher distribution with 1 and  $N_{data} - 1$  degrees of freedom.

We can ask further questions concerning the optimal experimental design based on the sensitivity analysis by looking at further design variables.

We can try to look for such a bleach radius  $r_0$  which leads to maximal sensitivity since this corresponds to minimal confidence intervals.

More precisely, we consider the case of a dense set of observations on a space-time cylinder  $Q = \left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right] \times [0, T]$  in 1D case and we try to infer about the optimal bleach radius  $r_{opt}$  yielding maximal sensitivity.

We approximate the sensitivity by integrals and forget the grid factor  $\frac{1}{\Delta \times \Delta t}$  which is assumed to be fixed. We introduce

$$S(r_0) = \int_0^T \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial D} u(x,t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t,$$

and we try to find out the maximal value of function

$$S(r_{opt}) = \max_{r_0>0} S(r_0).$$

For the special case of full spatial observation on the real line  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e.,  $L = \infty$ , we can actually find a formula for the optimal bleach radius:

$$S(r_0) = \frac{|u_{0,0}|^2}{D_c^3} r_0^3 K(\infty, \frac{TD_c}{r_0^2}),$$

where

$$K(\infty,t) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(1+12t+24t^2)}{16(1+8t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16}$$

It turns out that in this setting the function  $S(r_0)$  has a unique maximum

$$r_{opt} \approx 1.728 \sqrt{TD_c}.$$
 (6)

Thus, this is the optimal bleach radius (with maximal sensitivity and hence minimal confidence interval).

# References



### Kaňa R., Matonoha C., Papáček Š., Soukup J.

On estimation of diffusion coefficient based on spatio-temporal FRAP images: An inverse ill-posed problem. PANM16, p. 100–111, 2013.



#### Papáček Š., Matonoha C.

Error analysis of three methods for the parameter estimation problem based on spatio-temporal FRAP measurement. SNA13, p. 93–96, 2013.



#### Matonoha C., Papáček Š.

On three equivalent methods for parameter estimation problem based on spatio-temporal FRAP data. SNA14, p. 78–82, 2014.



#### Papáček, Š., Kaňa, R., and Matonoha, C.

Estimation of diffusivity of phycobilisomes on thylakoid membrane based on spatio-temporal FRAP images. Mathematical and Computer Modelling, Vol. 57, p. 1907–1912, 2013.



Kaňa R., Kotabová E., Lukeš M., Papáček Š., Matonoha C., Liu L.N., Prášil O., Mullineaux C.W. Phycobilisome Mobility and Its Role in the Regulation of Light Harvesting in Red Algae Plant Physiology, Vol. 165, p. 1618–1631, 2014.



#### Matonoha, C., and Papáček, Š.

On the connection and equivalence of two methods for solving an ill-posed inverse problem based on FRAP data. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, submitted.



## Papáček, Š., Jablonský, J., Kaňa, R., Matonoha, C., Kindermann, S.

From data processing to experimental design and back again: A parameter identification problem based on FRAP images. Accepted for publication in ICDIP 2015: XIII International Conference on Digital Image Processing, Dubai 2015.