# Can numerical analysis help in understanding piezoviscous hydrodynamic lubrication?

M. Lanzendörfer

Mathematical Institute, Charles University in Prague

Institute of Computer Science, AS CR

 $\mathsf{Math}^{\mathsf{Modelling}}_{\mathsf{C}^{nalysis}_{omputing}}$ 

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

Piezoviscous lubrication

IV. On the Theory of Lubrication and its Application to Mr. BEAUCHAMP TOWER'S Experiments, including an Experimental Determination of the Viscosity of Olive Oil.

By Professor Osborne Reynolds, LL.D., F.R.S.

Received December 29, 1885,-Read February 11, 1886.

Fig. 9.



#### This is the explanation of continuous lubrication.

## Standard approach

▶ focus (oversimplified): isothermal, slow, steady, full-film

 focus (oversimplified): isothermal, slow, steady, full-film (reality: strong thermal effects, significant inertial effects, dynamic FSI, cavitation)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- focus (oversimplified): isothermal, slow, steady, full-film (reality: strong thermal effects, significant inertial effects, dynamic FSI, cavitation)
- compute lift (and drag) force

- focus (oversimplified): isothermal, slow, steady, full-film (reality: strong thermal effects, significant inertial effects, dynamic FSI, cavitation)
- ▶ compute lift (and drag) force ⇐ compute pressure profile (and velocity field)

- focus (oversimplified): isothermal, slow, steady, full-film (reality: strong thermal effects, significant inertial effects, dynamic FSI, cavitation)
- ▶ compute lift (and drag) force ⇐ compute pressure profile (and velocity field)
- dimensional reduction: Reynolds approximation
  - a single equation for the pressure
  - a number of assumptions on the flow characteristics

- focus (oversimplified): isothermal, slow, steady, full-film (reality: strong thermal effects, significant inertial effects, dynamic FSI, cavitation)
- ▶ compute lift (and drag) force ⇐ compute pressure profile (and velocity field)
- dimensional reduction: Reynolds approximation
  - a single equation for the pressure
  - a number of assumptions on the flow characteristics
- focus: high pressures

(EHL: pressure  $\sim$  2-3 GPa, shear rate  $\sim$   $10^{6}~ms^{-1})$ 

## Viscosity at large pressure and shear rate Viscosity and volume variation with pressure for *squalane* ("representing a low viscosity paraffinic mineral oil", S. Bair, *Tribology Letters*, 2006).



M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

Piezoviscous lubrication

November 30, 2012 4 / 16

A = A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### Viscosity at large pressure and shear rate

Viscosity for *SAE 10W/40 reference oil RL 88/1*, (partly) by Hutton, Jones, Bates, *SAE*, 1983.



(日) (同) (日) (日)

Mathematical formulation inside  $(0, T) \times \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= 0\\ \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} + \operatorname{div} (\boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{S} &= -\nabla \pi + \boldsymbol{f},\\ \boldsymbol{S} &= 2 \nu(\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2) \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}) \end{aligned}$$

# Mathematical formulation inside $(0, T) \times \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= 0 \\ \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} + \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{S} &= -\nabla \pi + \boldsymbol{f} , \\ \boldsymbol{S} &= 2 \nu(\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2) \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy stress tensor

$$oldsymbol{T} = -\pi oldsymbol{I} + 2
u(\pi, |oldsymbol{D}|^2)oldsymbol{D}, \quad ext{tr}\,oldsymbol{D} = 0$$

- $\pi$  is not the thermodynamical pressure
- $\pi$  is the mean normal stress,  $\pi = -\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{T}$ ,
- implicitely constituted model

$$\boldsymbol{T} - rac{1}{3}(\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{T})\boldsymbol{I} - 2\nu(-rac{1}{3}\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{T}, |\boldsymbol{D}|)\boldsymbol{D} = 0$$

see Rajagopal, J. Fluid Mech., 2006 (and Málek, Rajagopal, 2006, 2007)

A D N A B N A B

# Mathematical formulation inside $(0, T) \times \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= 0\\ \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} + \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{S} &= -\nabla \pi + \boldsymbol{f},\\ \boldsymbol{S} &= 2 \nu (\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2) \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Viscosity formulas used in applications

$$u = 
u(\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2) = \begin{cases} \sim \exp(lpha \pi), \\ \sim (1 + |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}, & 1$$

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

... is the problem?

- ▶ the lubrication works and is used since before the invention of wheel
- the viscosity-pressure relation is present in the very basis of the theory of elastohydrodynamic lubrication
- are there any fundamental questions left open?

are there any fundamental questions left open?

First, one may answer...

- Iubrication is used everywhere (transportation, electricity production)
- ▶ any optimization can save energy consumption and prolongate the lifespan
- more and more precize quantitative predictions are needed

#### are there any fundamental questions left open?

First, one may answer...

more and more precize quantitative predictions are needed

... in fact, it is worse than that...

Bair, Gordon, 2006:

"...there has been relatively little progress since the classic Newtonian solutions ...toward relating film thickness and traction to the properties of individual liquid lubricants

and it **not clear** at this time **that full numerical solutions can even be obtained** for heavily loaded contacts using accurate models.

One central issue is the validity of Reynolds equation, derived under the isoviscous assumption..."

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

#### are there any fundamental questions left open?

First, one may answer...

more and more precize quantitative predictions are needed

... in fact, it is worse than that...

Bair, Gordon, 2006:

"...there has been relatively little progress since the classic Newtonian solutions ...toward relating film thickness and traction to the properties of individual liquid lubricants

and it **not clear** at this time **that full numerical solutions can even be obtained** for heavily loaded contacts using accurate models.

One central issue is the validity of Reynolds equation, derived under the isoviscous assumption..."

Rajagopal, Szeri, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 2003

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

#### are there any fundamental questions left open?

First, one may answer...

more and more precize quantitative predictions are needed

... in fact, it is worse than that...

Bair, Gordon, 2006:

"...there has been relatively little progress since the classic Newtonian solutions ...toward relating film thickness and traction to the properties of individual liquid lubricants

and it **not clear** at this time **that full numerical solutions can even be obtained** for heavily loaded contacts using accurate models.

One central issue is the validity of Reynolds equation, derived under the isoviscous assumption..."

Rajagopal, Szeri, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 2003

... in fact, even worse... is the full system of governing equations well-posed?

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

# Mathematical formulation inside $(0, T) \times \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= 0\\ \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} + \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{S} &= -\nabla \pi + \boldsymbol{f},\\ \boldsymbol{S} &= 2 \nu(\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2) \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Problem well-posedness—first observations

$$\nu = \nu(\pi)$$

- M. Renardy, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., 1986.
- ▶ F. Gazzola, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 1997.
- ▶ F. Gazzola, P. Secchi, Navier-Stokes eq.: th. and num. meth. 1998.

Mathematical formulation inside  $(0, T) \times \Omega$ :  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$  $\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{S} = -\nabla \pi + \mathbf{f}$ ,

Problem well-posedness—a wave of succesful results

$$\left| rac{\partial oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial oldsymbol{D}} \sim (1 + |oldsymbol{D}|^2)^{rac{p-2}{2}} \qquad \left| rac{\partial oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \pi} 
ight| \leq \gamma_0 \left(1 + |oldsymbol{D}|^2\right)^{rac{p-2}{4}} \qquad 1$$

 $S = 2\nu(\pi, |D(\mathbf{v})|^2) D(\mathbf{v})$ 

Málek, Nečas, Rajagopal, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 2002.

& Hron, Bulíček, Majdoub, ...

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

Mathematical formulation inside  $\Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div} \, \boldsymbol{v} &= 0 \\ &\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) - \operatorname{div} \, \boldsymbol{S} &= -\nabla \pi + \boldsymbol{f} \,, \\ &\boldsymbol{S} &= 2 \, \nu(\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2) \, \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Problem well-posedness—a wave of succesful results

$$\left| rac{\partial oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial oldsymbol{D}} \sim (1 + |oldsymbol{D}|^2)^{rac{p-2}{2}} \qquad \left| rac{\partial oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \pi} 
ight| \leq \gamma_0 \left(1 + |oldsymbol{D}|^2\right)^{rac{p-2}{4}} \qquad 1$$

Franta, Málek, Rajagopal, Proc. Royal Soc. A, 2005

& Bulíček, Fišerová, Kaplický, Lanzendörfer, Stebel, Hirn, ...

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

## Basic a priori estimates Weak formulation

$$egin{aligned} & (q, \operatorname{div} oldsymbol{w})_\Omega = 0 \ & (oldsymbol{S}(\pi, oldsymbol{D}(oldsymbol{v})), oldsymbol{D}(oldsymbol{w}))_\Omega - (\pi, \operatorname{div} oldsymbol{w})_\Omega = (oldsymbol{f}, oldsymbol{w})_\Omega - (oldsymbol{b} \ \ \ , oldsymbol{w})_{\Gamma_P} \end{aligned}$$

Inf–sup inequality and the boundedness of  $\partial_{\pi} \boldsymbol{S}$ 

$$0 < \beta \leq \inf_{q \in \mathcal{L}_{b,c.}^{p'}(\Omega)} \sup_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{W}_{b,c.}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{(q, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{w})_{\Omega}}{\|q\|_{p'} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{1,p}}$$

Pressure uniquely determined by velocity?

$$\begin{split} \beta \|\pi^1 - \pi^2\|_{p'} &\leq \|\boldsymbol{S}(\pi^1, \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})) - \boldsymbol{S}(\pi^2, \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}))\|_{p'} \leq \left\| \int_{\pi^1}^{\pi^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{S}(\pi, \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}))}{\partial \pi} \mathrm{d}\pi \right\|_{p'} \\ &\leq \gamma_0 \|\pi^1 - \pi^2\|_{p'} \quad \text{where} \quad \left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{S}}{\partial \pi} \right| \leq \gamma_0 \end{split}$$

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

3

## Two major questions

- ... concerned with Reynolds equation
- Is it based on well-posed system of equations?
  - no success for  $\nu(\pi)$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  successful analysis for a subclass of models within the subcritical case:  $|\partial {\bf S}/\partial \pi| < \ldots < 1$
  - ▶ no success in the supercritical case  $|\partial S/\partial \pi| > 1$ .

#### Flow in a converging channel

Newtonian model  $\nu = \text{const}$ 



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

#### Flow in a converging channel Barus model $\nu = \exp(\alpha \pi)$ , $\alpha = 0.306$ Cells pe 2.72 2.54 2.36 2.18 2 1.82 1.64 1.46 1.28 1.1 0.923 -0.743 -0.564 -0.384 0.205 0.025 Cells mu -0.115 -0.102 0 103 0.086 0.082 0.078 0.0744 0.0703 0.0662 0.0622 0.0581 0.054

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

Piezoviscous lubrication

November 30, 2012 10 / 16

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

#### Flow in a converging channel Barus model $\nu = \exp(\alpha \pi)$ , $\alpha = 0.3061$



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

# Sensitivity on boundary data



Pressure for  $\pi(0) = \pi(L) = 0$  (full), 1 MPa (dashed) a 10 MPa (dash dotted).







イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

viskosity  $\nu(\pi, |\boldsymbol{D}|)$ 

#### Discrete problem stability.

Numerical experiments for journal bearing.



## Two major questions

- ... concerned with Reynolds equation
- Is it based on well-posed system of equations?
  - no success for  $\nu(\pi)$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  successful analysis for a subclass of models within the subcritical case:  $|\partial \pmb{S}/\partial \pi| < \ldots < 1$
  - ▶ no success in the supercritical case  $|\partial S/\partial \pi| > 1$ .

#### When do the assumptions on the flow characteristics hold?

- no success with FEM simulations in the supercritical case
- ► interesting phenomena (e.g. the modified Reynolds equation) arise in the supercritical, ⇒ validation of Reynolds approximation not possible
- in subcritical case, a priori error estimates for FEM derived (Hirn, Lanzendörfer, Stebel, 2012)
- a posteriori error analysis and adaptive FEM needed for quantitative studies (localized nonlinearity)

### Thank you for your attention

M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

Piezoviscous lubrication

< E ▶ < E ▶ E ∽ Q (~ November 30, 2012 14 / 16



M. Lanzendörfer (Charles University; ICS CAS)

November 30, 2012 15 / 16

## Poiseuille flow



Calls and 1997

the pressure  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ 

the viscosity  $\nu(p)$ 



the velocity component  $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{x}$ 



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

the velocity component  $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{y}$