

NUMERICAL BEHAVIOR of INDEFINITE ORTHOGONALIZATION

Miro Rozložník, Felicja Okulicka-Dłużewska and Alicja Smoktunowicz

Abstract

For a real symmetric nonsingular matrix $B \in \mathcal{R}^{m,m}$ and for a full column rank matrix $A \in \mathcal{R}^{m,n}$ ($m \geq n$) we look for the decomposition $A = QR$, where the columns of $Q \in \mathcal{R}^{m,n}$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form induced by the matrix B so that $Q^T B Q = \Omega = \text{diag}(\pm 1)$ and where $R \in \mathcal{R}^{n,n}$ is upper triangular with positive diagonal elements. Such problems appear explicitly or implicitly in many applications such as eigenvalue problems, matrix pencils and structure-preserving algorithms, interior-point methods or indefinite least squares problems. It is clear that for $B = I$ or $B = -I$ we get the standard QR decomposition of the matrix A . If B is positive and diagonal then the problem is equivalent to the standard decomposition of the row-scaled matrix $\text{diag}^{1/2}(B)A$. For a general but still symmetric positive definite B , the factors can be obtained from the QR factorization in the form $B^{1/2}A = (B^{1/2}Q)R$. The indefinite case of $B \in \text{diag}(\pm 1)$ has been studied extensively by several authors. It appears that under assumption on nonzero principal minors of $A^T B A$ each nonsingular square A can be decomposed into a product $A = QR$ with $Q^T B Q \in \text{diag}(\pm 1)$ and R being upper triangular. These concepts can be extended also to the case of a full column rank A and a general indefinite (but nonsingular) matrix B .

Although all orthogonalization schemes are mathematically equivalent, their numerical behavior can be significantly different. The numerical behavior of orthogonalization techniques with the standard inner product $B = I$ has been studied extensively over last several decades including the Householder, Givens QR and modified Gram-Schmidt. The classical Gram-Schmidt (CGS) and its reorthogonalized version have been studied much later in [1, 4, 5]. It is also known that the weighted Gram-Schmidt with diagonal B is numerically similar to the standard process applied to the row-scaled matrix $\text{diag}^{1/2}(B)A$. Several orthogonalization schemes with a non-standard inner product have been studied including the analysis of the effect of conditioning of B on the factorization error and on the loss of B -orthogonality between the computed vectors [2].

In this contribution we consider the case of symmetric indefinite B and assume that $A^T B A$ is strongly nonsingular (i.e. that each principal submatrix $A_j^T B A_j$ is nonsingular for $j = 1, \dots, n$, where A_j denotes the matrix with the first j columns of A). Then the Cholesky-like decomposition of indefinite $A^T B A$ exists and the triangular factor R can be recovered from $A^T B A = R^T \Omega R$. We first analyze the conditioning of factors Q and R . It is clear that if B is positive definite then $\|R\| = \|B^{1/2}A\|$, $\|R^{-1}\| = 1/\sigma_{\min}(B^{1/2}A)$ and $\|Q\| \leq \|B^{-1}\|^{1/2}$, $\sigma_{\min}(Q) \geq 1/\|B\|^{1/2}$. Therefore $\kappa(R) = \kappa(B^{1/2}A) = \kappa^{1/2}(A^T B A)$ and $\kappa(Q) \leq \kappa^{1/2}(B)$. However, for B indefinite we have only $\|A^T B A\| \leq \|\Omega\| \|R\|^2$ and $\|(A^T B A)^{-1}\| \leq \|\Omega^{-1}\| \|R^{-1}\|^2$ and so the square root of the condition number of $A^T B A$ is just a lower bound for the condition number of the factor R , i.e. $\kappa^{1/2}(A^T B A) \leq \kappa(R)$. The upper bound for $\kappa(R)$ seems more difficult to obtain. One must look at its principal submatrices R_j and derive bounds for the norm of their inverses $\|R_j^{-1}\|$ considering

$$(R_j^T R_j)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (R_{j-1}^T R_{j-1})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \omega_j \left[(A_j^T B A_j)^{-1} - \begin{pmatrix} (A_{j-1}^T B A_{j-1})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

This identity provides the basic insight into relation between the minimum singular value of the factor R and the minimum singular values of some principal submatrices of $A_j^T B A_j$. Observe that its recursive use leads to the expansion of the matrix $(R^T R)^{-1}$ in terms of $(A^T B A)^{-1}$ and in terms of only those inverses of principal submatrices $(A_j^T B A_j)^{-1}$ where there is a change of the sign in

the factor $\Omega = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, i.e. for such $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ where $\omega_{j+1} \neq \omega_j$. It follows then that $|\omega_{j+1} - \omega_j| = 2$ and therefore we have the bound

$$\|R^{-1}\|^2 \leq \|(A^T BA)^{-1}\| + 2 \sum_{j; \omega_{j+1} \neq \omega_j} \|(A_j^T BA_j)^{-1}\|. \quad (1)$$

The norm of the factor R can be either bounded as $\|R\| \leq \|A^T BA\| \|R^{-T}\|$ or one can consider similar identity for $R^T R$ and get the bound for $\|R\|$ in terms of the Schur complements corresponding only to those principal submatrices $A_j^T BA_j$ (subject to $A^T BA$) where $\omega_{j+1} \neq \omega_j$, i.e.

$$\|R\|^2 \leq \|A^T BA\| + 2 \sum_{j; \omega_{j+1} \neq \omega_j} \|(A^T BA) \setminus (A_j^T BA_j)\|. \quad (2)$$

The bounds (1) and (2) can be reformulated also for quasi-definite matrices $A^T BA$, where the factor Ω has a particular structure $\Omega = \text{diag}(I; -I)$ with appropriate dimensions. The only nonzero term in the sum over principal submatrices corresponds to the biggest positive definite principal submatrix of $A^T BA$. The singular values of the factor Q can be bounded from $Q = AR^{-1}$.

Here we analyze two types of important schemes used for orthogonalization with respect to the bilinear form induced by B . We give the worst-case bounds for quantities computed in finite precision arithmetic and formulate our results on the loss of orthogonality and on the factorization error (measured by $\|\bar{Q}^T B \bar{Q} - I\|$ and $\|A - \bar{Q} \bar{R}\|$) in terms of quantities proportional to the roundoff unit u , in terms of $\|A\|$ and $\|B\|$ and in terms of the extremal singular values of factors \bar{Q} and \bar{R} . Based on previous discussion the latter depend on the extremal singular values of the matrix $A^T BA$ and principal submatrices $A_j^T BA_j$ with the change of the sign $\bar{\omega}_{j+1} \neq \bar{\omega}_j$ during the orthogonalization. First we analyze the QR implementation based on the Cholesky-like decomposition of indefinite $A^T BA$. We show that assuming $\mathcal{O}(u) \kappa(A^T BA) \|A\|^2 \|B\| \max_{j, \bar{\omega}_{j+1} \neq \bar{\omega}_j} \|(A_j^T BA_j)^{-1}\| < 1$ such decomposition runs to completion and the computed factors \bar{R} and $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfy $A^T BA + \Delta B = \bar{R}^T \bar{\Omega} \bar{R}$ with $\|\Delta B\| \leq \mathcal{O}(u) [\|\bar{R}\|^2 + \|B\| \|A\|^2]$. For the computed orthogonal factor \bar{Q} it follows then that $\|\bar{Q}^T B \bar{Q} - \bar{\Omega}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(u) \kappa(\bar{R}) [\kappa(\bar{R}) + 2\|B \bar{Q}\| \|\bar{Q}\|]$. The accuracy of these factors can be improved by one step of iterative refinement when we apply the same decomposition to the actual $\bar{Q}^T A \bar{Q}$ and get the bound $\|\bar{Q}^T B \bar{Q} - \bar{\Omega}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(u) \|B\| \|\bar{Q}\|^2$. We consider also the B -CGS algorithm and its version with reorthogonalization and show that their behavior is similar to Cholesky-like QR decomposition and its variant with refinement, respectively. The details can be found in [3].

References

- [1] L. Giraud, J. Langou, M. Rozložník, and J. van den Eshof. Rounding error analysis of the classical Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process, *Num. Math.*, 101: 87-100, 2005.
- [2] M. Rozložník, J. Kopal, M. Tůma, A. Smoktunowicz, Numerical stability of orthogonalization methods with a non-standard inner product, *BIT Numerical Mathematics* (2012) 52:1035-1058.
- [3] M. Rozložník, A. Smoktunowicz and F. Okulicka-Dłużewska. Indefinite orthogonalization with rounding errors, 2013, to be submitted.
- [4] A. Smoktunowicz, J.L. Barlow, and J. Langou. A note on the error analysis of classical Gram-Schmidt. *Numer. Math.*, 105(2):299-313, 2006.
- [5] J. Barlow and A. Smoktunowicz. Reorthogonalized block classical Gram-Schmidt, *Numerische Mathematik* 123 (3), 395-423 (2013).