

On the complexity of validity degrees in Łukasiewicz logic

Zuzana Haniková

Institute of Computer Science of the
Czech Academy of Sciences

*Computability in Europe,
Salerno (online), July 1, 2020*

A semantics for Łukasiewicz logic L_ω

MV-terms: function symbols $\{\oplus, \neg\}$ on a countably infinite set of variables.

Domain: the interval $[0, 1]$ of the reals.

Interpretation of symbols:

$$x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y)$$

$$\neg x = 1 - x$$

The algebra $[0, 1]_L = \langle [0, 1], \oplus, \neg \rangle$ is an intended semantics of L_ω .

The only designated value is 1 (the top element).

The subalgebra on $\{0, 1\}$ is (isomorphic to) the two-element Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}_B$.

Definable symbols:

- $x \odot y$ is $\neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$;
- $x \vee y$ is $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$ and $x \wedge y$ is $\neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$;
- $x \rightarrow y$ is $\neg x \oplus y$;
- $x \equiv y$ is $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow x)$.

x^n is $\underbrace{x \odot \cdots \odot x}_n$ and nx is $\underbrace{x \oplus \cdots \oplus x}_n$.

[Łukasiewicz 1922; Łukasiewicz and Tarski 1930]

McNaughton functions

Denote f_φ the function defined by the term φ in $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}$.

A function $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **McNaughton function** if

- f is **continuous**
- f is **piecewise linear**: there are finitely many linear polynomials $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$, with $p_i(\bar{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j + b_i$, such that for any $\bar{x} \in [0, 1]^n$ there is an $i \in I$ with $f(\bar{x}) = p_i(\bar{x})$
- the polynomials p_i have **integer coefficients** \bar{a}_i, b_i .

Theorem [McNaughton 1951]

Term-definable functions of $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}$ coincide with McNaughton functions.

Axioms for \mathbb{L}_ω (with \neg and \rightarrow):

$$x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)$$

$$(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))$$

$$(\neg y \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)$$

$$((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)$$

Completeness of \mathbb{L}_ω w.r.t. $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$:

- tautologies of $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ coincide with theorems
- finite consequence relation coincides with provability from finite theories

[Rose and Rosser 1958; Chang 1958–59]

$[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ provides a semantic method of investigating complexity of \mathbb{L}_ω .

Rational constants

By McNaughton theorem, constant functions (bar 0 and 1) are not term-definable.

One can **implicitly define** any rational constant in $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$.

$$x = \neg x$$

has a unique solution $1/2$, so under a theory with the schema $x \equiv \neg x$, the value of x is fixed at $1/2$.

More generally, $x \equiv (\neg x)^{n-1}$ defines $1/n$; then m/n becomes term definable as mx .

[Torrens 1994; Gispert 2002; Hájek 1998]

Expansion of language with constants

RMV-terms: MV-language expanded with constants for rationals in $[0, 1]$.

$$[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}^{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle [0, 1], \oplus, \neg, \{r \mid r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]\} \rangle.$$

“Rational Pavelka Logic” (RPL) expands \mathbb{L}_{ω} with some axioms for constants.

The algebra $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ captures **theorems** and **provability from finite theories** in RPL.

[Pavelka 1979; Hájek 1998]

The validity degree

Let $T = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k\}$ be a finite set of terms; write τ for $\psi_1 \odot \dots \odot \psi_k$.

Let φ be a term.

The **validity degree** of φ under τ is

$$\|\varphi\|_{\tau} = \min\{e(\varphi) \mid e(\tau) = 1\}.$$

In other words, $\|\varphi\|_{\tau}$ is the minimum of f_{φ} on the 1-set of f_{τ} .

Instance: (R)MV-terms τ and φ .

Output: $\|\varphi\|_{\tau}$ in $[0, 1]_{\perp}$.

Corresponding syntactic notion is the provability degree: $|\varphi|_{\tau} = \max\{r \mid \tau \vdash_{\text{RPL}} r \rightarrow \varphi\}$ and one has Pavelka completeness:

$$|\varphi|_{\tau} = \|\varphi\|_{\tau}$$

(also for infinite theories).

[Pavelka 1979; Hájek 1998]

Complexity results for \mathbb{L}_ω

Consider MV-term $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

f_φ introduces a polyhedral complex C on its domain (i.e., $\bigcup C = [0, 1]^n$)
s.t. restriction of f_φ to each (n -dimensional) cell of C is a linear polynomial.

$\text{MIN}(\varphi)$, the minimum value of f_φ on $[0, 1]^n$ is **attained at a vertex** of a cell in C .

Vertices of cells of C occur as **solutions of systems of linear equations**,
with integer coefficient bounded by $\#\varphi$ (the number of occurrences of variables in φ).

For a vertex \bar{p} of an n -dimensional cell of C ,

$$\text{den}(\bar{p}) \leq \left(\frac{\#\varphi}{n}\right)^n$$

where $\text{den}(\bar{x})$ for a rational vector $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the least common denominator of x_1, \dots, x_n and $\#\varphi$ is the length of φ .

Tautologous terms of the standard MV-algebra are in coNP.

[Mundici 1987; Aguzzoli and Ciabattoni 2000; Aguzzoli 2006]

Non-approximability of minimum value

Theorem

Let $\delta < 1/2$ be a positive real. Suppose ALG is a poly-time algorithm computing, for MV-term φ , a real number $ALG(\varphi)$ satisfying $|ALG(\varphi) - MIN(\varphi)| \leq \delta$. Then $P = NP$.

Proof: recognize Boolean non-tautologies using ALG.

Instance: Boolean formula φ , given as $\{\oplus, \wedge\}$ -combination of literals.

Then f_φ in $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ is a concave function. and $MIN(\varphi)$ is either 0 or 1.

We have

$$\varphi \notin \text{TAUT}(\{0, 1\}_{\mathbb{B}}) \text{ iff } MIN(\varphi) = 0 \text{ iff } ALG(\varphi) < 1/2.$$

[H., Savický 2016]

Optimization problems

Consider optimization problems such as the TSP:

given a graph with integer weights on edges,

- which is the roundtrip with a minimal cost? (optimization)
- which is the minimal cost of a roundtrip? (cost)
- given integer k , is there a roundtrip of cost at most k ? (decision)

With validity degree, we are looking at a **cost version** of an optimization problem.

“Usual” binary search using the decision version as an oracle.

FP^{NP} is the class of functions computable in poly-time with an NP oracle.

Computing $\text{MIN}(\varphi)$: oracle computation

$\text{MIN}(\varphi)$ is attained at a vertex of a polyhedral decomposition of the domain with rational coordinates \bar{p} with $\text{den}(\bar{p}) \leq (\#\varphi/n)^n$.

Oracle: given φ and a rational $r \in [0, 1]$, is $\text{MIN}(\varphi) \leq r$?

This is clearly in NP.

(Actually NP-complete, cf. GenSAT problem in [Mundici and Olivetti, 1998])

Binary search within rationals on $[0, 1]$ with denominators up to $N = (\#\varphi/n)^n$.

Minimal distance of any two such numbers: $\left| \frac{p_1}{q_1} - \frac{p_2}{q_2} \right| \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$

First, test $\text{MIN}(\varphi) \leq 0$; if so, output 0.

Otherwise let $a := 0$ and $b := 1$ and $k := 0$.

Repeat $++k$; $\text{MIN}(\varphi) \leq (a + b)/2$? $\begin{cases} \text{Y } b & := (a + b)/2; \\ \text{N } a & := (a + b)/2; \end{cases}$ until $2^k > N^2$.

This yields an interval $[m/2^k, (m + 1)/2^k)$ for some m , of length $1/2^k$, with **exactly one** rational with denominator up to N .

Pick a value in $(m/2^k, (m + 1)/2^k)$ and compute best rational approximations.

MAX value is in FP^{NP} .

Computing the Validity Degree: oracle computation

Instance: (R)MV-terms τ and φ .

Output: $\|\varphi\|_{\tau}$.

To obtain upper bound for binary search, get rid of constants. (Implicit definability.)

The minimum of f_{φ} on the (compact) 1-region of f_{τ} is attained at a vertex of the common refinement of complexes of f_{φ} and f_{τ} .

Upper bounds on denominators: $N = ((\#\tau + \#\varphi)/n)^n$ from [Aguzzoli and Ciabattoni, 2006].

Validity Degree in FP^{NP} .

Metric reductions, and a separation

[Krentel 1998]

Let $f, g : \Sigma^* \rightarrow N$.

A **metric reduction** of f to g is a pair (h_1, h_2) of p-time functions (with $h_1 : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ and $h_2 : \Sigma^* \times N \rightarrow N$) such that $f(x) = h_2(x, g(h_1(x)))$ for each $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Let $z : N \rightarrow N$. $FP^{NP}[z(n)]$ is the class of functions computable in P-time with NP oracle with **at most $z(|x|)$ oracle calls** for input x . (So $FP^{NP} = FP^{NP}[n^{O(1)}]$.)

Theorem [Krentel 1988]

Assume $P \neq NP$. Then $FP^{NP}[O(\log \log n)] \neq FP^{NP}[O(\log n)] \neq FP^{NP}[n^{O(1)}]$.

In particular, there are no metric reductions from FP^{NP} -complete problems to problems in $FP^{NP}[O(\log n)]$.

[Krentel: Complexity of optimization problems, 1988]

Weighted MaxSAT problem

- Weighted MaxSAT

Instance: Boolean CNF formula $C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n$ (k variables) with weights w_1, \dots, w_n .

Output: $\max_e \sum_i w_i e(C_i)$ (max sum of weights of true clauses over all assignments to φ).

Theorem [Krentel 1988]

Weighted MaxSAT is complete in FP^{NP} (under metric reductions).

Validity Degree: lower bound

Theorem

Validity degree is FP^{NP} -complete under metric reductions.

Proof: reduce weighted MaxSAT to Validity Degree.

Maximize $\sum_i w_i e(C_i)$ over all assignments e .

- Switch min and max (using \neg);
- scale weights: take $w = \sum_i w_i$ and replace w_i with $w'_i = w_i/w$ (and de-scale again);

Build a theory T (or τ) to

- make assignments Boolean (adding $x_i \vee \neg x_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$)
- implicitly condition each w'_i with C_i under v :

- $b \equiv (\neg b)^{w-1}$ (implicitly defines $1/w$);
- $y_i \rightarrow b$ and $wy_i \equiv C_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$; then
 - $v(C_i) = 0$ implies $v(y_i) = 0$
 - $v(C_i) = 1$ implies $v(y_i) \geq 1/w$

and so $v(y_i) = v(C_i)1/w$ for any model e of T ;

- $z_i \equiv wy_i$;

which yields $v(z_i) = v(C_i)w'_i$ for any model v of T and any i .

Finally, let Φ be $\neg(z_1 \oplus z_2 \oplus \dots \oplus z_n)$. Compute $m = \|\Phi\|_T$ and return $(1 - m)w$.

End

Thank you!