

SAT and MaxSAT problems in Łukasiewicz logic

Zuzana Haniková

Institute of Computer Science, Czech Academy of Sciences

Nonclassical Logic Days, Barcelona, Oct 14, 2022

Decision problems & SAT

Fix the basic language of Łukasiewicz logic: \mathcal{L} as $\{\neg, \oplus\}$.

This gives the set \mathcal{F} of (propositional) wff's.

MV is the class of MV-algebras.

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \text{MV}$.

$$\text{SAT}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{F} \mid \exists v_{\mathbf{A}} (v_{\mathbf{A}}(\varphi) = 1^{\mathbf{A}})\}$$

Solvability of finite systems of MV-equations in \mathbf{A} .

We use $[0, 1]_{\mathbf{L}}$ for $\Gamma(\mathbf{R}, 1)$ (the “standard MV-algebra”).

$\text{SAT}([0, 1]_{\mathbf{L}})$ is in NP (in fact, NP-complete).

[Mundici: SAT in many-valued sentential logic is NP-complete, 1987]

Let $\overline{\text{SAT}}(\mathbf{A})$ be the complement of $\text{SAT}(\mathbf{A})$ in \mathcal{F} .

Then $\varphi \in \overline{\text{SAT}}(\mathbf{A})$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models \varphi \approx 1 \Rightarrow 0 \approx 1$.

Thus $\overline{\text{SAT}}(\mathbf{A})$ is a fragment of the Q-theory of \mathbf{A} .

Optimization tasks & MaxSAT

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ be a list of formulas in \mathcal{F} .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MaxSAT}(\mathbf{A})(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) &= \\ &= \max\{m \leq k \mid \exists v_A \exists I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} [|I| = m \ \& \ \forall i \in I (v_A(\varphi_i) = 1^A)] \ \& \\ &\quad \forall v_A \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} [|I| > m \Rightarrow \exists i \in I (v_A(\varphi_i) < 1^A)] \} \end{aligned}$$

Maximal number of (simultaneously!) satisfied formulas,
over all assignments.

NB. $\varphi_{i_1}, \dots, \varphi_{i_n}$ are simultaneously satisfiable in \mathbf{A} iff $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n \varphi_{i_j} \in \text{SAT}(\mathbf{A})$.

Geometry and complexity

$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ formula in \mathcal{F} ; f_φ its interpretation in $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$;

$\#\varphi$ number of occurrences of variables in φ .

The function f_φ introduces a **polyhedral complex** $C(\varphi)$ on $[0, 1]^n$ s.t.

- $[0, 1]^n = \bigcup C(\varphi)$ and
- f_φ is linear over each n -dimensional cell of $C(\varphi)$.

The **1-region** of φ is union of cells of $C(\varphi)$

such that f_φ attains the value 1 on all points in the cell.

This is a compact subset of $[0, 1]^n$.

Vertices of C occur as **solutions of systems of linear equations**, with integer coefficient bounded by $\#\varphi$.

Theorem [A.–C. 2000]: For a vertex \bar{p} of an n -dimensional cell of C ,

$$\text{den}(\bar{p}) \leq \left(\frac{\#\varphi}{n}\right)^n$$

where $\text{den}(\bar{p})$ for a rational vector $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is the least common denominator of p_1, \dots, p_n .

Corollary: $\text{SAT}([0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}})$ is in NP.

[McNaughton 1951; Mundici 1987; Aguzzoli and Ciabattoni 2000; Aguzzoli 2006]

Geometry and complexity – cont'd

$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ formulas of \mathcal{L} , with variables among x_1, \dots, x_n .

The **common refinement** of $C(\varphi_1), \dots, C(\varphi_k)$ (considered n -dimensional) is the polyhedral complex whose n -dimensional cells are precisely all (n -dimensional) intersections of n -dimensional cells of $C(\varphi_1), \dots, C(\varphi_k)$.

Theorem [\sim A.–C. 2000]: For a vertex \bar{p} of an n -dimensional cell of the common refinement of $C(\varphi_1), \dots, C(\varphi_k)$,

$$\text{den}(\bar{p}) \leq \binom{\sum_{i=1}^k \#\varphi_i}{n}^n$$

[Aguzzoli and Ciabattoni 2000]

A decision version of MaxSAT for $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ (**D-MaxSAT**($[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$)):

$$\{ \langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \rangle, m \leq k \mid \text{MaxSAT}([0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}})(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) \geq m \}$$

Corollary: **D-MaxSAT**($[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$) is in NP.

Oracle computation for MaxSAT

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ be given.

Assume an oracle for D-MaxSAT($[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$).

Binary search on the interval of natural numbers $\{0, \dots, k\}$.

Let $a := 0$ and $b := k$.

While $a < b$ do {

$m := \lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor$;

D-MaxSAT($[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$)($\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \rangle, m$)? $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(yes)} & a := m + 1; \\ \text{(no)} & b := m; \end{array} \right.$

}

Return b .

Corollary: **MaxSAT**($[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$) is in FP^{NP} .

NB. Any NP-complete problem will do as oracle.

Tableau calculus for MaxSAT[L₃] [Li, Manyà, Vidal 2020]

Labelled formulas $\{r\} : \alpha$, where $r \in \{0, 1/2, 1\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$.

A labelled formula $\{r\} : \alpha$ is satisfied by an assignment v iff $v(\alpha) = r$.

Given a multiset of labelled formulas $\{1\} : \alpha_1, \dots, \{1\} : \alpha_k$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{F}$, the calculus computes the minimal number of unsatisfied formulas.

strong disjunction

$$\frac{\{0\} : (\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)}{\square \quad \begin{array}{|l} \{0\} : \phi_1 \\ \{0\} : \phi_2 \end{array}} \quad \frac{\{1\} : (\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)}{\square \quad \begin{array}{|l|l|l} \{1\} : \phi_1 & \{1\} : \phi_2 & \{1/2\} : \phi_1 \\ \{1/2\} : \phi_1 & & \{1/2\} : \phi_2 \end{array}}$$

$$\frac{\{1/2\} : (\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)}{\square \quad \begin{array}{|l|l|l} \{0\} : \phi_1 & \{1/2\} : \phi_1 \\ \{1/2\} : \phi_2 & \{0\} : \phi_2 \end{array}}$$

negation

$$\frac{\{0\} : \neg\phi}{\{1\} : \phi} \quad \frac{\{1/2\} : \neg\phi}{\{1/2\} : \phi} \quad \frac{\{1\} : \neg\phi}{\{0\} : \phi}$$

.....

For a variable x_i , list its labels $(r_{i,1}, \dots, r_{i,m})$ in decreasing frequency.

Append \square for each occurrence of a label distinct from $r_{i,1}$.

Finite-valued reduction for MaxSAT $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$

Work in $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$.

Consider $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{F}$.

Assume that the intersection of 1-regions of $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$, for some $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, is nonempty.

Then there is at least one vertex p of a cell in the intersection, namely, of the common refinement of $C(\varphi_i)$, $i \in I$.

We have

$$\text{den}(\bar{p}) \leq \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I} \#\varphi_i}{n}\right)^n \leq \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \#\varphi_i}{n}\right)^n$$

Hence, the coordinates of \bar{p} belong to \mathbb{L}_N with

$$N = \text{lcm}\left\{1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \#\varphi_i}{n}\right)^n\right\} \approx \exp\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \#\varphi_i}{n}\right)^n\right)$$