A SHORT PROOF OF FINITENESS OF MURTY'S PRINCIPAL PIVOTING ALGORITHM

Jiri ROHN

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranske nam. 25, 118 00 Prague, Czechoslovakia

Received 22 February 1988 Revised manuscript received 18 July 1988

We give a short proof of the finiteness of Murty's principal pivoting algorithm for solving the linear complementarity problem y = Mz + q, $y^Tz = 0$, $y \ge 0$, $z \ge 0$ with P-matrix M.

Key words: Linear complementarity problem, P-matrix.

Murty proposed in [2] a principal pivoting algorithm for solving a linear complementarity problem

$$y = Mz + q, (1)$$

$$y^{\mathsf{T}}z = 0, (2)$$

$$y \ge 0, \quad z \ge 0, \tag{3}$$

with an $n \times n$ P-matrix M. The algorithm starts with y = q, z = 0 and in the subsequent steps maintains (1) and (2) while working toward reaching (3). At each step, exactly one of the variables y_j , z_j is in the basis for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. If in the current step the updated right-hand side vector \bar{q} is not nonnegative, we compute

$$k = \min\{j; \, \bar{q}_i < 0\} \tag{4}$$

and introduce into basis the nonbasic variable in the pair y_k , z_k , with pivot in the kth row. Murty showed in [2] that if M is a P-matrix, then the pivot choice is correct (the pivot is then nonzero due to Tucker's result [4]) and proved that after a finite number of steps \bar{q} becomes nonnegative and the algorithm terminates with a solution to (1)-(3). We shall reprove here the finiteness of his algorithm using this auxiliary result:

Lemma. Let M be a P-matrix and let (y^1, z^1) and (y^2, z^2) satisfy $(1), (2), (y^1, z^1) \neq (y^2, z^2)$. Then there exists an $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that

$$y_i^1 z_i^2 < 0 \quad or \quad y_i^2 z_i^1 < 0$$
 (5)

holds.

Proof. From $y^1 = Mz^1 + q$, $y^2 = Mz^2 + q$ it follows that $y^1 - y^2 = M(z^1 - z^2)$ and $z^1 \neq z^2$ (otherwise $(y^1, z^1) = (y^2, z^2)$); hence, due to a characterization of *P*-matrices by Fiedler and Pták [1], there exists an $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ with $(y_i^1 - y_i^2)(z_i^1 - z_i^2) > 0$. But, from (2), we have $(y_i^1 - y_i^2)(z_i^1 - z_i^2) = -y_i^1 z_i^2 - y_i^2 z_i^1$, whence (5) holds. \square

Theorem. Let M be a P-matrix. Then each $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ can be chosen by rule (4) at most 2^{n-k} times during the algorithm. Hence Murty's algorithm is finite, giving the unique solution to (1)-(3) in at most $2^n - 1$ steps.

Proof. We shall prove the assertion by induction on k = n, n - 1, ..., 1.

Case k = n. Assume n appears at least twice in the sequence of k's and let (y^1, z^1) , (y^2, z^2) be the solutions corresponding to its first and second appearance, respectively. Then $y_i^1 z_i^2 \ge 0$, $y_i^2 z_i^1 \ge 0$ for each i < n by (4) and one of the numbers $y_n^1 z_n^2$, $y_n^2 z_n^1$ is positive, while the second one is zero. Hence $y_i^1 z_i^2 \ge 0$, $y_i^2 z_i^1 \ge 0$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, contradicting the lemma.

Case k < n. Consider any two consecutive appearances of k in the sequence and let (y^1, z^1) , (y^2, z^2) be the respective solutions. Then $(y^1, z^1) \neq (y^2, z^2)$ and arguing as above, we get that $y_i^1 z_i^2 \ge 0$, $y_i^2 z_i^1 \ge 0$ for each $i \le k$. Hence, according to the lemma, there exists an i > k such that (5) holds, which means that the variable y_i is basic in one of the solutions (y^1, z^1) , (y^2, z^2) while z_i is basic in the second one of them, implying that this i, i > k, must have been chosen by rule (4) in some of the pivot steps between the two appearances of k. This shows, in view of the inductive assumption, that k cannot appear more than $\sum_{i=k+1}^n 2^{n-i} + 1 = 2^{n-k}$ times, which concludes the inductive proof. Hence the algorithm is finite and cannot take more than $\sum_{k=1}^n 2^{n-k} = 2^n - 1$ steps. The obtained solution to (1)-(3) is unique since the existence of another solution would contradict (3) in view of (5). \square

Note that in [3] Murty constructed for any $n \ge 1$ an LCP of size n for which the algorithm takes exactly $2^n - 1$ steps.

References

- M. Fiedler and V. Pták, "On matrices with non-positive off-diagonal elements and positive principal minors," Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 12 (1962) 382-400.
- [2] K.G. Murty, "Note on a Bard-type scheme for solving the complementarity problem," Opsearch 11 (1974) 123-130.
- [3] K.G. Murty, "Computational complexity of complementary pivot methods," *Mathematical Programming Study* 7 (1978) 61-73.
- [4] A.W. Tucker, "Principal pivotal transforms of square matrices," SIAM Review 5 (1963) 305.