by

## J. Rohn, Prague

Abstract. Exact bounds for eigenvalues of a symmetric interval matrix of the form  $A^{r} = [A_{r} - rr^{r}]$ ,  $A_{r} + rr^{r}]$  (A symmetric, r > 0) are given under assumptions that all eigenvalues of  $A_{r}$  are mutually different, the eigenvectors of  $A_{r}$  have nonzero entries and r is sufficiently small in norm to preserve these properties over  $A^{r}$ .

AMS subject classification: 65G10, 65F15

In this paper we investigate the eigenvalues of a symmetric interval matrix  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{I}} = [\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{rr}^{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}} + \mathbf{rr}^{\mathbf{T}}]$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is a symmetric nxn matrix and  $\mathbf{r}$  is a (column) vector whose all entries are positive. We shall give the results under three assumptions. First we shall assume that

(i) each  $A \in A^T$  has n different real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(A) < \lambda_2(A) < \ldots < \lambda_n(A)$ .

Then we may define the sets

$$L_i = \{ \lambda_i(A); A \in A \}$$
 (i = 1,...,n).

- Second we shall assume that
- (ii)  $L_j \cap L_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  (i,j = 1,...,n) holds. Before formulating the third assumption, we first introduce, for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the matrix  $T_a$  as the diagonal matrix with diagonal vector a, and define  $Y = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n; |z_j| = 1 \text{ for each } j\}$ . We assume
  - (iii) for each  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$  there exists a  $y_i \in Y$  such that each eigenvector x corresponding to an eigenvalue from  $L_i$  satisfies either  $T_{y_i} x > 0$ , or  $T_{y_i} x < 0$ .

Here the inequalities are to be understood componentwise. If we introduce the signature vector  $\operatorname{sgn} x$  of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $(\operatorname{sgn} x)_i = 1$  if  $x_i > 0$  and  $(\operatorname{sgn} x)_i = -1$  otherwise, then each eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue from  $L_i$  satisfies  $\operatorname{sgn} x = y_i$  or  $\operatorname{sgn} x = -y_i$ . To simplify notations, denote  $T_i := T_{y_i}$ . Since eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues of  $A_c$  are orthogonal, we have  $y_i \neq y_j$ , thus also  $T_i \neq T_j$ , for each  $i \neq j$ .

In the key part of the proof of Theorem 1, we shall use the following lemma, which is of independent interest.

Lemma. Let B be a regular nxn matrix and let p,q be non-negative vectors from  $R^n$ . Then the interval matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} B - qp^T, B + qp^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ is singular if and only if } z^T r_p B^{-1} T_q y \geqslant 1$ 

holds for some z, y ∈ Y.

<u>Proof.</u> According to Theorem 6.3 in [2, p.44],  $[B-qp^T, B+qp^T] \quad \text{is singular if and only if there exist}$   $\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y} \quad \text{such that the matrix } B^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{q}p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}} \quad \text{has a real eigenvalue } \lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \ge 1$ . Then  $B^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{q}p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x} = (p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}) B^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{q} = \lambda \mathbf{x} \text{ for some}$   $\mathbf{x} \ne 0, \text{ where } p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x} \ne 0 \text{ due to } \lambda \ne 0, \text{ hence premultiplying the }$  equation by  $p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}$  gives  $p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}B^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{q} = \lambda$ . Setting  $\mathbf{z}: = -\mathbf{z}$  if  $\lambda < 0$ , we obtain  $\mathbf{z}^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}}B^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{y} = p^T\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}B^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{q} = |\lambda| \ge 1$ .

In the main theorem to follow, we give exact bounds for eigenvalues and also prove that the extremal eigenvalues are achieved at some symmetric matrices from  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{I}}$ :

Theorem 1. Let r > 0 and let (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Then for each  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$  we have  $L_i = [\underline{\lambda}_i, \overline{\lambda}_i]$ 

where

$$\frac{\lambda_{i} = \min \left\{ \lambda_{i}(A_{c} - D_{i}), \lambda_{i}(A_{c} + D_{i}) \right\}}{\lambda_{i} = \max \left\{ \lambda_{i}(A_{c} - D_{i}), \lambda_{i}(A_{c} + D_{i}) \right\}}$$
(1)

and

<u>Proof.</u> The proof consists of several steps. Let  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$ . (a) We prove that L<sub>i</sub> is compact. If  $\lambda \in L_i$ , then  $\lambda = x^T A x$ for some  $A \in A^{I}$  and x satisfying  $|x|_2 = 1$ , hence  $L_i$  is bounded. To prove that  $L_i$  is closed, let  $\lambda^j \in L_i$  (j = 1, 2, ...) and  $\lambda^{j} \rightarrow \lambda$  . Then  $A^{j}x^{j} = \lambda^{j}x^{j}$  for some  $A^{j} \in A^{T}$ ,  $\|x^{j}\|_{2} = 1$ ,  $T_{i}x^{j} > 0$  (j = 1,2,...) and there exists a subsequence  $\{j_{k}\}$  such that  $A^{j_k} \longrightarrow A \subseteq A^{j_k} \longrightarrow x$ ,  $\|x\|_2 = 1$ ,  $T_1 x \geqslant 0$ ,  $Ax = \lambda x$ . Since x is an eigenvector, it must be  $T_ix>0$  due to (iii), thus x corresponds to an eigenvalue from  $L_1$ ; this shows that  $\lambda \in L_1$ , so that L, is closed and thus also compact. (b) Next we show that  $\lambda_i(A_c) \in L_i^0$ , the interior of  $L_i$ . Take an eigenvector x of  ${\bf A_c}$  corresponding to  ${\bf \lambda_i(A_c)}$  and choose an  $\varepsilon_{o} > 0$  such that  $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{o}} |x| \le r$  and  $(\lambda_{1}(A_{c}) - \varepsilon_{o} |x||_{2}^{2}$ .  $\lambda_{i}(A_{c}) + \varepsilon_{o} |x|_{2}^{2} \cap L_{i} = \emptyset$  for each  $j \neq i$  (this is possible due to the assumption (ii) and the compactness of the  $L_{j}^{\bullet}s$  established in (a)). Then for each  $\mathcal{E} \in (-\xi_0, \xi_0)$  we have  $A_c + \mathcal{E} xx^{\bar{T}} \in A^{\bar{T}}$  and  $(A_c + \varepsilon xx^T)x = (\lambda_i(A_c) + \varepsilon ||x||_2^2)x$ , hence  $\lambda_i(A_c) + \varepsilon ||x||_2^2$  is an eigenvalue from  $L_i$ ; thus  $\lambda_i(A_c) \in L_i^o$ . (c) In view of (a),  $L_i - L_i^0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda \in L_i - L_i^0$ . We shall prove that either  $\lambda = \lambda_i (A_c - D_i)$ , or  $\lambda = \lambda_i (A_c + D_i)$ . Since the

interval matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A_c - \lambda E - rr^T, A_c - \lambda E + rr^T \end{bmatrix}$  is singular and  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of  $A_c$  in view of (b) and (ii), the lemma above guarantees the existence of  $z,y \in Y$  such that  $z^T r_r (A_c - \lambda E)^{-1} r_r y \ge 1$ . Assume for contrary that  $z^T r_r (A_c - \lambda E)^{-1} r_r y \ge 1$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{E}_1 > 0$  such that  $(\lambda - \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda + \mathcal{E}_1) \cap L_j = \emptyset$  for each  $j \ne i$  and  $z^T r_r (A_c - \lambda E)^{-1} r_r y > 1$  for each  $\lambda' \in (\lambda - \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda + \mathcal{E}_1)$ , which, again employing the lemma, gives that  $(\lambda - \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda + \mathcal{E}_1) \subset L_i$  contrary to  $\lambda \notin L_i^0$ . Hence

 $\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} - \lambda \mathbf{E})^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{y} = 1$ 

holds. Put  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A_c} - \lambda \mathbf{E})^{-1} \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{A_c} - \lambda \mathbf{E})^{-1} \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{p} = 1$  and  $(\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r}) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A_c} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ ,  $(\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{T_r}) \mathbf{p} = \lambda \mathbf{p}$ , hence  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  are eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$  (since  $|\mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r}| = \mathbf{rr}^T$ , implying  $\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r} \in \mathbf{A}^T$ ; similarly  $\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{T_r} \in \mathbf{A}^T$ ). We shall prove that  $\mathbf{z_j} \mathbf{x_j} > 0$  for each  $\mathbf{j}$ . In fact, assuming  $\mathbf{z_j} \mathbf{x_j} < 0$  for some  $\mathbf{j}$  (the possibility of  $\mathbf{z_j} \mathbf{x_j} = 0$  is precluded by (iii)), for  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{y}$  given by  $\mathbf{z_j} = -\mathbf{z_j}$  and  $\mathbf{z_k} = \mathbf{z_k}$  for  $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{j}$  we would have  $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r} (\mathbf{A_c} - \lambda \mathbf{E})^{-1} \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{x}$   $\geq \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{x} = 1$  contrary to  $\lambda \notin \mathbf{L_1^0}$ , as before. Hence  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{sgn} \ \mathbf{x} = \pm \mathbf{y_1}$  and in a similar way,  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{sgn} \ \mathbf{p} = \pm \mathbf{y_1}$ . Since, as established above,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{T_r}$ , there holds either  $\lambda = \lambda_1 (\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y_1} \mathbf{y_1^T} \mathbf{T_r}) = \lambda_1 (\mathbf{A_c} - \mathbf{D_1})$ , or  $\lambda = \lambda_1 (\mathbf{A_c} + \mathbf{T_r} \mathbf{y_1} \mathbf{y_1^T} \mathbf{T_r}) = \lambda_1 (\mathbf{A_c} + \mathbf{D_1})$ .

(d) We have proved that  $L_i$  is a compact set with nonempty interior and (at most) two boundary points. Hence  $L_i = [\underline{\lambda}_i, \overline{\lambda}_i]$ , where  $\underline{\lambda}_i, \overline{\lambda}_i$  are the two boundary points, satisfying (1) in view of (c), and both  $A_c-D_i$  and  $A_c+D_i$  are symmetric.

Next we prove that each  $\lambda \in L_1$  is an eigenvalue of a matrix

in some special form:

Theorem 2. Let r > 0 and let (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Then for each  $\lambda \in L_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , there exists a  $t \in [-1, 1]$  such that  $\lambda = \lambda_i (A_c + tD_i)$ .

<u>Proof.</u> The assertion obviously holds for  $\lambda = \lambda_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{A_c})$  with  $\mathbf{t} = 0$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbf{L_i}$ ,  $\lambda \neq \lambda_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{A_c})$ , then  $\mathbf{z_o^T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{A_c} - \lambda \mathbf{E})^{-1}\mathbf{T_r}\mathbf{y_o} \ge 1$  for some  $\mathbf{z_o}, \mathbf{y_o} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Hence if  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}$  satisfy

 $\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}-\lambda\mathbf{E})^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{y} = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}-\lambda\mathbf{E})^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\overline{\mathbf{y}}; \ \overline{\mathbf{z}}, \overline{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbf{Y} \right\} \\ \text{then for } \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}-\lambda\mathbf{E})^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{y}, \ \mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}-\lambda\mathbf{E})^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{z} \text{ we obtain, in} \\ \text{a similar way as in the part (c) of the above proof,} \end{array}$ 

$$\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{p} \geqslant 1$$

$$(A_c - \frac{T_r y z^T T_r}{z^T T_r x}) x = \lambda x$$

$$(A_{c} - \frac{T_{r}zy^{T}T_{r}}{y^{T}T_{r}p})p = \lambda p$$

and the optimality of z,y gives  $z = \operatorname{sgn} x = \pm y_1$ ,  $y = \operatorname{sgn} p = \pm y_1$  implying  $\lambda = \lambda_1(A_c + tD_1)$  where  $t = \pm \frac{1}{z^{T_T}r^x}$ , so that  $t \in [-1, 1]$ .

Finally we show that for each  $\lambda \in L_1$  (i = 1,...,n), the set of all eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$ 

$$X_i^{\lambda} = \{ x_i \ Ax = \lambda x , A \in A^{I}, x \neq 0 \}$$

can be described by a system of linear inequalities;

Theorem 3. Let r > 0 and let (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Then for each  $\lambda \in L_i$  (i = 1,..., n), the set  $X_i^{\lambda}$  is given by

$$(A_{c} - \lambda E - \mathbf{rr}^{T} \mathbf{T}_{1}) \mathbf{x} \leq 0$$

$$(A_{c} - \lambda E + \mathbf{rr}^{T} \mathbf{T}_{1}) \mathbf{x} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{x} \neq 0.$$
(2)

Proof. If  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x \in X_1^{\lambda}$  if and only if  $(A - \lambda E)x = 0$  for some  $A - \lambda E \in [A_c - \lambda E - rr^T, A_c - \lambda E + rr^T]$ , which, in turn, is equivalent to  $|(A_c - \lambda E)x| \leq rr^T|x|$  (Oettli, Prager [1]). Setting  $|x| = T_1 x$ , we obtain (2).

In the special case of  $\operatorname{rr}^T = \beta \operatorname{ee}^T$ ,  $e = (1,1,\ldots,1)^T$ ,  $\beta > 0$  (uniform tolerances), we have  $D_i = \beta y_i y_i^T$  and the normalized eigenvectors from  $X_i$  satisfying  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_i |x_i| = 1$  are given simply by

$$-\beta e \leq (A_c - \lambda E)x \leq \beta e$$
$$y_i^T x = 1.$$

## References

- [1] W.Oettli, W.Prager, Compatibility of Approximate Solution of Linear Equations with Given Error Bounds for Coefficients and Right-Hand Sides, Numerische Mathematik 6 (1964),405-409
- [2] <u>J.Rohn</u>, Interval Linear Systems, Freiburger Intervall--Berichte 84/7, 33-58

Author's address:

J.Rohn

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
Charles University
Malostranské n. 25
118 00 Prague 1
Czechoslovakia