## J. Rohn, Prague

In our papers previously published in Freiburger Intervall-Berichte [1],[2],[3] we showed that each vertex  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}$  of the convex hull of the solution set of an interval linear system  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{I}}$  with regular interval matrix  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{I}}$  can be described as a unique solution of the system

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{x}} &= & \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{y}} \\
\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{x}} & & \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{y}}
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

(here, y,z & Y = { $\tilde{y}$ ;  $|\tilde{y}_j| = 1$   $\forall$  j},  $T_z = \text{diag} \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ,  $A_{yz} = A_c - T_y \Delta T_z$ ,  $b_y = b_c + T_y \delta$ , where  $A^I = [A_c - \Delta, A_c + \Delta]$ ,  $b^I = [b_c - \delta, b_c + \delta]$ ) and we proposed the following finite algorithm (called the signaccord one since it works toward reaching  $z_j x_j \ge 0$   $\forall$  j) for solving (1) [1, p.6], [2, p.25]:

- O. Select a z & Y.
- 1. Solve  $A_{yz}x = b_y$ .
- 2. If  $T_z x \geqslant 0$ , stop with  $x_y := x$ .
- 3. Otherwise find  $k = \min \{j; z_j x_j < 0\}$ .
- 4. Set  $z_k := -z_k$  and go to step 1.

Later [3, p.41] we recommended to specify step 0 by

$$0^0$$
. Set  $z = sgn(A_c^{-1}b_y)$ 

AMS Subject Classifications: 65G10, 90C33

(where  $(\operatorname{sgn} x)_1 = 1$  if  $x_1 \geqslant 0$  and  $(\operatorname{sgn} x)_1 = -1$  otherwise). The idea behind it was quite simple: replacing the equation  $A_{yz}x = b_y$  (with unknown z) by  $A_cx' = b_y$ , one may expect its solution  $x' = A_c^{-1}b_y$  to lie in the same orthant as  $x_y$  provided  $A^I$  is "narrow". Our recent computational experience confirmed the impact of step  $0^0$  upon the behavior of the algorithm, resulting in most cases in going through step 1 only once; this, in fact, was the main reason for writing this note. We shall first support our above - stated intuitive reasoning by some theoretical result and then we shall show an example of a worst-case behavior caused by an improper initialization, where the application of step  $0^0$  leads to a drastic reduction of the number of systems to be solved.

Let  $D = |A_c^{-1}|\Delta$ . We have this result:

Theorem 1. Let  $D[x_y] \le [x_y]$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then the sign-accord algorithm with step  $0^0$  finds  $x_y$  in only one iteration.

<u>Proof.</u> Since  $|\mathbf{x}_y| > 0$ , there exists a unique  $\mathbf{z} \in Y$  (namely,  $\mathbf{z} = \operatorname{sgn} \mathbf{x}_y$ ) such that  $\mathbf{A}_{y\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}_y = \mathbf{b}_y$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}_y > 0$  holds. Denote  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}^{-1} \mathbf{b}_y$ . Then from  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{x}_y = \mathbf{T}_{y} \Delta \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}_y + \mathbf{b}_y = \mathbf{T}_{y} \Delta |\mathbf{x}_y| + \mathbf{b}_y$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{b}_y$  we obtain  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}_y - \mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{T}_{y} \Delta |\mathbf{x}_y|$ , implying  $|\mathbf{x}_y - \mathbf{x}'| \leq \mathbf{D} |\mathbf{x}_y|$ . Hence  $\mathbf{x}_y$  and  $\mathbf{x}'$  lie in the same orthant, so that  $\mathbf{z} = \operatorname{sgn} \mathbf{x}'$ . Since the sign-accord algorithm starts in step  $\mathbf{0}^0$  with  $\mathbf{z} = \operatorname{sgn} \mathbf{x}'$ , the solution to  $\mathbf{A}_{y\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_y$  found in step 1 is identical with  $\mathbf{x}_y$ , so that  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x} \geqslant 0$  in step 2 and the algorithm stops.

Since  $D \to 0$  as  $\Delta \to 0$ , the condition  $D[x_y] < [x_y]$  is satisfied if  $|x_y| > 0$  and  $A^I$  is sufficiently narrow.

Now, for each  $n \geqslant 2$  consider the interval linear system

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{x} = [-\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}] \tag{2}$$

where  $e = (1,1,...,1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the  $n \times n$  interval matrix  $A_n^{\mathbf{I}}$  is defined by

$$(A_n^I)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ [-2,2] & \text{if } j = i+1 \text{ and } 1 \le i \le n-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(it differs only in the right-hand side term from the example (3.1) studied in [3, p.40]).

1

Theorem 2. Let  $n \geqslant 2$ . Then, for the interval linear system (2), we have :

- (i) for each  $y \in Y$ , the sign-accord algorithm, when started from  $z=(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_{n-1},-y_n)$  in step 0, solves  $2^n$  systems to find  $x_v$ ,
- (ii) for each y  $\pmb{\in}$  Y , the sign-accord algorithm, starting with step  $0^{o}$  , solves only one system to find  $x_{_{\bf Y}}$  .

<u>Proof.</u> First we find by backward substitutions that for each  $y,z \in Y$  the solution of the system  $A_{yz}x = b_y$  is given by

$$x_{j} = y_{j} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} 2^{m} \prod_{i=j+1}^{j+m} y_{i}z_{i}$$
 (j = 1,...,n)

(where we employ the usual convention  $\sum_{\beta} = 0, \prod_{\beta} = 1$ ). Hence

$$\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} 2^{m} \prod_{i=j}^{j+m} \mathbf{y}_{i}\mathbf{z}_{i} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

and since the last term prevails, we have

 $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{z}_j\mathbf{x}_j) = \operatorname{sgn}(\overline{\prod_{i=j}^n}\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{z}_i) = \overline{\prod_{i=j}^n}\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{z}_i$  for each j=1,...,n. Next we prove that for each  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}$ , the number  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{z})$  of systems the sign-accord algorithm must solve to find  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}$  when started from vector  $\mathbf{z}$  in step 0 is given by

$$p_{y}(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - \prod_{i=j}^{n} y_{i}^{z})^{2^{j-2}}.$$
 (3)

We shall carry out the proof by induction on  $p_y(z)$ . If  $p_y(z) = 1$ , then the sign-accord algorithm, after solving  $A_{yz} = b_y$ , stops with  $T_z > 0$ . Hence for each j we have  $\prod_{i=j}^n y_i z_i = \mathrm{sgn}(z_j x_j) = 1$ , so that the right-hand side in (3) is equal to 1. Now assume that (3) holds for each y,z with  $p_y(z) < r$  and let y,z be such that  $p_y(z) = r+1$ . Let z' be the updated value of z after passing for the first time through step 4. Then  $z_k' = -z_k$ ,  $z_j' = z_j$  for  $j \neq k$ ,  $\prod_{i=j}^n y_i z_i = \mathrm{sgn}(z_j x_j) = 1$  for j < k,  $\prod_{i=j}^n y_i z_i = \mathrm{sgn}(z_k x_k) = -1$ , hence by the inductive assumption,  $p_y(z) = 1 + p_y(z') = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \prod_{i=j}^n y_i z_i') 2^{j-2} = \dots = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \prod_{i=j}^n y_i z_i) 2^{j-2}$  (since  $\prod_{j=1}^n y_i z_j' = -\prod_{j=1}^n y_i z_j$  for j < k and  $\prod_{j=1}^n y_i z_j' = \prod_{j=1}^n y_i z_j'$  for j > k), which completes the inductive proof of (3).

Now, if  $z = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, -y_n)$ , then  $\prod_j y_i z_i = -1$  for each j, hence  $p_y(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{j-1} = 2^n$ , which proves (i). Using step  $0^o$ , we have  $z = \operatorname{sgn}(A_c^{-1}b_y) = y$  (since  $A_c = E$  and  $b_y = y$ ), hence  $\prod_j y_i z_i = 1$  for each j, implying  $p_y(z) = 1$  in this case, which completes the proof.

Remark. The equation (3) has also another interesting consequences. E.g., for each  $y \in Y$  and each k,  $1 \le k \le 2^n$ , there exists a  $z \in Y$  such that  $p_y(z) = k$ , etc.

## References

- [1] <u>J.Rohn</u>, Solving Interval Linear Systems, Freiburger Intervall-Berichte 84/7, 1-14
- [2] <u>J.Rohn</u>, Proofs to Solving Interval Linear Systems", Freiburger Intervall-Berichte 84/7, 17-30
- J.Rohn, Interval Linear Systems, Freiburger Intervall-Berichte 84/7, 33-58

Author's address: J.Rohn, Faculty of Math. and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské nám. 25, 11800 Prague, Czechoslovakia