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Allen-Böttcher-H-Piguet 2014 Optimal function $f_{3}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that if $G$ has $\alpha\binom{n}{2}$ edges then it has $\geq\left(f_{3}(\alpha) \pm o(1)\right) \frac{n}{3}$ vertex-disjoint triangles.
$f_{2}$ Erdős-Gallai 1959: "consider a maximum matching, ..."
$f_{3} \quad$ Allen-Böttcher-H-Piguet 2014: modern tools but finite
$f_{4}, \ldots$ ??
Could graph limits help us in obtaining such tiling results?

In this talk, we focus on $K_{2}$-tilings=matchings. This is for notational convenience only. All the features of the basic theory hold for $H$-tilings as well. (Some advanced, like the half-integrality of the vertex cover polytope do not.)

Aim: notion of matchings of linear size in graphons.
Bad news: normalized size of the maximum matching not continuous...


Good news: ... but lower semicontinuous, which is the more useful half of continuity

Aim: notion of fractional matchings in graphons.
4-vertex graph and its representation $W: \Omega^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ (measure $\lambda$ )
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a fractional matching

| finite fractional matching |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| weight incident with $D$ <br> $.8+.2=1$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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Aim: notion of fractional matchings in graphons.
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| finite fractional matching | "brick measure" $\mu$ | Rad-Nyk der $f$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weight incident with $D$ | $\mu(D \times \Omega)$ | $\int_{y} f(x, y) d \lambda$ |
| $.8+.2=1$ | $.2+.05=.25$ | 1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Aim: notion of fractional matchings in graphons.
4-vertex graph and its representation $W: \Omega^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ (measure $\lambda$ )


| finite fractional matching | "brick measure" $\mu$ | Rad-Nyk der $f$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weight incident with $D$ | $\mu(D \times \Omega)$ | $\int_{y} f(x, y) d \lambda$ |
| $.8+.2=1$ | $.2+.05=.25$ | 1 |
| General properties |  |  |
| supported on edges |  | $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \operatorname{supp} W$ |
| total weight at vertex $\leq 1$ |  | $\int_{y} f(y, x) d \lambda \leq 1$ |
| weights $\in[0,1]$ |  | $f \geq 0$ |

$f \in L^{1}\left(\Omega^{2}\right)$ is a matching in a graphon $W$ if:

- $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset \operatorname{supp}(W)(?)$
- for each $x \in \Omega: \int_{y} f(x, y) d \lambda \leq 1, \int_{y} f(y, x) d \lambda \leq 1$
- $f$ non-negative

The size of $f$ is $\frac{1}{2} \int_{x} \int_{y} f(x, y)$
The matching number of $W$ is $M N(W)=\sup _{f} \operatorname{size}(f)$
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- $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset \operatorname{supp}(W)(?)$
- for each $x \in \Omega: \int_{y} f(x, y) d \lambda \leq 1, \int_{y} f(y, x) d \lambda \leq 1$
- $f$ non-negative

The size of $f$ is $\frac{1}{2} \int_{x} \int_{y} f(x, y)$
The matching number of $W$ is $M N(W)=\sup _{f} \operatorname{size}(f)$
A function $c: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a fractional vertex cover of $W$ if $W(x, y)=0$ for almost every $(x, y): c(x)+c(y)<1$.
The size of $c$ is $\int_{x} c(x)$ The cover number of $W$ is
$C N(W)=\inf _{c} \operatorname{size}(f)$

## Results

## Thm1 (finite versus limit)

If $G_{n} \rightarrow W$ then $\liminf _{n} \frac{M N\left(G_{n}\right)}{n} \geq M N(W)$.
Thm2 (semicontinuity of Matching Number for graphons)
If $W_{n} \rightarrow W$ then $\liminf _{n} M N\left(W_{n}\right) \geq M N(W)$.
Thm3 (semicontinuity of Cover Number for graphons)
If $W_{n} \rightarrow W$ (optimally overlaid) and $c_{n}$ a vertex cover of $W_{n}$.
Then any weak* limit of $c_{n}$ 's is a vertex cover of $W$.
Thm4 (LP-duality)

$$
C N(W)=M N(W)
$$

attained not necessarily attained

## Applications

$F$ is an arbitrary "smallish" graph. The theory introduced above for for matchings generalizes to $F$-tilings.
$\operatorname{TIL}(F, G), \operatorname{TIL}(F, W)$ : size of the maximum tiling in $G$ or in $W$
$F$-tilings in random graphs $\mathbb{G}(n, W)$
Thm For an fixed graph $F$, a.a.s.,

$$
\lim \frac{\operatorname{TIL}(F, \mathbb{G}(n, W))}{n}=\operatorname{TIL}(F, W)
$$

Komlós's Theorem
Thm Suppose $G$ is on $n$ vertices and that $\delta(G) \geq \alpha n$. Then

$$
\operatorname{TIL}(F, G) \geq h_{F}(\alpha) n \pm o(n)
$$

where the function $h_{F}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is best possible.

