Expansions of Heyting algebras

Christopher Taylor

La Trobe University

Topology, Algebra, and Categories in Logic Prague, 2017

Congruences on Heyting algebras are determined exactly by filters of the underlying lattice – what about algebras with a Heyting algebra reduct?

Congruences on Heyting algebras are determined exactly by filters of the underlying lattice – what about algebras with a Heyting algebra reduct?

Boolean algebras with operators. If B is a boolean algebra equipped with finitely many (dual normal) operators, i.e., unary operations f₁,..., f_n satisfying

$$f_i(x \wedge y) = f_i x \wedge f_i y, \qquad f_i 1 = 1,$$

Congruences on Heyting algebras are determined exactly by filters of the underlying lattice – what about algebras with a Heyting algebra reduct?

Boolean algebras with operators. If B is a boolean algebra equipped with finitely many (dual normal) operators, i.e., unary operations f₁,..., f_n satisfying

$$f_i(x \wedge y) = f_i x \wedge f_i y, \qquad f_i 1 = 1,$$

then congruences on ${\bf B}$ are determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = f_1 x \wedge f_2 x \wedge \ldots \wedge f_n x$$

Congruences on Heyting algebras are determined exactly by filters of the underlying lattice – what about algebras with a Heyting algebra reduct?

Boolean algebras with operators. If B is a boolean algebra equipped with finitely many (dual normal) operators, i.e., unary operations f₁,..., f_n satisfying

$$f_i(x \wedge y) = f_i x \wedge f_i y, \qquad f_i 1 = 1,$$

then congruences on ${\bf B}$ are determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = f_1 x \wedge f_2 x \wedge \ldots \wedge f_n x$$

 Double-Heyting algebras. Double-Heyting algebras have their congruences determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = (1 \div x) \rightarrow 0$$

Definition

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; M, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an *expanded Heyting algebra* (EHA) if the reduct $\langle A, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra and *M* is a set of operations on *A*.

Definition

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; M, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an *expanded Heyting algebra* (EHA) if the reduct $\langle A, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra and *M* is a set of operations on *A*.

Let x ↔ y = x → y ∧ y → x. Recall that if A is a Heyting algebra and F ⊆ A is a filter, then the binary relation θ(F) = {(x, y) | x ↔ y ∈ F} is a congruence on A.

Definition

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; M, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an *expanded Heyting algebra* (EHA) if the reduct $\langle A, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra and *M* is a set of operations on *A*.

▶ Let $x \leftrightarrow y = x \rightarrow y \land y \rightarrow x$. Recall that if **A** is a Heyting algebra and $F \subseteq A$ is a filter, then the binary relation $\theta(F) = \{(x, y) \mid x \leftrightarrow y \in F\}$ is a congruence on **A**.

Definition

A filter $F \subseteq A$ is *compatible* with an *n*-ary operation *f* on *A* if

$$\{x_i \leftrightarrow y_i \mid i \leq n\} \subseteq F \text{ implies } f(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow f(\vec{y}) \in F.$$

Definition

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; M, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an *expanded Heyting algebra* (EHA) if the reduct $\langle A, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra and *M* is a set of operations on *A*.

▶ Let $x \leftrightarrow y = x \rightarrow y \land y \rightarrow x$. Recall that if **A** is a Heyting algebra and $F \subseteq A$ is a filter, then the binary relation $\theta(F) = \{(x, y) \mid x \leftrightarrow y \in F\}$ is a congruence on **A**.

Definition

A filter $F \subseteq A$ is *compatible* with an *n*-ary operation *f* on *A* if

$$\{x_i \leftrightarrow y_i \mid i \leq n\} \subseteq F$$
 implies $f(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow f(\vec{y}) \in F$.

Theorem

If **A** is an EHA then $\theta(F)$ is a congruence on **A** if and only if F is compatible with f for every $f \in M$.

Any unquantified **A** from now on is a fixed but arbitrary EHA.

Any unquantified **A** from now on is a fixed but arbitrary EHA.

Definition

A filter *F* of **A** will be called a *normal filter* if it is compatible with every $f \in M$, or equivalently, if $\theta(F)$ is a congruence on **A**.

Any unquantified **A** from now on is a fixed but arbitrary EHA.

Definition

A filter *F* of **A** will be called a *normal filter* if it is compatible with every $f \in M$, or equivalently, if $\theta(F)$ is a congruence on **A**.

Definition

Let *t* be a unary term in the language of **A**. We say that *t* is a *normal filter term* (*on* **A**) provided that it is order-preserving, and for every filter *F* of **A**, the filter *F* is a normal filter if and only if *F* is closed under t^{A} .

Any unquantified **A** from now on is a fixed but arbitrary EHA.

Definition

A filter *F* of **A** will be called a *normal filter* if it is compatible with every $f \in M$, or equivalently, if $\theta(F)$ is a congruence on **A**.

Definition

Let *t* be a unary term in the language of **A**. We say that *t* is a *normal filter term* (*on* **A**) provided that it is order-preserving, and for every filter *F* of **A**, the filter *F* is a normal filter if and only if *F* is closed under t^{A} .

Example

The identity function is a normal filter term for unexpanded Heyting algebras.

Hence, the algebras from before have normal filter terms.

Boolean algebras with operators. If B is a boolean algebra equipped with unary operators f₁,..., f_n, then congruences on B are determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = f_1 x \wedge f_2 x \wedge \ldots f_n x$$

 Double-Heyting algebras. Double-Heyting algebras have their congruences determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = (1 \div x) \to 0$$

Hence, the algebras from before have normal filter terms.

Boolean algebras with operators. If B is a boolean algebra equipped with unary operators f₁,..., f_n, then congruences on B are determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = f_1 x \wedge f_2 x \wedge \ldots f_n x$$

 Double-Heyting algebras. Double-Heyting algebras have their congruences determined by filters closed under the map

$$dx = (1 \div x) \to 0$$

Let us say that a class of similar algebras has a normal filter term t if t is a normal filter term for each of those algebras.

Let *f* be an *n*-ary operation on *A*. For each $a \in A$, define the set

 $f^{\leftrightarrow}(a) = \{f(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow f(\vec{y}) \mid (\forall i \leq n) \ x_i, y_i \in A \text{ and } x_i \leftrightarrow y_i \geq a\}.$



Let *f* be an *n*-ary operation on *A*. For each $a \in A$, define the set

 $f^{\leftrightarrow}(a) = \{f(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow f(\vec{y}) \mid (\forall i \leq n) \ x_i, y_i \in A \text{ and } x_i \leftrightarrow y_i \geq a\}.$



Now define the partial operation [M] by

$$[M]a = \bigwedge \bigcup \{ f^{\leftrightarrow}(a) \mid f \in M \}.$$

Let *f* be an *n*-ary operation on *A*. For each $a \in A$, define the set

 $f^{\leftrightarrow}(a) = \{f(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow f(\vec{y}) \mid (\forall i \leq n) \ x_i, y_i \in A \text{ and } x_i \leftrightarrow y_i \geq a\}.$



Now define the partial operation [*M*] by

$$[M]a = \bigwedge \bigcup \{ f^{\leftrightarrow}(a) \mid f \in M \}.$$

If it is defined everywhere then we say that [M] exists in **A**.

A unary map *f* is an *operator*¹ if $f(x \land y) = fx \land fy$ and f1 = 1.

¹Actually a dual normal operator

A unary map *f* is an *operator*¹ if $f(x \land y) = fx \land fy$ and f1 = 1. Lemma (Hasimoto, 2001) If [M] exists, then [M] is a (dual normal) operator.

¹Actually a dual normal operator

A unary map *f* is an *operator*¹ if $f(x \land y) = fx \land fy$ and f1 = 1.

Lemma (Hasimoto, 2001)

If [M] exists, then [M] is a (dual normal) operator.

Lemma (Hasimoto, 2001)

Assume that M is finite, and every map in M is an operator. Then [M] exists, and

$$[M]x = \bigwedge \{ fx \mid f \in M \}$$

¹Actually a dual normal operator

A unary map *f* is an *operator*¹ if $f(x \land y) = fx \land fy$ and f1 = 1.

Lemma (Hasimoto, 2001)

If [M] exists, then [M] is a (dual normal) operator.

Lemma (Hasimoto, 2001)

Assume that M is finite, and every map in M is an operator. Then [M] exists, and

$$[M]x = \bigwedge \{ fx \mid f \in M \}$$

Lemma (T., 2016)

If there exists a term t in the language of **A** such that $t^{\mathbf{A}}x = [M]x$, then t is a normal filter term.

¹Actually a dual normal operator

It is easy to show that if normal filter terms t_1 and t_2 exist for signatures M_1 and M_2 then $t_1 \wedge t_2$ is a normal filter term for $M_1 \cup M_2$, so we will redirect our focus towards normal filter terms for single functions.

It is easy to show that if normal filter terms t_1 and t_2 exist for signatures M_1 and M_2 then $t_1 \wedge t_2$ is a normal filter term for $M_1 \cup M_2$, so we will redirect our focus towards normal filter terms for single functions.

Definition

Let **A** be a Heyting algebra and let *f* be a unary operation on *A*. The map *f* is an *anti-operator* if $f(x \land y) = fx \lor fy$, and, f1 = 0.

It is easy to show that if normal filter terms t_1 and t_2 exist for signatures M_1 and M_2 then $t_1 \wedge t_2$ is a normal filter term for $M_1 \cup M_2$, so we will redirect our focus towards normal filter terms for single functions.

Definition

Let **A** be a Heyting algebra and let *f* be a unary operation on *A*. The map *f* is an *anti-operator* if $f(x \land y) = fx \lor fy$, and, f1 = 0.

Lemma (T., 2016)

Let **A** be an EHA and let f be an anti-operator on A. Then [f] exists, and

$$[f]x = \neg fx,$$

where $\neg x = x \rightarrow 0$.

Examples

Example (Meskhi, 1982)

Heyting algebras with involution. Let **A** be a Heyting algebra equipped with a single unary operation *i* that is a dual automorphism. The map $tx := \neg ix$ is a normal filter term on **A**.

Examples

Example (Meskhi, 1982)

Heyting algebras with involution. Let **A** be a Heyting algebra equipped with a single unary operation *i* that is a dual automorphism. The map $tx := \neg ix$ is a normal filter term on **A**.

Definition

A unary operation \sim on a lattice **A** is a *dual pseudocomplement operation* if the following equivalence is satisfied for all $x \in A$:

$$x \lor y = 1 \iff y \ge \sim x.$$

Examples

Example (Meskhi, 1982)

Heyting algebras with involution. Let **A** be a Heyting algebra equipped with a single unary operation *i* that is a dual automorphism. The map $tx := \neg ix$ is a normal filter term on **A**.

Definition

A unary operation \sim on a lattice **A** is a *dual pseudocomplement operation* if the following equivalence is satisfied for all $x \in A$:

$$x \lor y = 1 \iff y \ge \sim x.$$

Example (Sankappanavar, 1985)

Dually pseudocomplemented Heyting algebras. Let **A** be a Heyting algebra expanded by a dual pseudocomplement operation. Then $\neg \sim$ is a normal filter term on **A**.

Definition

A double-Heyting algebra is an EHA with $M = \{ \div \}$, where \div is a binary operation satisfying

$$x \vee y \geq z \iff y \geq z - x.$$

Definition

A double-Heyting algebra is an EHA with $M = \{ \div \}$, where \div is a binary operation satisfying

$$x \lor y \ge z \iff y \ge z - x.$$

Observe that 1 - x defines a dual pseudocomplement operation.

Definition

A double-Heyting algebra is an EHA with $M = \{ \div \}$, where \div is a binary operation satisfying

$$x \lor y \ge z \iff y \ge z - x.$$

Observe that 1 - x defines a dual pseudocomplement operation.

Theorem (Sankappanavar, 1985)

Congruences on a double-Heyting algebra are exactly the congruences of the $\langle \lor, \land, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ term-reduct.

Definition

A double-Heyting algebra is an EHA with $M = \{ \div \}$, where \div is a binary operation satisfying

$$x \lor y \ge z \iff y \ge z - x.$$

Observe that 1 - x defines a dual pseudocomplement operation.

Theorem (Sankappanavar, 1985)

Congruences on a double-Heyting algebra are exactly the congruences of the $\langle \lor, \land, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ term-reduct.

We can also prove directly that $[-] = \neg \sim$, but it does not fall into the general cases seen earlier.

Definition

Let **A** be an expanded double-Heyting algebra. For a filter $F \subseteq A$, let $\mathcal{I}(F) = \downarrow \sim F := \{y \in A \mid (\exists x \in F) \ y \leq \sim x\}.$

Definition

Let **A** be an expanded double-Heyting algebra. For a filter $F \subseteq A$, let $\mathcal{I}(F) = \downarrow \sim F := \{y \in A \mid (\exists x \in F) \ y \leq \sim x\}.$

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let **A** be a double-Heyting algebra and let F be a normal filter of **A**.

Definition

Let **A** be an expanded double-Heyting algebra. For a filter $F \subseteq A$, let $\mathcal{I}(F) = \downarrow \sim F := \{y \in A \mid (\exists x \in F) \ y \leq \sim x\}.$

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let **A** be a double-Heyting algebra and let F be a normal filter of **A**.

► The map I is an isomorphism from normal filters to the lattice of ideals closed under ~¬.

Definition

Let **A** be an expanded double-Heyting algebra. For a filter $F \subseteq A$, let $\mathcal{I}(F) = \downarrow \sim F := \{y \in A \mid (\exists x \in F) \ y \leq \sim x\}.$

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let **A** be a double-Heyting algebra and let F be a normal filter of **A**.

- ► The map I is an isomorphism from normal filters to the lattice of ideals closed under ~¬.
- If f is a unary order-preserving map then
 - F is closed under f if and only if I(F) is closed under ~f¬, and,
 - $\mathcal{I}(F)$ is closed under f if and only if F is closed under $\neg f \sim$.

Definition

Let **A** be an expanded double-Heyting algebra. For a filter $F \subseteq A$, let $\mathcal{I}(F) = \downarrow \sim F := \{y \in A \mid (\exists x \in F) \ y \leq \sim x\}.$

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let **A** be a double-Heyting algebra and let F be a normal filter of **A**.

- ► The map I is an isomorphism from normal filters to the lattice of ideals closed under ~¬.
- ► If f is a unary order-preserving map then
 - F is closed under f if and only if I(F) is closed under ~f¬, and,
 - $\mathcal{I}(F)$ is closed under f if and only if F is closed under $\neg f \sim$.

The above theorem holds for dually pseudocomplemented Heyting algebras as well.

- F is closed under f if and only if I(F) is closed under ∼f¬.
- $\mathcal{I}(F)$ is closed under *f* if and only if *F* is closed under $\neg f \sim$.

- F is closed under f if and only if I(F) is closed under ∼f¬.
- $\mathcal{I}(F)$ is closed under *f* if and only if *F* is closed under $\neg f \sim$.

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let **A** be an EHA and assume $\div \in M$. Let f be a unary operation on A

- If f preserves joins and f0 = 0 then ¬f∼x is a normal filter term for f.
- If f reverses joins and f1 = 0 then ¬∼f∼x is a normal filter term for f.

- F is closed under f if and only if I(F) is closed under ∼f¬.
- $\mathcal{I}(F)$ is closed under *f* if and only if *F* is closed under $\neg f \sim$.

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let **A** be an EHA and assume $\div \in M$. Let f be a unary operation on A

- If f preserves joins and f0 = 0 then ¬f∼x is a normal filter term for f.
- If f reverses joins and f1 = 0 then ¬∼f∼x is a normal filter term for f.

Open problem

The proof of the above very explicitly relies on the operation $\dot{-}$ in the signature. Does the result still apply if we dispose of it?

Lemma

Let **A** be an EHA, let t be a normal filter term on **A**, and let $dx = x \wedge tx$. Then $(y, 1) \in Cg^{A}(x, 1)$ if and only if $y \ge d^{n}x$ for some $n \in \omega$.

 $_{x}$ \bigcirc











Lemma

Let **A** be an EHA, let t be a normal filter term on **A**, and let $dx = x \wedge tx$. Then $(y, 1) \in Cg^{A}(x, 1)$ if and only if $y \ge d^{n}x$ for some $n \in \omega$.

Lemma

Let **A** be an EHA, let t be a normal filter term on **A**, and let $dx = x \wedge tx$.

- A is subdirectly irreducible if and only if there exists b ∈ A\{1} such that for all x ∈ A\{1} there exists n ∈ ω such that dⁿx ≤ b.
- 2. A is simple if and only if for all $x \in A \setminus \{1\}$ there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $d^n x = 0$.

EDPC

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} has *definable principal congruences* (DPC) if there exists a first-order formula $\varphi(x, y, z, w)$ in the language of \mathcal{V} such that, for all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$, and all $a, b, c, d \in A$, we have

$$(a,b) \in \mathsf{Cg}^{\mathsf{A}}(c,d) \iff \mathsf{A} \models \varphi(a,b,c,d).$$

If φ is a finite conjunction of equations then \mathcal{V} has equationally definable principal congruences (EDPC).

EDPC

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} has *definable principal congruences* (DPC) if there exists a first-order formula $\varphi(x, y, z, w)$ in the language of \mathcal{V} such that, for all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$, and all $a, b, c, d \in A$, we have

$$(a,b) \in \mathsf{Cg}^{\mathsf{A}}(c,d) \iff \mathsf{A} \models \varphi(a,b,c,d).$$

If φ is a finite conjunction of equations then \mathcal{V} has equationally definable principal congruences (EDPC).

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of EHAs with a common normal filter term t, and let $dx = x \wedge tx$. The following are equivalent:

- 1. V has EDPC,
- 2. V has DPC,

3.
$$\mathcal{V} \models d^{n+1}x = d^nx$$
 for some $n \in \omega$.

Discriminator varieties

Definition

A variety is *semisimple* if every subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} is simple. If there is a ternary term *t* in the language of \mathcal{V} such that *t* is a discriminator term on every subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} , i.e.,

$$t(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ z & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases}$$

then \mathcal{V} is a *discriminator variety*.

Discriminator varieties

Definition

A variety is *semisimple* if every subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} is simple. If there is a ternary term *t* in the language of \mathcal{V} such that *t* is a discriminator term on every subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} , i.e.,

$$t(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ z & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases}$$

then \mathcal{V} is a *discriminator variety*.

Theorem (Blok, Köhler and Pigozzi, 1984)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of any signature. The following are equivalent:

- 1. \mathcal{V} is semisimple, congruence permutable, and has EDPC.
- 2. V is a discriminator variety.

Discriminator varieties

Theorem (T., 2016)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of dually pseudocomplemented EHAs, assume \mathcal{V} has a normal filter term t, and let $dx = \neg \sim x \land tx$. The following are equivalent.

- 1. \mathcal{V} is semisimple.
- 2. V is a discriminator variety.
- 3. \mathcal{V} has DPC and $\exists m \in \omega$ such that $\mathcal{V} \models x \leq d \sim d^m \neg x$.
- 4. \mathcal{V} has EDPC and $\exists m \in \omega$ such that $\mathcal{V} \models x \leq d \sim d^m \neg x$.
- 5. $\mathcal{V} \models d^{n+1}x = d^nx$ and $\mathcal{V} \models d \sim d^nx = \sim d^nx$ for some n

This generalises a result by Kowalski and Kracht (2006) for BAOs.