

On Two Approaches to Concrete Dualities and Their Relationships

Mustafa Demirci

Akdeniz University, Faculty of Sciences,
Department of Mathematics,
07058-Antalya, Turkey,
e-mail: demirci@akdeniz.edu.tr

June 30, 2017

1 Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects

- 1 Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects
- 2 Fundamental Duality of Abstract Categories

- 1 Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects
- 2 Fundamental Duality of Abstract Categories
- 3 The relations between two approaches

- 1 Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects
- 2 Fundamental Duality of Abstract Categories
- 3 The relations between two approaches
- 4 Applications to Universal Algebras

Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects

Let $(\mathbf{C}, | _ |)$ and $(\mathbf{D}, \| _ \|)$ be concrete categories over **Set** with
 $| _ | : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $\| _ \| : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Consider $L \in Ob(\mathbf{C})$ and $M \in Ob(\mathbf{D})$.

Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects

Let $(\mathbf{C}, |\cdot|)$ and $(\mathbf{D}, \|\cdot\|)$ be concrete categories over **Set** with $|\cdot| : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $\|\cdot\| : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Consider $L \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$.

Every $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and every $x \in |A|$ determines a map

$$L_{(A,x)} : \mathbf{C}(A, L) \rightarrow |L| \text{ by } L_{(A,x)}(h) = |h|(x).$$

Dualities of concrete categories with schizophrenic objects

Let $(\mathbf{C}, |\cdot|)$ and $(\mathbf{D}, \|\cdot\|)$ be concrete categories over **Set** with $|\cdot| : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $\|\cdot\| : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Consider $L \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$.

Every $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and every $x \in |A|$ determines a map

$$L_{(A,x)} : \mathbf{C}(A, L) \rightarrow |L| \text{ by } L_{(A,x)}(h) = |h|(x).$$

For every $B \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and every $y \in \|B\|$,

$$M_{(B,y)} : \mathbf{D}(B, M) \rightarrow \|M\| \text{ by } M_{(B,y)}(t) = \|t\|(y).$$

Schizophrenic object

Definition

(L, s, M) is a schizophrenic object iff $s : |L| \rightarrow \|M\|$ is a bijection, and

(SO1) For each $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$, $\left(\mathbf{C}(A, L) \xrightarrow{s \circ L_{(A,x)}} \|M\| \right)_{x \in |A|}$ has a

$\|$ $\|$ -initial lift $\left(T(A) \xrightarrow{T(A)_x} M \right)_{x \in |A|}$,

(SO2) For each $B \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$, $\left(\mathbf{D}(B, M) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \circ M_{(B,y)}} |L| \right)_{y \in \|M\|}$ has

an $|$ $|$ -initial lift $\left(S(B) \xrightarrow{S(B)_y} L \right)_{y \in \|M\|}$.

Theorem

Every schizophrenic object (L, s, M) induces an adjoint situation (ADS)

$$(\gamma, \alpha) : S \dashv T : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}. \quad (1)$$

Theorem

Every schizophrenic object (L, s, M) induces an adjoint situation (ADS)

$$(\gamma, \alpha) : S \dashv T : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}. \quad (1)$$

$Fix(\alpha)$ = the f.s.c. of \mathbf{C} of all A making α_A an isomorphism in \mathbf{C}^{op} ,

$Fix(\gamma)$ = the f.s.c. of \mathbf{D} of all B making γ_B an isomorphism in \mathbf{D} .

Theorem

Every schizophrenic object (L, s, M) induces an adjoint situation (ADS)

$$(\gamma, \alpha) : S \dashv T : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}. \quad (1)$$

$\text{Fix}(\alpha)$ = the f.s.c. of \mathbf{C} of all A making α_A an isomorphism in \mathbf{C}^{op} ,

$\text{Fix}(\gamma)$ = the f.s.c. of \mathbf{D} of all B making γ_B an isomorphism in \mathbf{D} .

Corollary

The ADS (1) restricts to a duality between $\text{Fix}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Fix}(\gamma)$, i.e., $\text{Fix}(\alpha)^{op} \sim \text{Fix}(\gamma)$.

Fundamental Duality of Abstract Categories

- **C**: An abstract category with set-indexed products
- \mathcal{M} : A class of **C**-monomorphisms
- L : An arbitrarily fixed object of **C**.

Definition

Given a set X ,

$(X, \tau \xrightarrow{m} L^X)$ is a **C**- \mathcal{M} - L -space if $\tau \xrightarrow{m} L^X \in \mathcal{M}$.

Definition

The category **C-M-L-Top**

Objects: **C-M-L-spaces**.

Morphisms: $(X, \tau \xrightarrow{m_1} L^X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \nu \xrightarrow{m_2} L^Y)$ s.t. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, and there exists a **C**-morphism $r_f : \nu \rightarrow \tau$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & L^Y & \xrightarrow{f_L^\leftarrow} & L^X & \\
 m_2 \uparrow & & & & \uparrow m_1 \\
 & \nu & \xrightarrow[r_f]{} & \tau &
 \end{array}$$

commute.

Definition

The category **C-M-L-Top**

Objects: **C-M-L-spaces**.

Morphisms: $(X, \tau \xrightarrow{m_1} L^X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \nu \xrightarrow{m_2} L^Y)$ s.t. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, and there exists a **C**-morphism $r_f : \nu \rightarrow \tau$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L^Y & \xrightarrow{f_L^\leftarrow} & L^X & & \\
 m_2 \uparrow & & \uparrow m_1 & & \\
 \nu & \xrightarrow[r_f]{} & \tau & &
 \end{array}$$

commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L^Y & \xrightarrow{f_L^\leftarrow} & L^X \\
 \searrow & & \downarrow \pi_X \\
 & & \pi_{f(X)}
 \end{array}$$

Theorem

Let \mathbf{C} be essentially $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -structured for some $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Mor}(\mathbf{C})$, i.e.,

(i) Every $f \in \text{Mor}(\mathbf{C})$ has an $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorization $f = m \circ e$,

(ii) \mathbf{C} has a unique $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -diagonalization property:

for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f, g \in \text{Mor}(\mathbf{C})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{e} & B & & A & \xrightarrow{e} & B \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow & g & f \downarrow & \begin{array}{c} d \\ \swarrow \end{array} & \downarrow & g \\
 C & \xrightarrow{m} & D & \implies & C & \xrightarrow{m} & D
 \end{array}$$

Then there exists an ADS

$$(\eta, \varepsilon) : L\Omega \dashv LPt : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top}. \quad (2)$$

Definition

- (i) A $\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L$ -space W is L -sober iff $\eta_W \in \text{Iso}(\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top})$.
- (ii) A \mathbf{C} -object A is L -spatial iff $\varepsilon_A \in \text{Iso}(\mathbf{C}^{op})$.

Definition

- (i) A $\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L$ -space W is L -sober iff $\eta_W \in \text{Iso}(\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top})$.
- (ii) A \mathbf{C} -object A is L -spatial iff $\varepsilon_A \in \text{Iso}(\mathbf{C}^{op})$.

$\mathbf{SPA}(\mathbf{C})$ = The f.s.c. of \mathbf{C} of all L -spatial objects

$\mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C})$ = The f.s.c. of $\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top}$ of all L -sober objects

Definition

- (i) A $\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L$ -space W is L -sober iff $\eta_W \in \text{Iso}(\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top})$.
- (ii) A \mathbf{C} -object A is L -spatial iff $\varepsilon_A \in \text{Iso}(\mathbf{C}^{op})$.

$\mathbf{SPA}(\mathbf{C})$ = The f.s.c. of \mathbf{C} of all L -spatial objects

$\mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C})$ = The f.s.c. of $\mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top}$ of all L -sober objects

Theorem

(FCDT) $\mathbf{SPA}(\mathbf{C})^{op} \sim \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C})$.

The relations between two approaches

$(\mathbf{C}, | \quad |)$ and $(\mathbf{D}, \| \quad \|)$ are concrete categories over **Set**, and the category \mathbf{C} is an essentially $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -structured category with set-indexed products for some $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Surj}(\mathbf{C})$.

The functor $L\Omega : \mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-L-Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{op}$ restricts to

$$L\Omega^* : \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{op},$$

while the functor $LPt : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-L-Top}$ restricts to

$$LPt^* : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}).$$

The relations between two approaches

$(\mathbf{C}, | \quad |)$ and $(\mathbf{D}, \| \quad \|)$ are concrete categories over **Set**, and the category \mathbf{C} is an essentially $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -structured category with set-indexed products for some $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Surj}(\mathbf{C})$.

The functor $L\Omega : \mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{op}$ restricts to

$$L\Omega^* : \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{op},$$

while the functor $LPt : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\text{-}\mathcal{M}\text{-}L\text{-}\mathbf{Top}$ restricts to

$$LPt^* : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}).$$

$$T^* = \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{L\Omega^*} \mathbf{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{T} \mathbf{D},$$

$$S^* = \mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{S} \mathbf{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{LPt^*} \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Theorem

$$S^* \dashv T^* : \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}.$$

Theorem

$$S^* \dashv T^* : \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}.$$

Proposition

If $S \dashv T : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is an equivalence, then so is

$$S^* \dashv T^* : \mathbf{SOBTop}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}.$$

The converse is also true in case $\mathbf{SPA}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}$.

Applications to Universal Algebras

A type is a class Σ together with a function ar from Σ to the class of all sets. Σ is finitary if the range of ar is \mathbb{N}

A Σ -algebra $\bar{A} = (A, (w^A)_{w \in \Sigma})$ consists of a set A and a family of functions $w^A : A^{ar(w)} \rightarrow A$.

A Σ -homomorphism from a Σ -algebra \bar{A} to another Σ -algebra \bar{B} means a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & A^{ar(w)} & \xrightarrow{f^{ar(w)}} & B^{ar(w)} & \\
 w^A \downarrow & & & & \downarrow w^B \\
 & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B &
 \end{array}$$

commutes, where $f^{ar(w)}(h) = f \circ h$.

Σ -algebras and Σ -homomorphisms constitute a category **Alg**(Σ).

Structured Topological Spaces

Definition

Let $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$ be a triple of types. We call a quintuple $\tilde{X} = (X, (o^X)_{o \in \Delta_1}, (p^X)_{p \in \Delta_2}, (r^X)_{r \in \Delta_3}, \tau^X)$ a Δ -structured topological space if X is a set, $(o^X)_{o \in \Delta_1}$ is a family of operations $o^X : X^{ar(o)} \rightarrow X$, $(p^X)_{p \in \Delta_2}$ is a family of partial operations $p^X : dom(p^X) \rightarrow X$ with $dom(p^X) \subseteq X^{ar(p)}$, $(r^X)_{r \in \Delta_3}$ is a family of relations $r^X \subseteq X^{ar(r)}$, and τ^X is a topology on X .

Proposition

Δ -structured topological spaces form a category Δ -STOP with morphisms $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ all functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

(ST1) $f : (X, \tau^X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau^Y)$ is continuous,

(ST2) $f : (X, (o^X)_{o \in \Delta_1}) \rightarrow (Y, (o^Y)_{o \in \Delta_1})$ is a Δ_1 -homomorphism,

(ST3) $f : (X, (p^X)_{p \in \Delta_2}) \rightarrow (Y, (p^Y)_{p \in \Delta_2})$ is a Δ_2 -partial homomorphism, i.e.,

for each $p \in \Delta_2$ and $h \in \text{dom}(p^X)$, $f^{ar(p)}(h) \in \text{dom}(p^Y)$ and $p^Y(f^{ar(p)}(h)) = f(p^X(h))$,

(ST4) $f : (X, (r^X)_{r \in \Delta_3}) \rightarrow (Y, (r^Y)_{r \in \Delta_3})$ is a Δ_3 -relational homomorphism, i.e., for each $r \in \Delta_3$ and $t \in r^X$, $f^{ar(r)}(t) \in r^Y$.

Application of the first approach

A prevariety \mathcal{V} in $\mathbf{Alg}(\Sigma)$ is a full subcategory consisting of all Σ -algebras closed under the formation of isomorphic copies, subalgebras and products.

Application of the first approach

A prevariety \mathcal{V} in $\mathbf{Alg}(\Sigma)$ is a full subcategory consisting of all Σ -algebras closed under the formation of isomorphic copies, subalgebras and products.

Similarly, a prevariety \mathcal{W} in $\Delta\text{-}\mathbf{STOP}$ is a full subcategory consisting of all Δ -structured topological spaces closed under the formation of isomorphic copies, substructures and products.

Application of the first approach

A prevariety \mathcal{V} in $\mathbf{Alg}(\Sigma)$ is a full subcategory consisting of all Σ -algebras closed under the formation of isomorphic copies, subalgebras and products.

Similarly, a prevariety \mathcal{W} in $\Delta\text{-}\mathbf{STOP}$ is a full subcategory consisting of all Δ -structured topological spaces closed under the formation of isomorphic copies, substructures and products.

\mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} can be considered as concrete categories $(\mathcal{V}, | _ |)$ and $(\mathcal{W}, \| _ \|)$ with $| _ | : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $\| _ \| : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Theorem

Let $\bar{L} = (L, .)$ be a \mathcal{V} -object, and $\tilde{L} = (L, \dots)$ a \mathcal{W} -object algebraic over \bar{L} in the sense that

(AL1) $o^L : \bar{L}^{ar(o)} \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is a Σ -homomorphism for each $o \in \Delta_1$,

(AL2) $\overline{\text{dom}(p^L)}$ is a Σ -subalgebra of $\bar{L}^{ar(p)}$, and

$p^L : \overline{\text{dom}(p^L)} \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is a Σ -homomorphism for each $p \in \Delta_2$,

(AL3) $w^L : \tilde{L}^{ar(w)} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ is a Δ -**STOP**-morphism.

Then $L^* = (\bar{L}, id_L, \tilde{L})$ is a schizophrenic object for $(\mathcal{V}, | \quad |)$ and $(\mathcal{W}, \| \quad \|)$, and so induces an adjunction

$$S_{L^*} \dashv T_{L^*} : \mathcal{V}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}.$$

If $\tilde{L} = (L, \dots)$ is algebraic over a Σ -algebra \bar{L} , then the theorem establishes a dual adjunction between the smallest prevariety in $\mathbf{Alg}(\Sigma)$ including \bar{L} (denoted $\mathcal{V}(\bar{L})$) and the smallest prevariety in $\Delta\text{-STOP}$ including \tilde{L} (denoted $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{L})$).

If $\tilde{L} = (L, \dots)$ is algebraic over a Σ -algebra \bar{L} , then the theorem establishes a dual adjunction between the smallest prevariety in **Alg**(Σ) including \bar{L} (denoted $\mathcal{V}(\bar{L})$) and the smallest prevariety in Δ -**STOP** including \tilde{L} (denoted $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{L})$).

Additionally, if Σ is finitary, L is finite and τ^L is the discrete topology, then this adjunction restricts to a dual adjunction between $\mathcal{V}(\bar{L})$ and the f.s.c. of Δ -**STOP** of all objects isomorphic to closed substructures of direct powers of \tilde{L} .

Application of the second approach

Definition

An \bar{L} -topological space is a pair $(X, \bar{\tau})$ of a set X and a subalgebra $\bar{\tau}$ of \bar{L}^X .

Application of the second approach

Definition

An \bar{L} -topological space is a pair $(X, \bar{\tau})$ of a set X and a subalgebra $\bar{\tau}$ of \bar{L}^X .

$\bar{L}\text{-Top}$ is the category of \bar{L} -topological spaces with morphisms $f : (X, \bar{\tau}) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{\nu})$ all functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with the property that $\mu \circ h \in \tau$ for all $\mu \in \nu$.

Application of the second approach

Definition

An \bar{L} -topological space is a pair $(X, \bar{\tau})$ of a set X and a subalgebra $\bar{\tau}$ of \bar{L}^X .

$\bar{L}\text{-Top}$ is the category of \bar{L} -topological spaces with morphisms $f : (X, \bar{\tau}) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{\nu})$ all functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with the property that $\mu \circ h \in \tau$ for all $\mu \in \nu$.

Corollary

Any prevariety \mathcal{V} in $\mathbf{Alg}(\Sigma)$ including \bar{L} gives rise to an adjunction

$$\bar{L}\Omega \dashv \bar{L}Pt : \mathcal{V}^{op} \rightarrow \bar{L}\text{-Top}.$$

Definition

(i) A Σ -algebra \bar{A} is \bar{L} -spatial iff for any $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$, there exists a Σ -homomorphism $h : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{L}$ such that $h(a) \neq h(b)$.

Definition

- (i) A Σ -algebra \bar{A} is \bar{L} -spatial iff for any $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$, there exists a Σ -homomorphism $h : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{L}$ such that $h(a) \neq h(b)$.
- (ii) An \bar{L} -topological space $(X, \bar{\tau})$ is \bar{L} -sober iff for each Σ -homomorphism $h : \bar{\tau} \rightarrow \bar{L}$, there exists a unique $x \in X$ satisfying $h(\mu) = \mu(x)$ for all $\mu \in \tau$.

Definition

- (i) A Σ -algebra \bar{A} is \bar{L} -spatial iff for any $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$, there exists a Σ -homomorphism $h : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{L}$ such that $h(a) \neq h(b)$.
- (ii) An \bar{L} -topological space $(X, \bar{\tau})$ is \bar{L} -sober iff for each Σ -homomorphism $h : \bar{\tau} \rightarrow \bar{L}$, there exists a unique $x \in X$ satisfying $h(\mu) = \mu(x)$ for all $\mu \in \tau$.

Proposition

For any prevariety \mathcal{V} in **Alg**(Σ) including \bar{L} ,
SPA(\mathcal{V}) = the f.s.c. of \mathcal{V} of all \bar{L} -spatial objects = $\mathcal{V}(\bar{L})$
SOBTop(\mathcal{V}) \cong the f.s.c. of \bar{L} -**Top** of all \bar{L} -sober objects
 (\bar{L} -**SobTop**).

Corollary

For any prevariety \mathcal{V} in $\mathbf{Alg}(\Sigma)$ including \bar{L} , and for any prevariety \mathcal{W} in $\Delta\text{-STOP}$ including \tilde{L} , if \tilde{L} is algebraic over \bar{L} , then there exists an adjunction

$$S_{L^*}^* \dashv T_{L^*}^* : \bar{L}\text{-SobTop} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Bergman, On coproducts in varieties, quasivarieties and prevarieties, *Algebra Number Theory* 3 (8) (2009), 847–879.
- [2] D. M. Clark and B. A. Davey, *Natural Dualities for the Working Algebraist*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [3] M. Demirci, Fundamental duality of abstract categories and its applications, *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* 256 (2014), 73-94.
- [4] M. Demirci, Stratified categorical fixed-basis fuzzy topological spaces and their duality, *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* 267 (2015), 1-17.
- [5] M. Demirci, Stone and Priestley dualities as applications of fundamental categorical duality theorem, 9th Scandinavian Logic Symposium, Tampere, Finland, August 25-27, 2014, pp. 22-22.

- [6] M. Demirci, Applications of fundamental categorical duality theorem to L-fuzzy sets and separated M-valued sets, LINZ 2017, 37th Linz Seminar on Fuzzy Set Theory Enriched Category Theory and Related Topics, Bildungszentrum St.Magdalena, Linz, Austria, February 7-10, 2017, Abstracts, Edited by I. Stubbe, U. Höhle, S. Saminger-Platz, T. Vetterlein, pp. 11-14.
- [7] H. E. Porst and W. Tholen, Concrete dualities, in: H. Herrlich and H. E. Porst (Eds.), Category Theory at Work, Research and Expositions in Mathematics, Vol. 18, Heldermann Verlag, 1990. pp. 111-136.
- [8] S. A. Solovyov, Sobriety and spatiality in varieties of algebras, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 159 (2008) 2567-2585.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENDENCE