Two systems of point-free affine geometry

Giangiacomo Gerla [1] Rafał Gruszczyński [2]

[1] IIASS
University of Salerno
Italy

[2] Department of Logic
Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń
Poland

TACL 2017

Outline

Outline

Inspirations and objectives

1. A. N. Whitehead and ovate class of regions
2. Aleksander Śniatycki and half-planes
3. affine geometry
4. follow geometrical intuitions
5. (regular) open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ — «the litmus paper»

Inspirations and objectives

1. A. N. Whitehead and ovate class of regions
2. Aleksander Śniatycki and half-planes
3. affine geometry
4. follow geometrical intuitions
5. (regular) open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ — «the litmus paper»

Inspirations and objectives

1. A. N. Whitehead and ovate class of regions
2. Aleksander Śniatycki and half-planes
3. affine geometry
4. follow geometrical intuitions
5. (regular) open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ — «the litmus paper»

Inspirations and objectives

1. A. N. Whitehead and ovate class of regions
2. Aleksander Śniatycki and half-planes
3. affine geometry
4. follow geometrical intuitions
5. (regular) open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ — «the litmus paper»

Inspirations and objectives

1. A. N. Whitehead and ovate class of regions
2. Aleksander Śniatycki and half-planes
3. affine geometry
4. follow geometrical intuitions
5. (regular) open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ — «the litmus paper»

Inspirations and objectives

1. A. N. Whitehead and ovate class of regions
2. Aleksander Śniatycki and half-planes
3. affine geometry
4. follow geometrical intuitions
5. (regular) open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ — «the litmus paper»

Outline

Basic notions of Śniatycki's approach

We begin with an examination of triples $\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq, \mathbf{H} \rangle$ in which:

- $\mathbf{R}$ is a non-empty set whose elements are called regions,
- $\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq \rangle$ is a complete Boolean lattice,
- $\mathbf{H} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is a set whose elements are called half-planes (we assume that $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{0}$ are not half-planes).

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$h \in \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow -h \in \mathbf{H} \tag{H1}$$

$$\forall_{x_1,x_2,x_3 \in \mathbf{R}} \Big(\exists_{h \in \mathbf{H}} \forall_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} (x_i \cdot h \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge x_i \cdot -h \neq \mathbf{0}) \vee \exists_{h_1,h_2,h_3 \in \mathbf{H}} (x_1 \leq h_1 \wedge x_2 \leq h_2 \wedge x_3 \leq h_3 \wedge x_1 + x_2 \perp h_2 \wedge x_1 + x_3 \perp h_2 \wedge x_2 + x_3 \perp h_1) \Big) \tag{H2}$$

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$h \in \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow -h \in \mathbf{H} \tag{H1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\forall_{x_1,x_2,x_3 \in \mathbf{R}} \Big(\exists_{h \in \mathbf{H}} \forall_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} (x_i \cdot h \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge x_i \cdot -h \neq \mathbf{0}) \vee \\ \exists_{h_1,h_2,h_3 \in \mathbf{H}} (x_1 \leq h_1 \wedge x_2 \leq h_2 \wedge x_3 \leq h_3 \wedge \\ x_1 + x_2 \perp h_2 \wedge x_1 + x_3 \perp h_2 \wedge x_2 + x_3 \perp h_1)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{H2}$$

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$\forall_{h_1,h_2,h_3\in\mathbf{H}}(h_2 \leq h_1 \wedge h_3 \leq h_1 \longrightarrow h_2 \leq h_3 \vee h_3 \leq h_2) \qquad (\mathtt{H3})$$

Figure: In Beltramy-Klein model there are half-planes contained in a given one but incomparable in terms of $\leq$. In the picture above h_1 and h_2 are both parts of h, yet neither $h_1 \leq h_2$ nor $h_2 \leq h_1$. The purpose of (H3) is to ensure that parallelity of lines is a Euclidean relation.

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$\forall_{h_1,h_2,h_3 \in \mathbf{H}}(h_2 \leq h_1 \wedge h_3 \leq h_1 \longrightarrow h_2 \leq h_3 \vee h_3 \leq h_2) \qquad \text{(H3)}$$



Figure: In Beltramy-Klein model there are half-planes contained in a given one but incomparable in terms of $\leq$. In the picture above h_1 and h_2 are both parts of h, yet neither $h_1 \leq h_2$ nor $h_2 \leq h_1$. The purpose of (H3) is to ensure that parallelity of lines is a Euclidean relation.

Lines and parallelity relation

Definition (of a line)

$L \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{H})$ is a line iff there is a half-plane h such that $L = \{h, -h\}$:

$$L \in \mathfrak{L} \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{h \in \mathbf{H}} L = \{h, -h\} . \qquad (\mathtt{df}\,\mathfrak{L})$$

Definition (of parallelity relation)

$L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{L}$ are parallel iff there are half-planes $h \in L_1$ and $h' \in L_2$ which are disjoint:

$$L_1 \parallel L_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{h \in L_1} \exists_{h' \in L_2} h \perp h' . \qquad (\mathtt{df}\,\parallel)$$

In case L_1 and L_2 are not parallel we say they intersect and write: '$L_1 \nparallel L_2$'.

Angles and bowties...

Definition

- Given two intersecting lines L_1 and L_2 by an angle we understand a region x such that for $h_1 \in L_1$ and $h_2 \in L_2$ we have $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$:

$$x \text{ is an angle} \overset{\text{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{L}} \left(L_1 \nparallel L_2 \wedge \exists_{h_1 \in L_1} \exists_{h_2 \in L_2} \, x = h_1 \cdot h_2 \right).$$

- An angle x is opposite to an angle y iff there are $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $y = -h_1 \cdot -h_2$.
- A bowtie is the sum of an angle and its opposite.

Notice that every pair $L_1 = \{h_1, -h_1\}$, $L_2 = \{h_2, -h_2\}$ of non-parallel lines determines exactly four pairwise disjoint angles: $h_1 \cdot h_2$, $h_1 \cdot -h_2$, $-h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $-h_1 \cdot -h_2$.

Angles and bowties. . .

Definition

- Given two intersecting lines L_1 and L_2 by an angle we understand a region x such that for $h_1 \in L_1$ and $h_2 \in L_2$ we have $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$:

$$x \text{ is an angle} \stackrel{\text{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{L}} \left(L_1 \nparallel L_2 \wedge \exists_{h_1 \in L_1} \exists_{h_2 \in L_2} \, x = h_1 \cdot h_2\right).$$

- An angle x is opposite to an angle y iff there are $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $y = -h_1 \cdot -h_2$.
- A bowtie is the sum of an angle and its opposite.

Notice that every pair $L_1 = \{h_1, -h_1\}$, $L_2 = \{h_2, -h_2\}$ of non-parallel lines determines exactly four pairwise disjoint angles: $h_1 \cdot h_2$, $h_1 \cdot -h_2$, $-h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $-h_1 \cdot -h_2$.

Angles and bowties. . .

Definition

- Given two intersecting lines L_1 and L_2 by an angle we understand a region x such that for $h_1 \in L_1$ and $h_2 \in L_2$ we have $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$:

$$x \text{ is an angle} \overset{\mathrm{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{L}} \left(L_1 \nparallel L_2 \wedge \exists_{h_1 \in L_1} \exists_{h_2 \in L_2}\, x = h_1 \cdot h_2 \right).$$

- An angle x is opposite to an angle y iff there are $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $y = -h_1 \cdot -h_2$.
- A bowtie is the sum of an angle and its opposite.

Notice that every pair $L_1 = \{h_1, -h_1\}$, $L_2 = \{h_2, -h_2\}$ of non-parallel lines determines exactly four pairwise disjoint angles: $h_1 \cdot h_2$, $h_1 \cdot -h_2$, $-h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $-h_1 \cdot -h_2$.

Angles and bowties...

Definition

- Given two intersecting lines L_1 and L_2 by an angle we understand a region x such that for $h_1 \in L_1$ and $h_2 \in L_2$ we have $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$:

$$x \text{ is an angle} \overset{\text{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{L}} \left(L_1 \not\parallel L_2 \wedge \exists_{h_1 \in L_1} \exists_{h_2 \in L_2}\, x = h_1 \cdot h_2 \right).$$

- An angle x is opposite to an angle y iff there are $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $x = h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $y = -h_1 \cdot - h_2$.
- A bowtie is the sum of an angle and its opposite.

Notice that every pair $L_1 = \{h_1, -h_1\}$, $L_2 = \{h_2, -h_2\}$ of non-parallel lines determines exactly four pairwise disjoint angles: $h_1 \cdot h_2$, $h_1 \cdot -h_2$, $-h_1 \cdot h_2$ and $-h_1 \cdot -h_2$.

. . . and stripes

Definition

If $L_1 = \{h_1, -h_1\}$ and $L_2 = \{h_2, -h_2\}$ are parallel, yet distinct, lines and h_1 and h_2 are their disjoint sides, then $-h_1 \cdot -h_2$ is stripe.

Examples in the intended model



Figure: Fragments of a bowtie, a stripe and the complement of a stripe. These are all possible non-zero forms of the disjoint union of two distinct half-planes in the intended model. Any of the two shaded triangular areas of the bowtie is an angle.

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq (h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4) \longrightarrow \\ h_3 = h_4 \vee h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq h_3 \cdot h_4 \vee h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq -h_3 \cdot -h_4 \,. \tag{H4}$$

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq (h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4) \longrightarrow$$
$$h_3 = h_4 \vee h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq h_3 \cdot h_4 \vee h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq -h_3 \cdot -h_4 \,. \qquad \text{(H4)}$$



Figure: A geometrical interpretation of (H4).

Specific axioms for half-planes

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq (h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4) \longrightarrow$$
$$h_3 = h_4 \vee h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq h_3 \cdot h_4 \vee h_1 \cdot h_2 \leq -h_3 \cdot -h_4 \,. \tag{H4}$$



Figure: These two situations are excluded by the special case of (H4).

Points

Definition

Given lines $L_1, \ldots, L_k$ by a net determined by them we understand the following set:

$$(L_1 \ldots L_k) := \left\{ g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_k \mid \forall_{i \leqslant k}\, g_i \in L_i \right\}.$$

Lines $L_1, \ldots, L_k$ split a region x into m parts iff the set:

$$\left\{ x \cdot a \mid a \in (L_1 \ldots L_k) \wedge x \cdot a \neq \mathbf{0} \right\}$$

has exactly m elements.

Points

Definition

- If $L_1, \ldots, L_k \in \mathfrak{L}$, an arbitrary element of the Cartesian product $L_1 \times \ldots \times L_k$ will be called an H-sequence.
- An H-sequence $\langle h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle$ is positive iff $h_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot h_k \neq \mathbf{0}$, otherwise it is non-positive.
- Two H-sequences $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ and $\langle g_1^*, \ldots, g_k^* \rangle$ are opposite iff for all $i \leqslant n$, g_i^* is the complement of g_i.

Points

Definition

- If $L_1, \ldots, L_k \in \mathfrak{L}$, an arbitrary element of the Cartesian product $L_1 \times \ldots \times L_k$ will be called an *H*-sequence.
- An *H*-sequence $\langle h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle$ is positive iff $h_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot h_k \neq \mathbf{0}$, otherwise it is non-positive.
- Two *H*-sequences $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ and $\langle g_1^*, \ldots, g_k^* \rangle$ are opposite iff for all $i \leqslant n$, g_i^* is the complement of g_i.

Points

Definition

- If $L_1, \ldots, L_k \in \mathfrak{L}$, an arbitrary element of the Cartesian product $L_1 \times \ldots \times L_k$ will be called an H-sequence.
- An H-sequence $\langle h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle$ is positive iff $h_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot h_k \neq \mathbf{0}$, otherwise it is non-positive.
- Two H-sequences $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ and $\langle g_1^*, \ldots, g_k^* \rangle$ are opposite iff for all $i \leqslant n$, g_i^* is the complement of g_i.

Points

Definition

A pseudopoint is any net $(L_1 L_2)$ such that all its four H-sequences are positive, equivalently one could define a pseudopoint as an unordered pair of non-parallel lines.

For any pseudopoint $(L_1 L_2)$, the lines L_1 and L_2 will be called its determinants. In case we have two pseudopoints $(L_1 L_2)$ and $(L_1 L_3)$ we say that they share a determinant L_1.

Points

Definition

Lines L_1, L_2 and L_3 are tied iff $L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3$ contains two different non-positive and opposite H-sequences.

Definition

A pseudopoint $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on L_3 iff L_1, L_2 and L_3 are tied.

Definition

Psedopoints $(L_1 L_2)$ and $(L_3 L_4)$ are collocated (in symbols: $(L_1 L_2) \sim (L_3 L_4)$) iff $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on both L_3 and L_4.

Points

Definition

Lines L_1, L_2 and L_3 are tied iff $L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3$ contains two different non-positive and opposite H-sequences.

Definition

A pseudopoint $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on L_3 iff L_1, L_2 and L_3 are tied.

Definition

Psedopoints $(L_1 L_2)$ and $(L_3 L_4)$ are collocated (in symbols: $(L_1 L_2) \sim (L_3 L_4)$) iff $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on both L_3 and L_4.

Points

Definition

Lines L_1, L_2 and L_3 are tied iff $L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3$ contains two different non-positive and opposite H-sequences.

Definition

A pseudopoint $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on L_3 iff L_1, L_2 and L_3 are tied.

Definition

Psedopoints $(L_1 L_2)$ and $(L_3 L_4)$ are collocated (in symbols: $(L_1 L_2) \sim (L_3 L_4)$) iff $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on both L_3 and L_4.



h_1	h_2	h_3	P
h_1	h_2	$-h_3$	P
h_1	$-h_2$	h_3	N
h_1	$-h_2$	$-h_3$	P
$-h_1$	h_2	h_3	P
$-h_1$	h_2	$-h_3$	P
$-h_1$	$-h_2$	h_3	P
$-h_1$	$-h_2$	$-h_3$	P



h_1	h_2	h_3	P
h_1	h_2	$-h_3$	P
h_1	$-h_2$	h_3	N
h_1	$-h_2$	$-h_3$	N
$-h_1$	h_2	h_3	P
$-h_1$	h_2	$-h_3$	P
$-h_1$	$-h_2$	h_3	P
$-h_1$	$-h_2$	$-h_3$	P



h_1	h_2	h_3	P
h_1	h_2	$-h_3$	P
h_1	$-h_2$	h_3	N
h_1	$-h_2$	$-h_3$	P
$-h_1$	h_2	h_3	P
$-h_1$	h_2	$-h_3$	N
$-h_1$	$-h_2$	h_3	P
$-h_1$	$-h_2$	$-h_3$	P

Points

Definition

Collocation of pseudopoints is an equivalence relation, therefore points can be defined as its equivalence classes:

$$\Pi := \pi/_{\sim}\,. \tag{df Π}$$

Incidence relation

Definition

$\alpha \in \Pi$ is incident with a line L iff there is a pseudopoint $(L_1 L_2) \in \alpha$ such that $(L_1 L_2)$ lies on L.

Betweenness relation

Definition

- $\alpha \in \Pi$ lies in the half-plane h iff there is $(L_1 L_2) \in \alpha$ such that for every $x \in (L_1 L_2)$, $x \cdot h \neq \mathbf{0}$.
- A line $L = \{h, -h\}$ lies between points α and β iff α lies in h and β lies in $-h$.

Definition

Points α, β and γ are collinear iff some three pseudpoints from, respectively, α, β and γ share a determinant L.

Betweenness relation

Definition

- $\alpha \in \Pi$ lies in the half-plane h iff there is $(L_1 L_2) \in \alpha$ such that for every $x \in (L_1 L_2)$, $x \cdot h \neq \mathbf{0}$.
- A line $L = \{h, -h\}$ lies between points α and β iff α lies in h and β lies in $-h$.

Definition

Points α, β and γ are collinear iff some three pseudpoints from, respectively, α, β and γ share a determinant L.

Betweenness relation

Definition

- $\alpha \in \Pi$ lies in the half-plane h iff there is $(L_1 L_2) \in \alpha$ such that for every $x \in (L_1 L_2)$, $x \cdot h \neq \mathbf{0}$.
- A line $L = \{h, -h\}$ lies between points α and β iff α lies in h and β lies in $-h$.

Definition

Points α, β and γ are collinear iff some three pseudpoints from, respectively, α, β and γ share a determinant L.

Betweenness relation

Definition

A point γ is between points α and β iff:

- α, β and γ are collinear and
- γ is incident with a line L which lies between α and β.

Śniatycki's Theorem

Theorem

Consider an H-structure:

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq, \mathbf{H} \rangle \,.$$

Individual notions of point and line and relational notions of incidence and betweenness are definable in such a way that the corresponding structure $\langle \Pi, \mathfrak{L}, \epsilon, \mathbf{B} \rangle$ *satisfies all axioms of a system of geometry of betweenness and incidence.*

Outline

Basic notions

We now turn our attentions to structures $\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq, \mathbf{O} \rangle$ such that:

- elements of $\mathbf{R}$ are called regions,
- $\leq \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ is part of relation,
- $\mathbf{O} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ and its elements are called ovals.

First axioms

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq \rangle \text{ is a complete atomless Boolean lattice.} \tag{O0}$$

$$\mathbf{O} \text{ is an algebraic closure system in } \langle \mathbf{R}, \leq \rangle \text{ containing } \mathbf{0}. \tag{O1}$$

$$\mathbf{O}^{+} \text{ is dense in } \langle \mathbf{R}, \leq \rangle. \tag{O2}$$

The hull operator

Definition

$\mathrm{hull}\colon \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the operation given by:

$$\mathrm{hull}(x) := \bigwedge\{a \in \mathbf{O} \mid x \leq a\}\,. \tag{df hull}$$

For $x \in \mathbf{R}$ the object $\mathrm{hull}(x)$ will be called the oval generated by x.

Lines in the oval setting

Definition

By a line we understand a two element set $L = \{a, b\}$ of disjoint ovals, such that for any set of disjoint ovals $\{c, d\}$ with $a \leqslant c$ and $b \leqslant d$ it is the case that $a = c$ and $b = d$:

$$X \in \mathfrak{L} \overset{\mathrm{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{a,b \in \mathbf{O}^+} \Big(a \perp b \wedge X = \{a, b\} \wedge \\ \forall_{c,d \in \mathbf{O}^+} (c \perp d \wedge a \leqslant c \wedge b \leqslant d \longrightarrow a = c \wedge b = d) \Big). \tag{df $\mathfrak{L}$}$$

For a line $L = \{a, b\}$ the elements of L will be called the sides of L.

Lines in the oval setting

Definition

Two lines $L_1 = \{a, b\}$ and $L_2 = \{c, d\}$ are paralell iff there is a side of L_1 which is disjoint from a side of L_2:

$$L_1 \parallel L_2 \overset{\text{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists_{a \in L_1} \exists_{b \in L_2}\, a \perp b\,. \tag{$\mathtt{df}\parallel$}$$

In case L_1 is not parallel to L_2 we say that L_1 and L_2 *intersect* and write '$L_1 \not\parallel L_2$'.

Half-planes in the oval setting

Definition

A region x is a half-plane iff $x, -x \in \mathbf{O}^+$; the set of all half-planes will be denoted by '**H**':

$$x \in \mathbf{H} \overset{\text{df}}{\longleftrightarrow} \{x, -x\} \subseteq \mathbf{O}^+ \ . \qquad (\mathtt{df}\,\mathbf{H})$$

Half-planes and lines in oval setting

Definition

Let $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ be non-empty spheres in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that for $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$: $\mathrm{Cl}\, B_i \cap \mathrm{Cl}\, B_j = \emptyset$. Consider the subspace $\mathscr{B}_n$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ induced by $B_1 \cup \ldots \cup B_n$. Put:

- $\mathrm{r}\mathscr{B}_n := \{x \mid x \text{ is a regular open element of } \mathscr{B}_n\}$
- $\mathbf{O} := \{a \in \mathrm{r}\mathscr{B}_n \mid a = \bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} B_n \vee \exists_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \exists_{b \in \mathrm{Conv}}\, a = B_i \cap b\}$

We will call $\mathbb{B}_n := \langle \mathrm{r}\mathscr{B}_n, \subseteq, \mathbf{O} \rangle$ the n-sphere structure.

Lines and half-planes in the oval setting



Figure: The structure $\mathbb{B}_3$.

Lines and half-planes in the oval setting



Figure: The structure $\mathbb{B}_3$.

Fact

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{B}_n$ is a complete Boolean lattice and the axioms (O1) *and* (O2) *are satisfied in $\mathbb{B}_n$.*

Lines and half-planes in the oval setting



Figure: The structure $\mathbb{B}_3$.

Fact

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of lines of $\mathbb{B}_n$ contains sets $\{B_i \cap h, B_i \cap -h\}$, where h is a half-plane in the prototypical structure $\mathbb{R}^2$ and both $B_i \cap h$ and $B_i \cap -h$ are non-empty. Two lines contained in different balls are always parallel.

Lines and half-planes in the oval setting



Figure: The structure $\mathbb{B}_1$.

Fact

In $\mathbb{B}_1$ the set of lines is equal to the set of all unordered pairs of the form $\{B_1 \cap h, B_1 \cap -h\}$. The sides of a line in $\mathbb{B}_1$ are half-planes in this structure.

Lines and half-planes in the oval setting



Figure: The structure $\mathbb{B}_2$.

Fact

B_1 and B_2 are the only half-planes of $\mathbb{B}_2$ and thus $\{B_1, B_2\}$ is the only line of $\mathbb{B}_2$ whose sides are half-planes. This line is parallel to every other line. In general, in $\mathbb{B}_n$ for $n \geqslant 2$ any pair $\{B_i, B_j\}$ with $i \neq j$ is a line parallel to every line in $\mathbb{B}_n$.

Lines and half-planes in the oval setting



Figure: The structure $\mathbb{B}_3$.

Fact

There are no half-planes in $\mathbb{B}_n$ for $n \geqslant 3$, and thus there are no lines whose sides are half-planes.

Specific axioms

Definition

A finite partition of the universe $\mathbf{1}$ is a set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ whose elements are pairwise disjoint and such that $\bigvee\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} = \mathbf{1}$. For a partition $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by *the partition of x induced by P* we understand the following set:

$$\{x \cdot x_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \wedge x \cdot x_i \neq \mathbf{0}\}\,.$$

The sides of a line form a partition of $\mathbf{1}$; equivalently: the sides of a line are half-planes. (03)

Specific axioms

Definition

A finite partition of the universe $\mathbf{1}$ is a set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ whose elements are pairwise disjoint and such that $\bigvee\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} = \mathbf{1}$. For a partition $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by *the partition of x induced by P* we understand the following set:

$$\{x \cdot x_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \wedge x \cdot x_i \neq \mathbf{0}\}\,.$$

The sides of a line form a partition of $\mathbf{1}$; equivalently: the sides of a line are half-planes. (03)

Specific axioms

For any $a, b, c \in \mathbf{O}$ which are not aligned there is a line which separates a from $\mathrm{hull}(b + c)$. (04)

Specific axioms

If distinct lines L_1 and L_2 both cross an oval a, then they split a in at least three parts. (05)

Figure: L_1 and L_2 split the oval into 3 parts, while L_3 and L_4 split it into 4 parts.

Specific axioms

If distinct lines L_1 and L_2 both cross an oval a, then they split a in at least three parts. (05)



Figure: L_1 and L_2 split the oval into 3 parts, while L_3 and L_4 split it into 4 parts.

Specific axioms

$$\text{No half-plane is part of any stripe and any angle.} \tag{06}$$

The purpose of (06) is to prove that parallelity of lines is transitive.

Figure: In Beltramy-Klein model: h is a part of the angle $h_2 \cdot -h_1$.

Specific axioms

$$\text{No half-plane is part of any stripe and any angle.} \qquad (06)$$

The purpose of (06) is to prove that parallelity of lines is transitive.



Figure: In Beltramy-Klein model: h is a part of the angle $h_2 \cdot -h_1$.

O-structures

Definition

A triple $\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq, \mathbf{O} \rangle$ is an O-structure iff $\langle \mathbf{R}, \leq, \mathbf{O} \rangle$ satisfies axioms (O0)–(O6).

Main theorems

Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{O} = \langle \mathbf{R}, \leqslant, \mathbf{O} \rangle$ be an O-structure and $\mathfrak{O}' := \langle \mathbf{R}, \leqslant, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{H} \rangle$ be the structure obtained from $\mathfrak{O}$ by defining $\mathbf{H}$ as the set of all ovals whose complements are ovals. Then $\mathfrak{O}'$ satisfies all axioms for H-structures.

Theorem

If $\mathfrak{O}'$ is the extension of an O-structure $\mathfrak{O}$, then individual notions of point and line and relational notions of incidence and betweenness are definable from the operations and notions of $\mathfrak{O}'$ in such a way that all the axioms of a system of affine geometry are satisfied by the corresponding structure $\langle \mathbf{P}, \mathfrak{L}, \epsilon, \mathbf{B} \rangle$.

Main theorems

Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{O} = \langle \mathbf{R}, \leqslant, \mathbf{O} \rangle$ be an O-structure and $\mathfrak{O}' := \langle \mathbf{R}, \leqslant, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{H} \rangle$ be the structure obtained from $\mathfrak{O}$ by defining $\mathbf{H}$ as the set of all ovals whose complements are ovals. Then $\mathfrak{O}'$ satisfies all axioms for H-structures.

Theorem

If $\mathfrak{O}'$ is the extension of an O-structure $\mathfrak{O}$, then individual notions of point and line and relational notions of incidence and betweenness are definable from the operations and notions of $\mathfrak{O}'$ in such a way that all the axioms of a system of affine geometry are satisfied by the corresponding structure $\langle \mathbf{P}, \mathfrak{L}, \epsilon, \mathbf{B} \rangle$.

A proof of (H4)

We prove more general statement according to which for any $a \in \mathbf{O}$:

$$a \leqslant (h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4) \longrightarrow h_3 = h_4 \vee a \leqslant h_3 \cdot h_4 \vee a \leqslant -h_3 \cdot -h_4\,,$$

and use the fact that for any half planes h_1 and h_2, $h_1 \cdot h_2 \in \mathbf{O}$. The case in which $a = \mathbf{0}$ is trivial. In case $h_3 = -h_4$ we have that:

$$(h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4) = (-h_4 \cdot h_4) + (h_4 \cdot -h_4) = \mathbf{0}\,.$$

Thus we assume that (a) $h_3 \neq -h_4$. Let:

$$a \leqslant (h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4) \tag{$\bullet$}$$

and (b) $h_3 \neq h_4$. At the same time assume towards contradiction that:

$$a \nleqslant h_3 \cdot h_4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad a \nleqslant -h_3 \cdot -h_4\,. \tag{$\ddagger$}$$

A proof of (H4)

By (a) and (b) lines $L_3 = \{h_3, -h_3\}$ and $L_4 = \{h_4, -h_4\}$ are distinct. From (•) and (‡) we get that $a \cdot h_3 \cdot h_4 \neq \mathbf{0} \neq a \cdot -h_3 \cdot -h_4$, so both L_3 and L_4 cross a and according to axiom (O5) they split a into at least three parts. Yet (•) entails that:

$$a \cdot -h_3 \cdot h_4 \leqslant ((h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4)) \cdot -h_3 \cdot h_4 = \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$a \cdot h_3 \cdot -h_4 \leqslant ((h_3 \cdot h_4) + (-h_3 \cdot -h_4)) \cdot h_3 \cdot -h_4 = \mathbf{0}$$

and in consequence the set:

$$\{a \cdot x \mid x \in (L_3 L_4) \wedge a \cdot x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$$

has exactly two elements, a contradiction. □

Bibliography

Giangiacomo Gerla and Rafał Gruszczyński, **Point-free geometry, ovals and half-planes**, *Review of Symbolic Logic*, Volume 10, Issue 2 (2017), pp. 237–258

Aleksander Śniatycki, **An axiomatics of non-Desarguean geometry based on the half-plane as the primitive notion**, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, no. LIX, PWN, Warszawa, 1968

Support

Research supported by National Science Center, Poland, grant *Applications of mereology in systems of point-free geometry*, no. 2014/13/B/HS1/00766.

The End