

# Sasaki projections and related operations

Jeannine Gabriëls\*, Stephen Gagola III\*\*, and Mirko Navara\*

\* Czech Technical University in Prague

\*\* University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg

TACL, Prague 2017

# What is quantum logic?

Crucial example:

- The lattice of closed subspaces of a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$
- $x \wedge y = x \cap y$
- $x' = \text{the closure of } \{\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v} \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in x\}$
- $x \vee y = (x' \wedge y')'$

# Orthomodular lattice

More generally [Birkhoff, von Neumann 1936]:

## Definition

An *orthomodular lattice* is a bounded lattice with an *orthocomplementation*  $'$  satisfying

- $x \leq y \Rightarrow y' \leq x'$
- $x'' = x$
- $x'$  is the lattice-theoretical complement of  $x$ :

$$x \wedge x' = \mathbf{0}$$

$$x \vee x' = \mathbf{1}$$

- $x \leq y \Rightarrow y = x \vee (x' \wedge y)$  (*orthomodular law*)

# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Whether  $x = y$ ,

# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Whether  $x = y$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,

# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Whether  $x = y$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x = y'$ ,

# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Whether  $x = y$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x = y'$ ,  $x \perp y$  (i.e.,  $x \leq y'$ ).



# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Whether  $x = y$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x = y'$ ,  $x \perp y$  (i.e.,  $x \leq y'$ ).
- In all these (and some other) cases,  $x, y$  generate a finite Boolean subalgebra;

# What can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Whether  $x = y$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x = y'$ ,  $x \perp y$  (i.e.,  $x \leq y'$ ).
- In all these (and some other) cases,  $x, y$  generate a finite Boolean subalgebra; we say that  $x, y$  *commute*; in symbols,  $x \mathsf{C} y$ .

# What else can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

# What else can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Can we determine the angle  $\angle(x, y)$ ?

# What else can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Can we determine the angle  $\angle(x, y)$ ?
- **Yes** if  $\angle(x, y) \in \{0, \pi/2\}$ ; then  $x, y$  commute.

# What else can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Can we determine the angle  $\angle(x, y)$ ?
- **Yes** if  $\angle(x, y) \in \{0, \pi/2\}$ ; then  $x, y$  commute.
- *Not* in general.

# What else can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Can we determine the angle  $\angle(x, y)$ ?
- **Yes** if  $\angle(x, y) \in \{0, \pi/2\}$ ; then  $x, y$  commute.
- *Not* in general.
- We can describe at least the *orthogonal projection* of  $y$  to  $x$ ,

$$x \wedge (x' \vee y) = \phi_x(y) = x * y$$

$\phi_x$  ... *Sasaki projection*,

$*$  ... *Sasaki operation*.

# What else can the algebraic properties say about linear subspaces?

- Can we determine the angle  $\angle(x, y)$ ?
- **Yes** if  $\angle(x, y) \in \{0, \pi/2\}$ ; then  $x, y$  commute.
- *Not* in general.
- We can describe at least the *orthogonal projection* of  $y$  to  $x$ ,

$$x \wedge (x' \vee y) = \phi_x(y) = x * y$$

$\phi_x$  ... *Sasaki projection*,

$*$  ... *Sasaki operation*.

- $x \subset y \implies \phi_x(y) = x \wedge y$



# Sasaki (binary) operation

The Sasaki operation is neither commutative nor associative, it satisfies

idempotence	$x * x = x$
neutral element	$\mathbf{1} * x = x * \mathbf{1} = x$
absorption element	$\mathbf{0} * x = x * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

# Sasaki (binary) operation

The Sasaki operation is neither commutative nor associative, it satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{idempotence} & x * x = x \\ \text{neutral element} & \mathbf{1} * x = x * \mathbf{1} = x \\ \text{absorption element} & \mathbf{0} * x = x * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

The Sasaki operation and its dual, *Sasaki hook*, may be better candidates for the conjunction and disjunction of a quantum logic than the meet and join [Pykacz 2015].

# Weaker forms of associativity

The only OML operations in  $x.y$  which are associative are

$x \wedge y$ ,  $x \vee y$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$ , **0**, **1**

# Weaker forms of associativity

The only OML operations in  $x.y$  which are associative are  $x \wedge y$ ,  $x \vee y$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $\mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{1}$

## Theorem (Alternative algebra)

*An OML with the Sasaki operation forms an **alternative algebra**, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned}x * (x * y) &= (x * x) * y && \text{(left identity)} \\(y * x) * x &= y * (x * x) && \text{(right identity)} \\x * (y * x) &= (x * y) * x && \text{(flexible identity)}\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (Moufang-like identities)

$$\begin{aligned}(x * y * x) * z &= (x * y) * (x * z) \\(z * (x * y)) * x &= z * (x * y * x) \\((x * y) * z) * x &= (x * y) * (z * x)\end{aligned}$$

# Properties of Sasaki projection

- It preserves joins

$$\phi_x(y \vee z) = \phi_x(y) \vee \phi_x(z),$$

# Properties of Sasaki projection

- It preserves joins

$$\phi_x(y \vee z) = \phi_x(y) \vee \phi_x(z),$$

- $\implies$  monotonicity.

# Properties of Sasaki projection

- It preserves joins

$$\phi_x(y \vee z) = \phi_x(y) \vee \phi_x(z),$$

- $\implies$  monotonicity.
- The *dual* of a monotonic mapping  $\theta$  is

$$\bar{\theta}(y) = (\theta(y'))'.$$

# Composition of Sasaki projections

- $\phi_p \phi_q \neq \phi_q \phi_p$  in general



# Composition of Sasaki projections

- $\phi_p \phi_q \neq \phi_q \phi_p$  in general
- $\phi_p \phi_q = \phi_q \phi_p = \phi_{p \wedge q} \iff p \mathbf{C} q$

# Composition of Sasaki projections

- $\phi_p \phi_q \neq \phi_q \phi_p$  in general
- $\phi_p \phi_q = \phi_q \phi_p = \phi_{p \wedge q} \iff p \mathbf{C} q$
- $\phi_p \phi_q = \phi_q \phi_p = \phi_p \iff p \leq q$

# Relation to Baer $\ast$ -semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

# Relation to Baer $\ast$ -semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

- Each  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1} \in S(L)$  has a unique *adjoint*

$$\xi^*(y) = \min\{z \in L \mid \bar{\xi}(z) \geq y\},$$

which is  $\xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n} \in S(L)$ .

# Relation to Baer \*-semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

- Each  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1} \in S(L)$  has a unique *adjoint*

$$\xi^*(y) = \min\{z \in L \mid \bar{\xi}(z) \geq y\},$$

which is  $\xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n} \in S(L)$ .

- $S(L)$  has the structure of a *Baer \*-semigroup*.

# Relation to Baer \*-semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

- Each  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1} \in S(L)$  has a unique *adjoint*

$$\xi^*(y) = \min\{z \in L \mid \bar{\xi}(z) \geq y\},$$

which is  $\xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n} \in S(L)$ .

- $S(L)$  has the structure of a *Baer \*-semigroup*.
- Its set of *projections* ( $\pi = \pi^2 = \pi^*$ ) with the order  $\theta \leq \eta \iff \theta\eta = \theta$  is isomorphic to the original OML.

# Relation to Baer \*-semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

- Each  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1} \in S(L)$  has a unique *adjoint*

$$\xi^*(y) = \min\{z \in L \mid \bar{\xi}(z) \geq y\},$$

which is  $\xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n} \in S(L)$ .

- $S(L)$  has the structure of a *Baer \*-semigroup*.
- Its set of *projections* ( $\pi = \pi^2 = \pi^*$ ) with the order  $\theta \leq \eta \iff \theta\eta = \theta$  is isomorphic to the original OML.

$$\xi^*\xi \neq \xi^*.$$

# Relation to Baer \*-semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

- Each  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1} \in S(L)$  has a unique *adjoint*

$$\xi^*(y) = \min\{z \in L \mid \bar{\xi}(z) \geq y\},$$

which is  $\xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n} \in S(L)$ .

- $S(L)$  has the structure of a *Baer \*-semigroup*.
- Its set of *projections* ( $\pi = \pi^2 = \pi^*$ ) with the order  $\theta \leq \eta \iff \theta\eta = \theta$  is isomorphic to the original OML.

$$\xi^*\xi \neq \xi^*.$$

Theorem (Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992)

$$\xi^*\xi(\mathbf{1}) = \xi^*(\mathbf{1}).$$



# Relation to Baer \*-semigroups

$\Phi(L)$  ... the set of all Sasaki projections

$S(L)$  ... the set of all their finite compositions

- Each  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1} \in S(L)$  has a unique *adjoint*

$$\xi^*(y) = \min\{z \in L \mid \bar{\xi}(z) \geq y\},$$

which is  $\xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n} \in S(L)$ .

- $S(L)$  has the structure of a *Baer \*-semigroup*.
- Its set of *projections* ( $\pi = \pi^2 = \pi^*$ ) with the order  $\theta \leq \eta \iff \theta\eta = \theta$  is isomorphic to the original OML.

$$\xi^*\xi \neq \xi^*.$$

**Theorem (Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992)**

$$\xi^*\xi(\mathbf{1}) = \xi^*(\mathbf{1}).$$

**Problem:** Prove this without the advanced methods of Baer \*-semigroups.

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

- $\mathcal{I}$  is a kernel of a congruence;

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

- $\mathcal{I}$  is a kernel of a congruence;
- $\mathcal{I}$  is a lattice ideal closed under perspectivity;

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

- $\mathcal{I}$  is a kernel of a congruence;
- $\mathcal{I}$  is a lattice ideal closed under perspectivity;
- $x * y \in \mathcal{I}$  whenever  $x \in \mathcal{I}$  or  $y \in \mathcal{I}$ .

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

- $\mathcal{I}$  is a kernel of a congruence;
- $\mathcal{I}$  is a lattice ideal closed under perspectivity;
- $x * y \in \mathcal{I}$  whenever  $x \in \mathcal{I}$  or  $y \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Here the meet  $\wedge$  cannot be used instead of Sasaki operation  $*$ .

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

*Question* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992]: Are  $\xi(\mathbf{1}), \xi^*(\mathbf{1})$  (strongly) perspective? (Here  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1}, \xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n}$ .)



## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

*Question* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992]: Are  $\xi(\mathbf{1}), \xi^*(\mathbf{1})$  (strongly) perspective? (Here  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1}, \xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n}$ .)

- YES for  $n = 2$ , take  $(x_1 * x_2)'$  or  $(x_1 * x_2)'$ ;

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

*Question* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992]: Are  $\xi(\mathbf{1}), \xi^*(\mathbf{1})$  (strongly) perspective? (Here  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1}, \xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n}$ .)

- YES for  $n = 2$ , take  $(x_1 * x_2)'$  or  $(x_1 * x_2)'$ ;
- YES for  $n = 3$ , take  $((x_2 * x_1) * (x_2 * x_3))'$  or  $((x_2 * x_3) * (x_2 * x_1))'$  (but strong perspectivity cannot be achieved) [JG, SG, MN];

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

*Question* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992]: Are  $\xi(\mathbf{1}), \xi^*(\mathbf{1})$  (strongly) perspective? (Here  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1}, \xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n}$ .)

- YES for  $n = 2$ , take  $(x_1 * x_2)'$  or  $(x_1 * x_2)'$ ;
- YES for  $n = 3$ , take  $((x_2 * x_1) * (x_2 * x_3))'$  or  $((x_2 * x_3) * (x_2 * x_1))'$  (but strong perspectivity cannot be achieved) [JG, SG, MN];
- NO for  $n \geq 4$  [JG, SG, MN];

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

*Question* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992]: Are  $\xi(\mathbf{1}), \xi^*(\mathbf{1})$  (strongly) perspective? (Here  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1}, \xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n}$ .)

- YES for  $n = 2$ , take  $(x_1 * x_2)'$  or  $(x_1 * x_2)'$ ;
- YES for  $n = 3$ , take  $((x_2 * x_1) * (x_2 * x_3))'$  or  $((x_2 * x_3) * (x_2 * x_1))'$  (but strong perspectivity cannot be achieved) [JG, SG, MN];
- NO for  $n \geq 4$  [JG, SG, MN];
- YES for an arbitrary  $n$  if the lattice is *complete modular* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992];

## Definition

Elements  $a, b$  of an OML are called

- *perspective* if they have a common complement;
- *strongly perspective* if they have a common complement in  $[0, a \vee b]$ .

*Question* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992]: Are  $\xi(\mathbf{1}), \xi^*(\mathbf{1})$  (strongly) perspective? (Here  $\xi = \phi_{x_n} \cdots \phi_{x_2} \phi_{x_1}, \xi^* = \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_2} \cdots \phi_{x_n}$ )

- YES for  $n = 2$ , take  $(x_1 * x_2)'$  or  $(x_1 * x_2)'$ ;
- YES for  $n = 3$ , take  $((x_2 * x_1) * (x_2 * x_3))'$  or  $((x_2 * x_3) * (x_2 * x_1))'$  (but strong perspectivity cannot be achieved) [JG, SG, MN];
- NO for  $n \geq 4$  [JG, SG, MN];
- YES for an arbitrary  $n$  if the lattice is *complete modular* [Chevalier, Pulmannová 1992];

A constructive proof is not known.

- Sasaki operation and its dual form a promising alternative to lattice operations (meet and join).

- Sasaki operation and its dual form a promising alternative to lattice operations (meet and join).
- The potential of using Sasaki projections in the algebraic foundations of orthomodular lattices is still not sufficiently exhausted.

*Thank you for your attention!*