## $\aleph_1$ , $\omega_1$ , and the modal $\mu$ -calculus

Maria João Gouveia<sup>1\*</sup>and Luigi Santocanale<sup>2†</sup>

## <sup>1</sup> CEMAT-CIÊNCIAS

Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa mjgouveia@fc.ul.pt

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire d'Informatique Fondamentale de Marseille luigi.santocanale@lif.univ-mrs.fr

The modal  $\mu$ -calculus  $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ , see [4], enriches the syntax of (poly)modal logic  $\mathbf{K}$  with least and greatest fixed-point constructors  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . In a Kripke model  $\mathcal{M}$ , the formula  $\mu_x.\phi$  (resp.,  $\nu_x.\phi$ ) denotes the least (resp., the greatest) fixed-point of the function  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  (of the variable x) obtained by evaluating  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  under the additional condition that x is interpreted as a given subset of worlds. It is required that every occurrence of x is positive in  $\phi$ , so  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  is monotone and the least fixed-point exists by the Tarski-Knaster theorem.

A formula  $\phi(x)$  is said to be continuous if, for every model  $\mathcal{M}$ , the function  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  is continuous, in the usual sense. The continuous fragment  $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$  of the modal  $\mu$ -calculus is the set of formulas generated by the following syntax:

$$\phi := x \mid \psi \mid \top \mid \bot \mid \phi \land \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \langle a \rangle \phi \mid \mu_z . \chi ,$$

where  $x \in X$ ,  $\psi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  is a  $\mu$ -calculus formula not containing any variable  $x \in X$ , and  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0(X \cup \{z\})$ . Fontaine [3] proved that a formula  $\phi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  is continuous in x if and only if it is equivalent to a formula in  $\mathcal{C}_0(x)$ ; she also proved that it is decidable whether a formula of the modal  $\mu$ -calculus is continuous. We add to the above grammar one more production and study the fragment  $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$  of  $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  defined as follows:

$$\phi := x \mid \psi \mid \top \mid \bot \mid \phi \land \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \langle a \rangle \phi \mid \mu_z . \chi \mid \nu_z . \chi ,$$

with the same constraints as above but w.r.t  $C_1(X \cup \{z\})$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. A set  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq P(X)$  is  $\kappa$ -directed if every subset of  $\mathcal{I}$  of cardinality smaller than  $\kappa$  has an upper bound in  $\mathcal{I}$ . A function  $f: P(X) \to P(X)$  is  $\kappa$ -continuous if it preserves unions of  $\kappa$ -directed sets.

Notice that, if  $\kappa = \aleph_0$ , then  $\kappa$ -continuity is the standard notion of continuity. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $\aleph_1$ -continuous functions are closed under parametrized least and greatest fixed-points, see [5, 6].

**Proposition 2.** Every formula in  $\phi(x) \in C_1(x)$  is  $\aleph_1$ -continuous.

The following theorem is a sort of converse to the previous statement.

**Theorem 3.** For each formula  $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  we can construct a formula  $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}_1(x)$  such that  $\phi(x)$  is  $\kappa$ -continuous for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$  if and only if  $\phi(x)$  is equivalent to  $\psi(x)$ .

The consequences of this theorem are twofold.

Corollary 4. It is decidable whether a formula  $\phi(x)$  is  $\kappa$ -continuous for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by FCT under grant SFRH/BSAB/128039/2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Partially supported by the Project TICAMORE ANR-16-CE91-0002-01.

**Corollary 5.** If a formula is  $\kappa$ -continuous for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , then it is  $\kappa$ -continuous for some  $\kappa \in \{\aleph_0, \aleph_1\}$ .

That is, there are no other relevant fragments of the modal  $\mu$ -calculus, apart from  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ , that are determined from some continuity condition.

Let us recall that, for a monotone function  $f: P(X) \to P(X)$ , we can define the approximants to the least fixed-point of f as follows:  $f^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset) = f(f^{\alpha}(\emptyset))$  and  $f^{\beta}(\emptyset) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$  (so  $f^{0}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ). If  $f^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset) = f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$ , then  $f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$  is the least fixed-point of f.

**Definition 6.** We say that and ordinal  $\alpha$  is the *closure ordinal* of  $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  if, for every model  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$  is the least fixed-point of  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ , and moreover there exists a model  $\mathcal{M}$  for which  $\phi^{\beta}(\emptyset)$  is not the least fixed-point of  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ , for every  $\beta < \alpha$ .

Of course, not every formula  $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  has a closure ordinal. For example []x has no closure ordinal, while  $\omega_0$  is the closure ordinal of [] $\perp \vee \langle \rangle x$ . Czarnecki [2] proved that every ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_0^2$  is the closure ordinal of a formula  $\phi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ . Afshari and Leigh [1] proved that if a formula  $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  does not contain greatest fixed-points and has a closure ordinal  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha < \omega_0^2$ . Considering that every ordinal below  $\omega_0^2$  can be written as a polynomial in the inderterminates 1,  $\omega_0$ , our next theorem can be used to recover Czarnecki's result:

**Theorem 7.** Closure ordinals of formulas of the modal  $\mu$ -calculus are closed under ordinal sum.

Since a formula  $\phi(x)$  in the syntactic fragment  $\mathcal{C}_1(x)$  is  $\aleph_1$ -continuous, the maps  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  converge to their least fixed-point in at most  $\omega_1$  steps, where  $\omega_1$  is the least uncountable ordinal (considering cardinals as specific ordinals, we have  $\omega_1 = \aleph_1$ ). In particular, every formula in this fragment has a closure ordinal with  $\omega_1$  as an upper bound. We prove that  $\omega_1$  is indeed a closure ordinal:

**Theorem 8.**  $\omega_1$  is the closure ordinal of the formula  $\phi(x) := \nu_z . (\langle v \rangle_X \wedge \langle h \rangle_Z) \vee [v] \bot$ .

Extending Thomason's coding to the full modal  $\mu$ -calculus, it is also possible to construct a monomodal formula in  $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$  whose only free variable is x, with  $\omega_1$  as closure ordinal. Consequently, we extend Czarnecki's result by showing that polynomials in the inderterminates  $1, \omega_0, \omega_1$  denote closure ordinals.

- [1] B. Afshari and G. E. Leigh. On closure ordinals for the modal mu-calculus. In S. R. D. Rocca, editor, Computer Science Logic 2013 (CSL 2013), CSL 2013, September 2-5, 2013, Torino, Italy, volume 23 of LIPIcs, pages 30–44. Schloss Dagstuhl Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2013.
- [2] M. Czarnecki. How fast can the fixpoints in modal μ-calculus be reached? In L. Santocanale, editor, 7th Workshop on Fixed Points in Computer Science, FICS 2010, page 89, Brno, Czech Republic, Aug. 2010. Available from Hal: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00512377.
- [3] G. Fontaine. Continuous fragment of the mu-calculus. In M. Kaminski and S. Martini, editors, Computer Science Logic, 22nd International Workshop, CSL 2008, Bertinoro, Italy, September 16-19, 2008. Proceedings, volume 5213 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 139–153. Springer, 2008.
- [4] D. Kozen. Results on the propositional mu-calculus. Theor. Comput. Sci., 27:333–354, 1983.
- [5] L. Santocanale. μ-bicomplete categories and parity games. ITA, 36(2):195–227, 2002.
- [6] L. Santocanale. μ-Bicomplete Categories and Parity Games. Research Report RR-1281-02, LaBRI
   - Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique, Sept. 2002. Available from from Hal: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01376731.