# $\aleph_{1}, \omega_{1}$, and the modal $\mu$-calculus 

Maria João Gouveia ${ }^{1 *}$ and Luigi Santocanale ${ }^{2 \dagger}$<br>${ }^{1}$ CEMAT-CIÊNCIAS<br>Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa<br>mjgouveia@fc.ul.pt<br>${ }^{2}$ Laboratoire d'Informatique Fondamentale de Marseille<br>luigi.santocanale@lif.univ-mrs.fr

The modal $\mu$-calculus $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$, see [4], enriches the syntax of (poly)modal logic $\mathbf{K}$ with least and greatest fixed-point constructors $\mu$ and $\nu$. In a Kripke model $\mathcal{M}$, the formula $\mu_{x} . \phi$ (resp., $\nu_{x} \cdot \phi$ ) denotes the least (resp., the greatest) fixed-point of the function $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ (of the variable $x$ ) obtained by evaluating $\phi$ in $\mathcal{M}$ under the additional condition that $x$ is interpreted as a given subset of worlds. It is required that every occurrence of $x$ is positive in $\phi$, so $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is monotone and the least fixed-point exists by the Tarski-Knaster theorem.

A formula $\phi(x)$ is said to be continuous if, for every model $\mathcal{M}$, the function $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is continuous, in the usual sense. The continuous fragment $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X)$ of the modal $\mu$-calculus is the set of formulas generated by the following syntax:

$$
\phi:=x|\psi| \top|\perp| \phi \wedge \phi|\phi \vee \phi|\langle a\rangle \phi \mid \mu_{z} \cdot \chi
$$

where $x \in X, \psi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ is a $\mu$-calculus formula not containing any variable $x \in X$, and $\chi \in$ $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X \cup\{z\})$. Fontaine [3] proved that a formula $\phi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ is continuous in $x$ if and only if it is equivalent to a formula in $\mathcal{C}_{0}(x)$; she also proved that it is decidable whether a formula of the modal $\mu$-calculus is continuous. We add to the above grammar one more production and study the fragment $\mathcal{C}_{1}(X)$ of $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ defined as follows:

$$
\phi:=x|\psi| \top|\perp| \phi \wedge \phi|\phi \vee \phi|\langle a\rangle \phi\left|\mu_{z} \cdot \chi\right| \nu_{z} \cdot \chi,
$$

with the same constraints as above but w.r.t $\mathcal{C}_{1}(X \cup\{z\})$.
Definition 1. Let $\kappa$ be a regular cardinal. A set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq P(X)$ is $\kappa$-directed if every subset of $\mathcal{I}$ of cardinality smaller than $\kappa$ has an upper bound in $\mathcal{I}$. A function $f: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ is $\kappa$-continuous if it preserves unions of $\kappa$-directed sets.

Notice that, if $\kappa=\aleph_{0}$, then $\kappa$-continuity is the standard notion of continuity. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\aleph_{1}$-continuous functions are closed under parametrized least and greatest fixed-points, see [5, 6].
Proposition 2. Every formula in $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{C}_{1}(x)$ is $\aleph_{1}$-continuous.
The folllowing theorem is a sort of converse to the previous statement.
Theorem 3. For each formula $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ we can construct a formula $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}_{1}(x)$ such that $\phi(x)$ is $\kappa$-continuous for some regular cardinal $\kappa$ if and only if $\phi(x)$ is equivalent to $\psi(x)$.

The consequences of this theorem are twofold.
Corollary 4. It is decidable whether a formula $\phi(x)$ is $\kappa$-continuous for some regular cardinal $\kappa$.

[^0]Corollary 5. If a formula is $\kappa$-continuous for some regular cardinal $\kappa$, then it is $\kappa$-continuous for some $\kappa \in\left\{\aleph_{0}, \aleph_{1}\right\}$.

That is, there are no other relevant fragments of the modal $\mu$-calculus, apart from $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, that are determined from some continuity condition.

Let us recall that, for a monotone function $f: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, we can define the approximants to the least fixed-point of $f$ as follows: $f^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset)=f\left(f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)\right)$ and $f^{\beta}(\emptyset)=\bigcup_{\alpha<\beta} f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$ (so $\left.f^{0}(\emptyset)=\emptyset\right)$. If $f^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset)=f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$, then $f^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$ is the least fixed-point of $f$.

Definition 6. We say that and ordinal $\alpha$ is the closure ordinal of $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ if, for every model $\mathcal{M}, \phi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\alpha}(\emptyset)$ is the least fixed-point of $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$, and moreover there exists a model $\mathcal{M}$ for which $\phi^{\beta}(\emptyset)$ is not the least fixed-point of $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$, for every $\beta<\alpha$.

Of course, not every formula $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ has a closure ordinal. For example [ ] $x$ has no closure ordinal, while $\omega_{0}$ is the closure ordinal of []$\perp \vee\rangle x$. Czarnecki [2] proved that every ordinal $\alpha<\omega_{0}^{2}$ is the closure ordinal of a formula $\phi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$. Afshari and Leigh [1] proved that if a formula $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ does not contain greatest fixed-points and has a closure ordinal $\alpha$, then $\alpha<\omega_{0}^{2}$. Considering that every ordinal below $\omega_{0}^{2}$ can be written as a polynomial in the inderterminates $1, \omega_{0}$, our next theorem can be used to recover Czarnecki's result:

Theorem 7. Closure ordinals of formulas of the modal $\mu$-calculus are closed under ordinal sum.

Since a formula $\phi(x)$ in the syntactic fragment $\mathcal{C}_{1}(x)$ is $\aleph_{1}$-continuous, the maps $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ converge to their least fixed-point in at most $\omega_{1}$ steps, where $\omega_{1}$ is the least uncountable ordinal (considering cardinals as specific ordinals, we have $\omega_{1}=\aleph_{1}$ ). In particular, every formula in this fragment has a closure ordinal with $\omega_{1}$ as an upper bound. We prove that $\omega_{1}$ is indeed a closure ordinal:

Theorem 8. $\omega_{1}$ is the closure ordinal of the formula $\phi(x):=\nu_{z} \cdot(\langle v\rangle x \wedge\langle h\rangle z) \vee[v] \perp$.
Extending Thomason's coding to the full modal $\mu$-calculus, it is also possible to construct a monomodal formula in $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ whose only free variable is $x$, with $\omega_{1}$ as closure ordinal. Consequently, we extend Czarnecki's result by showing that polynomials in the inderterminates $1, \omega_{0}, \omega_{1}$ denote closure ordinals.
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