A multi-valued framework for coalgebraic logics over generalised metric spaces

Adriana Balan*

University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania adriana.balan@mathem.pub.ro

Introduction. It is by now generally acknowledged that coalgebras for a Set-functor unify a wide variety of dynamic systems [16]. The classical study of their behavior and behavioral equivalence is based on qualitative reasoning – that is, Boolean, meaning that two systems (the systems' states) are bisimilar (equivalent) or not. But in recent years there has been a growing interest in studying the behavior of systems in terms of quantity. There are situations where one behaviour is smaller than (or, is simulated by) another behaviour, or there is a measurable distance between behaviours in terms of real numbers, as it was done in [15, 18]. This can be achieved by enlarging the coalgebraic set-up to the category of (small) enriched \mathcal{V} -categories \mathcal{V} -cat [10] (\mathcal{V} is a commutative quantale), which subsumes both ordered sets and (generalised) metric spaces [12].

Coalgebras over generalised metric spaces. The project of developing multi-valued logic for coalgebras on \mathscr{V} -cat has started in [1] by extending functors $H : \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set}$ (and more generally Set-functors which naturally carry a \mathscr{V} -metric structure) to \mathscr{V} -cat-functors. In this talk, we shall briefly outline the extension procedure: using the density of the discrete functor $D : \mathsf{Set} \to \mathscr{V}$ -cat, we apply H to the \mathscr{V} -nerve of a \mathscr{V} -category, and then take an appropriate quotient in \mathscr{V} -cat. If H preserves weak pullbacks, then the above can be obtained using Barr's relation lifting in a form of "lowest-cost paths" (see also[18, Ch. 4.3], [9]). For example, the extension of the powerset functor yields the familiar Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric, if the quantale is completely distributive.

A logical framework. The next step, following the well-established tradition in coalgebraic logics (see e.g. [14]), is to seek for a contravariant \mathscr{V} -cat-enriched adjunction - on top of which to develop coalgebraic logics- involving, on one side, a category of spaces Sp, and on the other side, a category of algebras Alg, obtained eventually by restricting the adjunction - \mathscr{V} -

tion \mathscr{V} -cat^{op} $\underbrace{\neg}_{[-,\mathscr{V}]}^{[-,\mathscr{V}]}\mathscr{V}$ -cat. Moreover, we would want for Alg be a variety in the "world of

 \mathscr{V} -categories", at least monadic over \mathscr{V} -cat. In *classical (Boolean) coalgebraic logics* (no enrichment), this is achieved by taking Sp to be Set, and Alg to be the category of Boolean algebras (see e.g. [7]). One step further, the case of the simplest quantale $\mathscr{V} = 2$ targets *positive coalgebraic logics* [2], from an order-enriched point of view, by choosing Sp to be the category of posets and monotone maps, and Alg to be the category of bounded distributive lattices – which is a finitary *ordered* variety [4].

In the present work we focus on the unit interval quantale $\mathscr{V} = [0, 1]$, endowed with the usual order, the Lukasiewicz tensor given by truncated sum $r \otimes s = \max(0, r + s - 1)$, with

^{*}This work has been funded by University Politehnica of Bucharest, through the Excellence Research Grants Program, UPB–GEX, grant ID 252 UPB–EXCELENŢĂ–2016, research project no. 23/26.09.2016.

unit e = 1 and internal hom (residual) $[r, s] = \min(1 - r + s, 1)$. Our original motivation to do so came from (at least) the following reason: the unit interval naturally carries an MV-algebra structure. Recall that the MV-algebras are the models for Lukasiewicz multi-valued logic, and that their variety is generated by [0,1] [5, 6]. As the propositional (Boolean) logic is the base for the usual coalgebraic logic, we looked for a connection between coalgebras based on [0,1]categories (that is, "bounded-by-1" quasi-metric spaces) and multi-valued logics. However, we shall explain in the talk that MV-algebras are not adequate for our purpose, and propose a different solution instead, detailed below.

An alternative to MV-algebras. The logical connection we therefore propose uses an adaptation of the Priestley duality as in [8]. We introduce the notion of a *distributive lattice* with adjoint pairs of \mathcal{V} -operators (dlao(\mathcal{V})) as a bounded distributive lattice $(A, \land, \lor, 0, 1)$, endowed with a family of adjoint operators $(r \odot - \dashv \pitchfork (r, -) : A \to A)_{r \in \mathcal{V}}$, such that the conditions below are satisfied for all $r, r' \in \mathcal{V}$ and all $a, a' \in A$:

$1 \odot a = a$	$(r\otimes r')\odot a=r\odot (r'\odot a)$
$0 \odot a = 0$	$(r \lor r') \odot a = (r \odot a) \lor (r' \odot a)$
$\pitchfork(1,a) = a$	$\pitchfork (r \otimes r', a) = \pitchfork (r, \pitchfork (r', a))$
$\pitchfork (0,a) = 1$	$\pitchfork (r \lor r', a) = \pitchfork (r, a) \land \pitchfork (r', a)$

Notice that by adjointness $r \odot -$ preserves finite joins and $\pitchfork(r, -)$ preserves finite meets. A morphism of dlao(\mathscr{V}) is a bounded distributive lattice map preserving all the adjoint operators $r \odot -$ and $\pitchfork(r, -)$. Let $\mathsf{DLatAO}(\mathscr{V})$ be the ordinary category of distributive lattices with adjoint pairs of \mathscr{V} -operators (notice that $\mathsf{DLatAO}(\mathscr{V})$ is an algebraic category).

Each dlao(\mathscr{V}) A becomes a \mathscr{V} -category [3, 13] with \mathscr{V} -homs $A(a, a') = \bigvee \{r \in [0, 1] \mid r \odot a \leq a'\} = \bigvee \{r \in [0, 1] \mid a \leq h (r, a')\}$, and each dlao(\mathscr{V})-morphism is also a \mathscr{V} -functor. The \mathscr{V} -categories thus obtained are antisymmetric, finitely complete and cocomplete [17]. Consequently, $\mathsf{DLatAO}(\mathscr{V})$ is a \mathscr{V} -cat-category, and it follows that the forgetful functor $\mathsf{DLatAO}(\mathscr{V}) \to \mathscr{V}$ -cat is monadic \mathscr{V} -cat-enriched.

The ordinary dual category to $\mathsf{DLatAO}(\mathscr{V})$ can be obtained by adapting the arguments in [8]: an object is a Priestley space (X, τ, \leq) , endowed with a family of ternary relations $(R_r)_{r \in \mathscr{V}}$, which satisfy, besides the topological conditions from [8, pp. 184-185], the requirements that R_1 is the order relation on X, and that $R_r \circ R_{r'} = R_{r \otimes r'}$ and $R_r \vee R_{r'} = R_{r \vee r'}$ hold. The morphisms are continuous bounded maps [8, Section 2.3]. Denote by $\mathsf{RelPriest}(\mathscr{V})$ the resulting category. Then the dual equivalence $\mathsf{RelPriest}(\mathscr{V})^{\mathsf{op}} \cong \mathsf{DLatAO}(\mathscr{V})$ is obtained by restricting the usual Priestley duality.

Using the above duality, we can transport the \mathscr{V} -cat-category structure on RelPriest(\mathscr{V}), thus rendering the duality RelPriest(\mathscr{V})^{op} \cong DLatAO(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{V} -cat-enriched. The \mathscr{V} -cat-category structure such exhibited on RelPriest(\mathscr{V}) does not say too much at first sight. To gain more insight, we use the lax-algebra framework of [9], in the context of (T, \mathscr{V}) -categories, where T is a monad on Set which laxly distributes over the \mathscr{V} -valued powerset monad. We shall see that each relational Priestley space $(X, \tau, \leq, (R_r)_{r \in \mathscr{V}})$ is in fact a \mathscr{V} -compact topological space [11] – an algebra for the extension of the ultrafilter monad to \mathscr{V} -cat (see [9, Ch. III.5.2] for the cases $\mathscr{V} = 2$ and $\mathscr{V} = [0, \infty]$). The duality RelPriest(\mathscr{V})^{op} \cong DLatAO(\mathscr{V}) can now be seen as a \mathscr{V} -cat-duality between a category of certain compact \mathscr{V} -topological spaces (in particular \mathscr{V} -categories) and a category of algebraic \mathscr{V} -categories. In future work, more properties of the above duality are planned to be investigated.

References

- A. Balan, A. Kurz and J. Velebil, Extensions of functors from Set to 𝒴-cat. In: L. S. Moss and P. Sobocinski (eds.), CALCO 2015, LIPIcs 35:17–34 (2015)
- [2] A. Balan, A. Kurz and J. Velebil, Positive fragments of coalgebraic logics. Logic. Meth. Comput. Sci. 11(3:18):1–51 (2015)
- [3] O. Băbuş, A. Kurz, On the Logic of Generalised Metric Spaces. In: I. Hasuo (ed.), CMCS 2016, LNCS 9608:136-155 (2016)
- [4] S. L. Bloom, Varieties of ordered algebras. J. Comput. System Sci. 13:200–212 (1976)
- [5] C. C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88:476–490 (1958)
- [6] C. C. Chang, A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88:74–80 (1959)
- [7] C. Cirstea, A. Kurz, D. Pattinson, L. Schröder and Y. Venema, Modal logics are coalgebraic. Comput. J. 54(1):31–41 (2009)
- [8] R. Goldblatt. Varieties of complex algebras. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 44:173-242 (1989)
- [9] D. Hofmann, G. J. Seal and W. Tholen, Monoidal Topology: A Categorical Approach to Order, Metric and Topology. Encycl. Math. Appl. (2014), Cambridge Univ. Press
- [10] G. M. Kelly, Basic concepts of enriched category theory. London Math. Soc. LNS 64, Cambridge Univ. Press (1982), Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. 10:1–136 (2005)
- [11] H. Lai and W. Tholen, A Note on the Topologicity of Quantale-Valued Topological Spaces, arXiv:cs.LO/1612.09504 (2016)
- [12] F. W. Lawvere, Metric spaces, generalised logic, and closed categories. Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano XLIII:135–166 (1973), Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. 1:1–37 (2002)
- [13] D. Hofmann and P. Nora, Enriched Stone-type dualities. arXiv:math.CT/1605.00081 (2016)
- [14] C. Kupke and D. Pattinson. Coalgebraic semantics of modal logics: an overview. Theor. Comput. Sci. 412(38):5070–5094 (2011)
- [15] J. J. M. M. Rutten. Relators and metric bisimulations (extended abstract). In: B. Jacobs et al (eds.), CMCS 1998, Electr. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci. 11:1–7 (1998)
- [16] J. J. M. M. Rutten, Universal coalgebra: a theory of systems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 249:3–80 (2000)
- [17] I. Stubbe, Categorical structures enriched in a quantaloid: tensored and cotensored categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.* 16(14):283–306 (2006)
- [18] J. Worrell, On coalgebras and final semantics. PhD thesis, University of Oxford (2000), available at http://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/people/james.worrell/thesis.ps