

# First-order cologic for profinite structures

Alex Kruckman

Indiana University  
Bloomington, IN, USA  
akruckma@indiana.edu

## 1 Logic for LFP Categories

The domain of a first-order structure  $M$  is a (typically infinite) set. First-order logic provides a finitary syntax for describing properties of  $M$  by way of how  $M$  is constructed from finite pieces, i.e. as the directed colimit of all finite subsets of  $M$ . Explicitly, a first-order formula describes a property of a tuple of elements of  $M$ , and quantifiers allow us to explore how this tuple can be expanded to larger finite tuples. This perspective on the expressive power of first-order logic is elegantly captured by the Ehrenfeucht–Fraïssé game.

A locally finitely presentable (LFP) category is one in which every object is a directed colimit of objects which are finitary in a precise sense. In direct analogy with ordinary first-order logic for **Set**, we develop a logic for describing properties of an object  $M$  in an LFP category (possibly expanded by extra “finitary” structure) by way of how  $M$  is constructed from finitary pieces.

To be more precise, an object  $x$  in a category  $\mathcal{D}$  is called finitely presentable if the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(x, -)$  preserves directed colimits. The category  $\mathcal{D}$  is called locally finitely presentable if it is cocomplete, every object is a directed colimit of finitely presentable objects, and the full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of finitely presentable objects is essentially small. We call  $\mathcal{D}$  the category of *domains* and  $\mathcal{C}$  the category of *variable contexts*, and we fix a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of isomorphism representatives for the objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , called *arities*.

Then a *signature*  $\mathcal{L}$  consists of a set of relation symbols with associated arities from  $\mathcal{A}$ , together with a finitary endofunctor  $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , and an  $\mathcal{L}$ -*structure* is an object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , given with an  $F$ -algebra structure  $\eta: F(M) \rightarrow M$ , and interpretations of the relation symbols: given an arity  $n \in \mathcal{A}$  and an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}$ , an  $n$ -tuple from  $M$  is just an arrow  $n \rightarrow M$ , and an  $n$ -ary relation is a subset of  $\mathrm{Hom}(n, M)$ .

We can now describe the logic  $\mathrm{FO}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L})$ : For an arity  $n$  and a variable context  $x$ , an  $n$ -term in  $x$  is a map  $n \rightarrow T(x)$ , the *term algebra* (i.e. free  $F$ -algebra) on  $x$ . An atomic formula is an equality between two  $n$ -terms or an  $n$ -ary relation symbol applied to an  $n$ -term. General formulas are built from atomic formulas by ordinary Boolean combinations and by quantifiers: for each arrow  $f: x \rightarrow y$  between contexts, we associate a universal and existential quantifier  $\exists_f$  and  $\forall_f$  which quantify over extensions of  $x$ -tuples to  $y$ -tuples, respecting  $f$ . Of course there is a completely natural semantics for evaluation of terms and satisfaction of formulas in  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures.

## 2 The first-order translation

To each  $M$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , we associate the finite-limit preserving presheaf  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, M): \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . In fact, by Gabriel-Ulmer duality (see [1]),  $\mathcal{D}$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathrm{Lex}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$  of finite-limit preserving presheaves on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Such presheaves can be viewed as models for a certain (ordinary) first-order theory, in a language with a sort for each object in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Extending this equivalence from objects of  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *For every LFP category  $\mathcal{D}$  and signature  $\mathcal{L}$ , there is an ordinary multi-sorted first-order signature  $\text{PS}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L})$  and a theory  $T_{\text{PS}}$  in this signature, so that the category of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures is equivalent to the category of models of  $T_{\text{PS}}$ . Further, there is an explicit satisfaction-preserving translation from formulas in  $\text{FO}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L})$  to first-order  $\text{PS}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L})$ -formulas.*

This interpretation of  $\text{FO}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L})$  in ordinary first-order logic allows us to easily import theorems and notions (compactness, Löwenheim-Skolem, interpretability, stability, etc.) from first-order model theory.

### 3 Cologic

Whenever  $\mathcal{B}$  is a category with finite limits, the category  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{B}$  (the formal completion of  $\mathcal{B}$  under codirected limits) is co-LFP, i.e.  $(\text{pro-}\mathcal{B})^{\text{op}}$  is LFP. Then the logic  $\text{FO}((\text{pro-}\mathcal{B})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{L})$  expresses properties of “cotuples” from an object  $M$ , i.e. maps  $M \rightarrow x$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . For example, a cotuple from a Stone space  $S$  (an object of  $\text{Stone} = \text{pro-FinSet}$ ) is a continuous map from  $S$  to a finite discrete space, or equivalently a partition of  $M$  into clopen sets. And a cotuple from a profinite group  $G$  (an object of  $\text{pro-FinGrp}$ ) is a group homomorphism from  $G$  to a finite group.

These logics provide a unified framework for the model theory of profinite structures, with connections to several independent bodies of work. I will mention a few:

1. Projective (or Dual) Fraïssé theory, as developed by Irwin and Solecki [3] and recently reformulated in terms of corelations by Panagiotopoulos [5]. The dual ultrahomogeneity exhibited by projective Fraïssé limits can be expressed by  $\forall\exists$  sentences in the logic  $\text{FO}(\text{Stone}, \mathcal{L})$ .
2. The “cologic” of profinite groups (e.g. Galois groups), developed by Cherlin, van den Dries, and Macintyre [2] and by Chatzidakis, which plays an important role in the model theory of PAC fields. This logic is presented in a multi-sorted first-order framework, which is essentially equivalent to the first-order translation of Theorem 1, applied to  $\text{FO}(\text{pro-FinGrp}, \emptyset)$ .
3. The theory of coalgebraic logic, in the special case of cofinitary functors on Stone spaces (see, e.g. [4]), is exactly the theory of equationally defined classes in  $\text{FO}(\text{Stone}, \mathcal{L})$ , since  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures are coalgebras for cofinitary functors. This theory has connections to modal logic; for example, when the functor  $F$  is the Vietoris functor,  $\text{FO}(\text{Stone}, \mathcal{L})$  embeds modal logics on descriptive general frames.

### References

- [1] J. Adamek and J. Rosicky. *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [2] Gregory Chernin, Lou van den Dries, and Angus Macintyre. The elementary theory of regularly closed fields, 1981.
- [3] Trevor Irwin and Sławomir Solecki. Projective Fraïssé limits and the pseudo-arc. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(7):3077–3096, 2006.
- [4] Clemens Kupke, Alexander Kurz, and Yde Venema. Stone coalgebras. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 327(1-2):109–134, 2004.
- [5] Aristotelis Panagiotopoulos. Compact spaces as quotients of projective fraisse limits, 2016.