# Kleene algebra (with tests) Dynamic Logic – Lecture 2

### Igor Sedlár

Institute of Computer Science of the Czech Academy of Sciences



Faculty of Arts, Charles University Fall Semester 2023-24

### Lecture overview

- Kleene algebra (KA) is a (quasi-equational) axiomatization of the algebra of regular languages
- Kleene algebra with tests (KAT) extends KA with a Boolean algebra of tests; typical models are relational and trace models (guarded languages)
- KAT allows to express all the expressions of the formal language of programs; KATs generalize the kinds of program models introduced in the previous lecture

# Semirings - 1

### **Definition 1**

A semiring is an algebra  $\langle S, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$  such that

• 
$$\langle S, +, 0 \rangle$$
 is a commutative monoid  
•  $\langle S, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  is a monoid  
•  $(x + y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) + (y \cdot z)$  and  $x \cdot (y + z) = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)$   
•  $0 \cdot x = 0 = x \cdot 0$ 

A semiring is idempotent iff x + x = x, and complete if  $\langle S, +, 0 \rangle$  is a complete monoid and

$$\sum_{i\in I} \left(x\cdot y_i\right) = x\cdot \left(\sum_{i\in I} y_i\right) \qquad \sum_{i\in I} \left(x_i\cdot y\right) = \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i\right)\cdot y$$

In a complete idempotent semiring (unital quantale):  $x^* := \sum_{n \ge 0} x^n$ .

# Semirings – 2

#### Examples

- Binary relations:  $\langle 2^{X \times X}, \cup, \circ, \emptyset, 1_X \rangle$
- Formal languages:  $\langle 2^{A^*}, \cup, \cdot, \emptyset, \{\epsilon\} \rangle$  where  $\epsilon$  is the empty word and  $K \cdot L = \{wu \mid w \in K \& u \in L\}$
- Sets of traces (over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$ ):  $\langle 2^{Tr}, \cup, \diamond, \emptyset, States \rangle$
- Tropical semiring:  $\langle \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \min, +, \infty, 0 \rangle$  where  $\infty + n = \infty = n + \infty$  and  $\min\{n, \infty\} = n$
- **Boolean semiring:**  $\langle \{ true, false \}, \lor, \land, false, true \rangle$

#### **Exercise 1**

Which examples above are idempotent? complete? What is \* in the complete cases?

# Kleene algebras - 1

### **Definition 2**

A <u>Kleene algebra</u> is an algebra  $\langle X, +, \cdot, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  expanding an idempotent semiring with a unary operation \* satisfying:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1+xx^*\leq x^* & 1+x^*x\leq x^*\\ y+zx\leq z \implies yx^*\leq z & y+xz\leq z \implies x^*y\leq z\\ (\mbox{where } x\leq y \iff x+y=y \mbox{ and } xy \mbox{ is } x\cdot y)\end{array}$$

A Kleene algebra is \*-continuous iff

$$xy^*z = \sum_{k\geq 0} xy^kz$$

for all x, y, z. (The sum is required to exist for all x, y, z by definition of KA\*.)

### Kleene algebras – 2

#### **Proposition 1**

#### Every (unital) quantale is a \*-continuous Kleene algebra.

*Proof (partial).* We prove  $1 + xx^* + x^* = x^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x^{0} + x \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n} + \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n} &= x^{0} + \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n+1} + \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n} \\ &= \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n} + \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n} = \sum_{n \ge 0} x^{n} \end{aligned}$$

#### Proposition 2

Not every \*-continuous KA is a unital quantale. Not every KA is \*-continuous.

# Regular expressions - 1

### **Definition 3**

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet. The set  $\mathbb{E}(\Sigma)$  of <u>regular expressions</u> over  $\Sigma$  is defined using the following grammar:

$$e, f := \mathbf{a} \in \Sigma \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid e + f \mid e \cdot f \mid e^*$$

Operator precedence: \* over +. We'll sometimes write ef instead of  $e \cdot f$ . Hence,  $ef^* + e$  is  $(e \cdot (f^*)) + e$ .

#### **Definition 4**

A <u>Kleene algebra model</u> is  $\langle X, v \rangle$  where  $X \in \mathsf{KA}$  and  $v : \Sigma \to X$ . Every  $\langle X, v \rangle$  extends to an <u>interpretation</u>  $[-]_v : \mathbb{E}(\Sigma) \to X$  (homomorphism). An equation  $e \approx f$  is <u>valid in KA</u> iff  $[e]_v = [f]_v$  for all  $\langle X, v \rangle$ . (Notation:  $\mathsf{KA} \models e \approx f$ ,  $e \stackrel{\mathsf{KA}}{\equiv} f$  or just  $e \equiv f$ ).

# Regular expressions – 2

### **Definition 5**

The (canonical) language interpretation is  $[\![-]\!]: \mathbb{E}(\Sigma) \to 2^{\Sigma^*}$  such that

$$\llbracket \mathbf{a} \rrbracket = \{ \mathbf{a} \} \qquad \llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \emptyset \qquad \llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \{ \epsilon \}$$

 $[\![e+f]\!] = [\![e]\!] \cup [\![f]\!] \quad [\![e \cdot f]\!] = [\![e]\!] \cdot [\![f]\!] \quad [\![e^*]\!] = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} [\![e]\!]^n = [\![e]\!]^*$ 

A language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is <u>regular</u> iff there is  $e \in \mathbb{E}(\Sigma)$  such that  $L = \llbracket e \rrbracket$ .

*Proof of Prop. 2, first part (hint).* Show that the Kleene algebra of regular languages is \*-continuous but not a (unital) quantale.

#### **Proposition 3**

A language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular iff it belongs to the closure of the set of finite subsets of  $\Sigma^*$  under the <u>regular operations</u>  $\cup$ ,  $\cdot$  and  $^*$ .

# Completeness

#### Theorem 1 (Kozen 1994)

 $\mathsf{KA} \models e \approx f \text{ iff } \llbracket e \rrbracket = \llbracket f \rrbracket.$ 

*Proof (sketch).* L $\Rightarrow$ R: The algebra of regular languages is a Kleene algebra. R $\Rightarrow$ L: Much more intricate and beyond our scope (see notes).

Note: This is a completeness theorem for the algebra of regular languages since  $KA \models e \approx f$  iff  $e \approx f$  is derivable from the obvious quasi-equational axiomatization.

# Kleene algebras with tests - 1

### **Definition 6**

A <u>Kleene algebra with tests</u> is an algebra  $\langle X, B, +, \cdot, *, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  where

•  $\langle X, +, \cdot, ^*, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Kleene algebra

•  $B \subseteq X$  and  $-: B \rightarrow B$  (partial on X)

•  $\langle B, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra.

A KAT is \*-continuous iff its underlying KA is.

Intuition: B is a collection of "tests", special actions among all the X. Tests pertain to Boolean statements, hence they form a Boolean algebra.

# Kleene algebras with tests - 2

### Examples

- Every KA ( $B = \{0, 1\}$ )
- Binary relations:

 $\langle 2^{X\times X}, 2^{1_X}\cup, \circ, \, ^*, \, ^-, \emptyset, 1_X\rangle$  where  $\, ^-$  is complement w.r.t.  $1_X$ 

■ Formal languages:  $\langle 2^{\Sigma^*}, \{\emptyset, \{\epsilon\}\}, \cup, \cdot, *, -, \emptyset, \{\epsilon\} \rangle$ 

### Sets of traces (over $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ ): $\langle 2^{Tr}, 2^{\text{States}}, \cup, \diamond, *, -, \emptyset, \text{States} \rangle$ where - is complement w.r.t. States.

Note:  $Reg(\Sigma)$  forms a Boolean algebra, but  $\wedge$  is  $\cap$ , not  $\cdot$ .

#### **Definition 7**

Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be alphabets. The set  $\mathbb{E}(\Sigma, \Pi)$  of <u>regular expressions over  $\Sigma$ </u> with tests over  $\Pi$  is defined using the following (two-sorted) grammar:

$$b, c := \mathbf{p} \in \Pi \mid b + c \mid b \cdot c \mid \overline{b} \mid 0 \mid 1$$

$$e, f := \mathbf{a} \in \Sigma \mid b \mid e + f \mid e \cdot f \mid e^*$$

We define:

if b then 
$$e$$
 else  $f := be + \overline{b}f$  while b do  $e := (be)^*\overline{b}$ 

#### **Definition 8**

A <u>KAT model</u> is  $\langle X, v \rangle$  where  $X \in \text{KAT}$  and  $v : \Sigma \cup \Pi \to X$  such that  $v(\mathbf{p}) \in B$  for all  $\mathbf{p} \in \Pi$ . Every  $\langle X, v \rangle$  extends to an <u>interpretation</u>  $[\![-]\!]_v : \mathbb{E} \to X$  (homomorphism). Validity:  $\text{KAT} \models e \approx f$  iff  $[\![e]\!]_v = [\![f]\!]_v$  for all  $\langle X, v \rangle$ .

### Exercise 2

Show that if X is a relational KAT or a KAT of traces, then the interpretation of if *b* then *e* else *f* and while *b* do *e* induced by any  $\langle X, v \rangle$  coincides with the relational and trace semantics of programs as defined in the previous lecture.

We assume that  $\Pi$  is finite and comes with a fixed ordering:  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ .

### **Definition 9**

An <u>atom</u> over  $\Pi$  is a sequence  $r_1 \dots r_n$  where  $r_i \in \{\mathbf{p}_i, \overline{\mathbf{p}}_i\}$ . Let A be the set of all atoms over  $\Pi$ . A <u>guarded string</u> over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  is any word in  $(A \cdot \Sigma)^* \cdot A$ , that is, any sequence of the form

$$S_1 a_1 S_2 \dots a_{n-1} S_n$$

where  $S_i \in A$  over  $\Pi$  and  $a_j \in \Sigma$ . Let GS be the set of all guarded strings (over  $\Sigma, \Pi$ ).

We write  $r_1 \ldots r_n \vDash p_i$  iff  $r_i = p_i$ .

Fusion product  $\diamond$  on guarded strings is defined in the expected way.

# Definition 10 The (canonical) <u>language interpretation</u> is $[-] : \mathbb{E} \to 2^{GS}$ such that $[p] = \{S \mid S \models p\}$ $[0] = \emptyset$ [1] = A $[\overline{b}] = A \setminus [b]$ $[a] = \{SaT \mid S, T \in A\}$ $[e + f] = [e] \cup [f]$ $[e \cdot f] = [e] \diamond [f]$ $[e^*] = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} [e]^n = [e]^*$ A guarded language $L \subseteq GS$ is regular iff there is $e \in \mathbb{E}$ such that L = [e].

# Completeness

### Theorem 2

The following are equivalent:

1 KAT 
$$\models e \approx f$$
  
2 KAT\*  $\models e \approx f$ 

$$\mathbf{3} \mathsf{rKAT} \models e \approx f$$

*Proof (sketch).*  $\underline{1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3}$  is trivial.  $\underline{3 \Rightarrow 4}$  by a Caley construction: For  $L \subseteq GS$ , let

$$\mathsf{cay}(L) = \{ \langle w, w \diamond u \rangle \mid w \in GS \& u \in L \}$$

The function cay is injective. We can prove by induction on e that  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\langle X, v \rangle} = \operatorname{cay}(\llbracket e \rrbracket)$  for X the rKAT of binary relations on GS and  $v(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{cay}(\llbracket \mathbf{x} \rrbracket)$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma \cup \Pi$ .

<u>4⇒1</u>: It can be shown that for each *e* there is  $\hat{e}$  such that (i) KAT  $\models e \approx \hat{e}$  and  $\llbracket e \rrbracket = \llbracket \hat{e} \rrbracket$  where  $\hat{e}$  is seen as a regular expression over  $\Sigma \cup \text{Lit}(\Pi)$ . Lit( $\Pi$ ) is the set of literals over  $\Pi$ . Then proceed using Theorem 1.

Igor Sedlár (ICS CAS)

Dynamic Logic 02

### Exercises

- 3 Finish the proof of Proposition 1.
- 4 Prove that  $x^*$  is the least prefixpoint of functions f, g such that f(y) = 1 + xyand g(y) = 1 + yx.
- 5 Prove Proposition 3.
- 6 Show that  $\mathsf{KA} \models e \approx f$  iff  $\mathsf{KA}^* \models e \approx f$  iff  $\mathsf{rKA} \models e \approx f$ . (Where  $\mathsf{KA}^*$  is the class of \*-continuous  $\mathsf{KA}$  and  $\mathsf{rKA}$  is the class of  $\mathsf{KA}$  of binary relations.)

**7** \* Prove by induction of f that for all  $e, g \in \mathbb{E}$  and all  $\langle X, v \rangle$  where  $X \in KAT^*$ :

$$[\![efg]\!]_v = \sum_{w \in [\![f]\!]} [\![ewg]\!]_v$$

(note that each  $w \in GS$  can be seen as an expression in  $\mathbb{E}$ ). Infer from that that  $KAT \models e \approx f$  iff  $KAT^* \models e \approx f$ .

# Notes

- The study of regular expressions and languages goes back to (Kleene, 1956)
- Kozen's completeness theorem is established in (Kozen, 1994). For an accessible overview, see Kappé's lecture notes (Kappé, 2023).
- Kozen (1990) gives an example of a Kleene algebra that is not \*-continuous
- Kleene algebras with tests are introduced in (Kozen, 1997) where their utility in studying programs is discussed as well.
- Theorem 2 is established in (Kozen and Smith, 1997).

### References

- Tobias Kappé. Elements of Kleene algebra. Course notes, ESSLLI 2023, 2023.
- Stephen C Kleene. Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata. In C. E. Shannon and J. McCarthy, editors, *Automata Studies*, pages 3 41. Princeton University Press, 1956.
- Dexter Kozen. On Kleene algebras and closed semirings. In B. Rovan, editor, International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science, pages 26–47. Springer, 1990.
- Dexter Kozen. A completeness theorem for Kleene algebras and the algebra of regular events. Information and Computation, 110(2):366 – 390, 1994.
- Dexter Kozen. Kleene algebra with tests. ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst., 19(3):427–443, May 1997.
- Dexter Kozen and Frederick Smith. Kleene algebra with tests: Completeness and decidability. In Dirk van Dalen and Marc Bezem, editors, *Computer Science Logic*, pages 244–259, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.