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## Compartmental models

The traditional approach to compute the therapeutic effect of drugs is by usage of a compartmental model.

It divides the part of the body to be studied in compartments in which the concentrations of the involved substances (drugs, receptors, metabolizing enzymes) are assumed to be homogeneously distributed.

Examples of compartments include the blood circulatory system, intracellular and extracellular fluid, adipose tissue, organs, cells, but they can represent abstract units as well.

Compartmental models are particularly suited for drugs binding to membrane receptors and very popular in physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models for clinical pharmacy.

But they are employed as well for the more complicated behavior of nuclear receptors:

## A model for ligand-binding to the nuclear PXR receptor



Luke N.S., DeVito M.J., Shah I., El-Masri H.A.:
Development of a quantitative model of pregnane $X$ receptor (PXR) mediated xenobiotic metabolizing enzyme induction.
Bulletin of mathematical biology, vol. 72(7), p. 1799-1819 (2010).

## A model for ligand-binding to the nuclear PXR receptor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }}(t)}{d t}= & d(t)-k_{\text {imp }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }}(t)+k_{\text {exp }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t) \\
\frac{d \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t)}{d t}= & k_{\text {imp }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }}(t)-k_{\text {exp }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t)-k_{\text {assoc }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t)\left(s_{\text {PXR }}-\operatorname{PR}(t)\right) \\
& -k_{\text {met }} \operatorname{CYP} 3 A 4(t) \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t)+k_{\text {dis }} \operatorname{PR}(t) \\
\frac{d \operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{t})}{d t}= & k_{\text {assoc }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t)\left(s_{\text {PXR }}-\operatorname{PR}(t)\right)-k_{\text {dis }} \operatorname{PR}(t) \\
\frac{d \mathrm{mRNA}(\mathrm{t})}{d t}= & k_{m R N A} \operatorname{PR}(t)-k_{m R N A, d e g} \operatorname{mRNA}(t)+p_{\text {mRNA,back }} \\
\frac{d \operatorname{CYP} 3 A 4(\mathrm{t})}{d t}= & k_{\text {cyp }} \operatorname{mRNA}(t)-k_{\text {cyp }, \text { deg }} \operatorname{CYP} 3 A 4(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with variables (substance concentrations)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }} & =\text { Xenobiotic concentration outside the cell } \\
\mathrm{X}_{\text {int }} & =\text { Xenobiotic concentration inside the cell } \\
\text { PR } & =\text { PXR/RXR heterodimer concentration } \\
\text { mRNA } & =\text { mRNA concentration } \\
\text { CYP3A4 } & =\text { CYP3A4 concentration }
\end{aligned}
$$

## A model for ligand-binding to the nuclear PXR receptor

and where

| $d(t)$ | $=$ time-dependent dosing function |
| ---: | :--- |
| $k_{\text {imp }}$ | $=$ first order import constant for the xenobiotic |
| $k_{\text {exp }}$ | $=$ first order export constant for the xenobiotic |
| $k_{\text {assoc }}$ | $=$ association rate constant for PXR/RXR heterodimer formation |
| $s_{P X R}$ | $=$ the total system PXR concentration (binded and free) |
| $k_{m e t}$ | $=$ second order metabolic constant |
| $k_{\text {dis }}$ | $=$ first order dissocation constant |
| $k_{m R N A}$ | $=$ first order transcription rate constant for mRNA |
| $k_{m R N A, d e g}$ | $=$ first order degradation coefficient for mRNA |
| $p_{m R N A, b a c k}$ | $=$ background production rate for mRNA |
| $k_{c y p}$ | $=$ first order translation rate constant for CYP3A4 |
| $k_{\text {cyp,deg }}$ | $=$ first order degradation coefficient for CYP3A4 |

## Pharmacodynamic models

The systems of ODE's result from the assumed physical and chemical properties of the involved processes and are often stiff.

The compartmental modeling procedure has been used for decades. Dedicated PBPK software exists: CellDesigner, ADAPT, Simcyp, NONMEM.

PBPK models can be highly sophisticated multi-compartmental models for the action of several substances, including feedback loops and drug-drug interaction. Repeated dosing can be simulated as well by extension of the initial conditions.

Many pharmacologic phenomena can be modelled as long as we know the correct equations for the underlying processes and the correct values of the involved parameters!

Typically, only part of the model's parameters is known from literature or obtainable from direct experimental measuring. Parameter estimation is an integral part of the modeling process itself. It is done through collecting of in vitro or in vivo data from donors and subsequent curve fitting.

Curve fitting (mostly sum of squares minimization or maximum likelihood estimation) represents constrained optimization: the desired parameters are mostly positive and must lie in physically meaningful intervals. In fact, the parameter estimation problems may be ill-posed and regularization may be necessary. Sometimes a sensitivity analysis is performed.

## A PBPK model for the action of Rifampicin



## Parameter estimation

The parameter estimation makes the computational costs significantly more expensive:

- The iterative optimization process requires repeated computation of the ODE model.
- Thus in fact a sequence of systems of ODE's needs to be solved.
- Efficient optimization procedures therefore have a large impact on total costs.

Modeling and parameter estimation are often alternated for iterative refinement.

Models can be very (experimental) data-driven. In addition to parameter fitting there is a tendency to perform model fitting as well, when the underlying biophysical processes are not understood or too complicated.

For example, delay of substance transport is sometimes modeled, without knowledge of its biophysical cause or its location, through artificially increasing the number of compartments (defining so-called transit compartments).

This is one motivation for including spatial resolution.

## Spatial resolution?

Further reasons to add spatial resolution to the models could be:

- In some clinical applications spatial information is indispensable, for instance when the drug is efficient only if it reaches very precise organ locations (e.g. the retina for eye diseases).
- Because elevated drug concentrations are often toxic, it is crucial to monitor not only the average drug level all over a compartment, but to detect possible localized maxima as well. Similarly, approaching the so-called no-observed-adverse-effect levels should be detectable locally, inside compartments.
- In other applications, spatial resolution may not seem necessary at first sight, but might reveal unexpected explanations for observed pharmacological phenomena.

While substances can often be assumed to be homogenously distributed, it would be beneficial to provide spatial resolution in those compartments, where physiological properties or observations suggest heterogenous distributions.

Mathematically this leads to a mixed system of PDEs coupled with ODEs.

For the above Rifampicin model, we may assume that the most interesting localized reactions take place in the cytoplasm and consider the following coupling:

## A mixed PDE/ODE model



## A mixed PDE/ODE model for the action of Rifampicin

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\text {ext }} \frac{d \mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }}(t)}{d t}= & d(t)-k_{\text {imp }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }}(t)+\frac{k_{\text {exp }}}{\sigma_{\text {ext }}} \int_{\Gamma_{\text {ext }}} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) d S \\
\partial_{t} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x)= & D_{\text {int }} \Delta \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x)-k_{\text {assoc }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) \operatorname{PXR}(t, x) \\
& -k_{\text {met }} \operatorname{CYP} 3 \mathrm{P} 4(t, x) \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x)+k_{\text {dis }} \operatorname{PR}(t, x) \\
\partial_{t} \operatorname{PXR}(t, x)= & D_{\text {PXR }} \Delta \operatorname{PXR}(t, x)-k_{\text {assoc }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) \operatorname{PXR}(t, x)+k_{\text {dis }} \operatorname{PR}(t, x) \\
\partial_{t} \operatorname{PR}(t, x)= & D_{\text {PR }} \Delta \operatorname{PR}(t, x)+k_{\text {assoc }} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) \operatorname{PXR}(t, x)-k_{\text {dis }} \operatorname{PR}(t, x) \\
\frac{d m R N A_{\text {nuc }}(t)}{d t}= & \frac{k_{m R N A, \text { nuc }}}{\sigma_{\text {nuc }}} \int_{\Gamma_{\text {nuc }}} \operatorname{PR}(t, x) d S-k_{\text {mRNA }, \text { deg }} \mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {nuc }}(t) \\
& +p_{m R N A, \text { back }}+k_{\text {nuc }}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text {nuc }}} \int_{\Gamma_{\text {nuc }}} \mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {cyt }}(t, x) d S-\mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {nuc }}(t)\right) \\
& -k_{\text {cyp,deg }} \operatorname{CYP3A4}(t, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

with additional variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { PXR } & =\text { Free (unbinded) PXR concentration } \\
\mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {nuc }} & =\text { mRNA concentration in the nucleus } \\
\mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {cyt }} & =\text { mRNA concentration in the cytoplasm }
\end{aligned}
$$

## A mixed PDE/ODE model for the action of Rifampicin

and additonal parameters

| $v_{\text {ext }}$ | $=$ volume of exterior compartment |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\sigma_{\text {ext }}$ | $=$ surface of cell exposed to the exterior compartment |
| $D_{S}$ | $=$ diffusion coeffient (or matrix) for substance $S$ in the cytoplasm |
| $\sigma_{\text {nuc }}$ | $=$ surface of the nucleus |
| $k_{m R N A, \text { nuc }}$ | $=$ first order transcription rate constant for mRNA in the nucleus |
| $k_{\text {nuc }}$ | $=$ first order transport coefficient for mRNA from nucleus to cytoplasm |

The boundary conditions for the cytoplasm are, with the boundary of the cytoplasm consisting of the exterior boundary $\Gamma_{\text {ext }}$ and the boundary with the nucleus $\Gamma_{\text {nuc }}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\text {int }} \partial_{n} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \\
D_{\text {int }} \partial_{n} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) & =\frac{k_{\text {imp }}}{\sigma_{\text {ext }}} \mathrm{X}_{\text {ext }}(t)-\frac{k_{\text {exp }}}{\sigma_{\text {ext }}} \mathrm{X}_{\text {int }}(t, x) \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {ext }} \\
D_{\text {PXR }} \partial_{n} \operatorname{PXR}(t, x) & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \cup \Gamma_{\text {ext }} \\
D_{P R} \partial_{n} \mathrm{PR}(t, x) & =0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \cup \Gamma_{\text {ext }} \\
D_{m R N A} \partial_{n} \mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {cyt }}(t, x) & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {ext }} \\
D_{m R N A} \partial_{n} \mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {cyt }}(t, x) & =-\frac{k_{\text {nuc }}}{\sigma_{\text {nuc }}}\left(m \mathrm{mNA}_{\text {cyt }}(t, x)-\mathrm{mRNA}_{\text {nuc }}(t)\right) \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \\
D_{C Y P 3 A 4} \partial_{n} \operatorname{CYP} 3 A 4(t, x) & =0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \cup \Gamma_{\text {ext }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A first simple mixed PDE/ODE model

A first, very simple attempt towards computation of the previous model is to consider only three substances:

- $u_{1}$ - the ligand outside the cell
- $u_{2}$ - the ligand binded to the receptor in the cytoplasm
- $u_{3}$ - the mRNA in the nucleus (here simply activated without transport)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{\prime}=d(t)-k_{1} u_{1}-\frac{s_{12}}{v_{1}} k_{12}\left(u_{1}-\frac{1}{R} u_{2}\right) \\
& u_{2}^{\prime}=-k_{2} u_{2}+\frac{s_{12}}{v_{2}} k_{12}\left(u_{1}-\frac{1}{R} u_{2}\right) \\
& u_{3}^{\prime}=p_{3}-k_{3} u_{3}+p_{23} u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

To add spatial resolution, replace $u_{2}(t)$ by $\tilde{u}_{2}(t, x), x \in \Omega$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u_{1}^{\prime} & =d(t)-k_{1} u_{1}-\frac{s_{12}}{v_{1}} \tilde{k}_{12}\left(u_{1}-\frac{1}{R} f_{\Gamma_{\text {ext }}} \tilde{u}_{2} d S\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{u}_{2}-D \Delta \tilde{u}_{2} & =-k_{2} u_{2} & \text { for all } x \in \Omega \\
-D \nabla \tilde{u}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{n} & =-\tilde{k}_{12}\left(u_{1}-\frac{1}{R} \tilde{u}_{2}\right) \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{\text {ext }}, \text { zero otherwise } \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & =p_{3}-k_{3} u_{3}+p_{23} f_{\Gamma_{\text {nuc }}} \tilde{u}_{2} d S
\end{array}
$$

$\Gamma_{\text {ext }}, \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \subset \partial \Omega$ represent the interfaces with the other two compartments.

## A first simple mixed PDE/ODE model

We assume that $\Omega$ is a 1 D domain, $\Omega=[0,1]$. In this case

$$
f_{\Gamma_{e x t}} \tilde{u}_{2} \mathrm{~d} S=\tilde{u}_{2}(t, 0), \quad f_{\Gamma_{\text {nuc }}} \tilde{u}_{2} \mathrm{~d} S=\tilde{u}_{2}(t, 1), \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta \tilde{u}_{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \tilde{u}_{2} .
$$

The main idea in solving the above PDE/ODE system is to replace the second derivative in the space variable by finite differences. We create an equidistant mesh $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_{N}$ of $N+1$ nodes, where $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{N}=1$, and obtain a system of $N+3$ ODEs. Initial conditions are set to be

$$
u_{1}(0)=u_{1}^{\text {init }}, \quad \tilde{u}_{2}(0, x)=0 \forall x \in[0,1], \quad u_{3}(0)=p_{3} / k_{3} .
$$

To solve this sytem we used two approaches:

- The software ODEPACK developed by Alan Hindmarsh. This leads to the form

$$
v^{\prime}(t)=A v(t)+b(t)
$$

where $v(t)=\left[u_{1}(t), \tilde{u}_{2}\left(t, x_{0}\right), \ldots, \tilde{u}_{2}\left(t, x_{N}\right), u_{3}(t)\right] \in \mathcal{R}^{N+3}, A$ is a 3-diagonal matrix with model parameters, and $b(t)=\left[d(t), 0, \ldots, 0, p_{3}\right] \in \mathcal{R}^{N+3}$.

- Using the Crank-Nicolson scheme. The time derivatives are replaced by finite differences with a time step $\Delta t$. This leads to solving a linear system of equations with a 3-diagonal matrix. From the values $v(t)$ we obtain new values $v(t+\Delta t)$.
In both cases, we obtained practically the same results.


## Simplest PDE/ODE model

The following graphs give consecutively the solutions $u_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ obtained from 1D discretization $(\Omega=[0,1])$ using finite differences, implemented in Fortran using ODEPACK software. The diffusion $D$ is chosen as the scalar $D=0.01$, other parameters are taken from the (sometimes fitted) values in the original model.


## Simplest PDE/ODE model




There is a clear delay due to the diffusion in the cytoplasm.

## Slightly extended PDE/ODE model

To come closer to the desired model, we can add a fourth substance $u_{4}$ representing the mRNA-induced metabolizing enzyme in the cytoplasm. Be aware of the feedback loop - the enzyme metabolizes not only disease-causing substances, but the ligand as well:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{d(t)}{v_{1}}-k_{1} u_{1}-\frac{s_{12}}{v_{1}} k_{12}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
& u_{2}^{\prime}=-k_{2} u_{2}-k_{m e t} u_{2} u_{4}+\frac{s_{12}}{v_{1}} k_{12}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
& u_{3}^{\prime}=p_{3}-k_{3} u_{3}+\frac{s_{23}}{v_{3}} p_{23} u_{2}-\frac{s_{23}}{v_{3}} k_{23}\left(u_{3}-u_{4}\right) \\
& u_{4}^{\prime}=-k_{4} u_{4}+k_{34}\left(u_{3}-u_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $u_{2}(t)$ by $\tilde{u}_{2}(t, x)$ and $u_{4}(t)$ by $\tilde{u}_{4}(t, x), \quad x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{d(t)}{v_{1}}-k_{1} u_{1}-\frac{s_{12}}{v_{1}} \tilde{k}_{12}\left(u_{1}-\frac{1}{R_{12}} f_{\Gamma_{\text {ext }}} \tilde{u}_{2} d S\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{u}_{2}-D_{2} \Delta \tilde{u}_{2} & =-k_{2} u_{2}-k_{\text {met }} \tilde{u}_{2} \tilde{u}_{4} & \text { for all } x \in \Omega \\
-D_{2} \nabla \tilde{u}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{n} & =-\tilde{k}_{12}\left(u_{1}-\frac{1}{R_{12}} \tilde{u}_{2}\right) \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{\text {ext }}, \text { zero for } x \in \Gamma_{\text {nuc }} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & =p_{3}-k_{3} u_{3}+\frac{s_{23}}{v_{3}} p_{23} f_{\Gamma_{n u c}} \tilde{u}_{2} d S-\frac{s_{23}}{v_{3}} k_{23}\left(u_{3}-\frac{1}{R_{34}} f_{\Gamma_{\text {nuc }}} \tilde{u}_{4} d S\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{u}_{4}-D_{4} \Delta \tilde{u}_{4} & =-k_{4} \tilde{u}_{4} & \text { for all } x \in \Omega \\
-D_{4} \nabla \tilde{u}_{4} \cdot \mathbf{n} & =-\tilde{k}_{23}\left(u_{3}-\frac{1}{R_{34}} \tilde{u}_{4}\right) \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{\text {nuc }}, \text { zero for } x \in \Gamma_{\text {ext }}
\end{array}
$$

## Slightly extended PDE/ODE model






## Mixed PDE/ODE models

The main mathematical and numerical challenges with a mixed PDE/ODE model are:

- Correct PDE-formulation of the processes, existence, uniqueness, stability of the (periodic) solution
- Computational costs become an important issue. We need efficient numerical methods for:
- Time- and space-discretization (finite elements mesh generation)
- The solution of the discretized nonlinear coupled PDE/ODE model (a (quasi-)Newton type method)
- The solution of linear systems (Krylov subspace methods, preconditioning, sequences of linear systems)
- The sum of squares minimization when estimating the parameters (curve fitting)

Recall that during parameter estimation, the model must be run repeatedly!
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